Preface

This is an ideal book for the active club player who wants to understand what's going on in the opening of a chess game. I believe *Chess Opening Essentials* is:

- Comprehensive: it covers all main variations likely to arise
- Easy-to-use: important moves and key positions are highlighted in colour
- Long-lasting: it doesn't outdate quickly because it is about the basics, not the latest fashions
- Complete: it explains the plans and counterplans for both White and Black
- *Down-to-earth*: simple, verbal introductions (no database-dump full of dead trees)
- *Convenient*: every opening is illustrated with a number of instructive games.

The authors quite lucidly explain the plans behind the various openings, as well as many subtle similarities and differences between them. This way, without giving endless variations, they manage to get across the essence of every opening. Also, the often colourful history of variations is elaborated upon in an entertaining way.

Although *Chess Opening Essentials* is about understanding the ideas behind the openings, and not about following the latest fashions in top-level chess, we could not do without a limited amount of updating. This volume of the originally Italian series *Capire le Aperture* (published in 2005) has been brought up to date with new developments and games until the beginning of 2009.

The colours in this book we believe to be a great help for the reader to navigate more quickly, and to distinguish between, for instance, main lines and others. Coloured text highlights important moves, and also key initial positions and positions arising after important sequences. **Bold type**, be it in black or in **colour**, indicates main lines, which are also classified and sub-classified. Lines given in *italics* are unsound lines that should be avoided.

But this is not all. There are also numerous graphic features highlighting moves, plans, and strong or weak points. We believe that all this makes for a highly pleasant and accessible presentation, and hope that you, our readers, will agree.

I am convinced that by studying this book, beginners, casual players as well as club players can improve their game and enjoy a deeper understanding of the game of chess in its entirety. For even stronger players it can be a tool to test and review their opening repertoire.

Peter Boel Editor

Contents

Preface
Glossary of chess symbols · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Introduction · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Minor Defences: 1.d4 ②f6 2.c4
- 2 \(\) c6 and 2 b6 \(\cdots \) \(
- Budapest Gambit 2e5 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
- Old Indian (with \(\hat{\pm} \) e7)
Minor Defences after 1.d4 ፟ሷf6 2.c4 c5 · · · · · · · · · · · 25
- Benko Gambit
- Benko Gambit Declined – 4. Various· · · · · · · 29
- Benko Gambit Accepted · · · · · · 34
Modern Benoni Defence 42
- Fianchetto Variation · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
- Variations with 🖄 f3 · · · · · · · 47
- Variations with f2-f4 · · · · · · · 49
- Minor variations after 6.e2-e4 g7-g6 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
- Bronstein Variation 7a6!? · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
- Main line · · · · · · · 59
Catalan Opening · · · · · · 69
- Open Catalan · · · · · · · · 70
- Semi-Open Catalan · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
- Closed Catalan · · · · · · · 80
Blumenfeld Gambit · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Bogo-Indian Defence · · · · · · 90
Queen's Indian Defence · · · · · · 99
- Petrosian Variation 4.a3 · · · · · · · · 106
- 4.g3 Variation · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Nimzo-Indian Defence · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
- Kasparov Variation 4.公f3 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
- Sämisch Variation · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
- Variation 4.f3 · · · · · · · 153
- Capablanca Variation 4. ₩c2 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
- Rubinstein Variation 4.e3·······172
- Rubinstein Variation with 40-0 · · · · · · · · 182
- Classical Main Line · · · · · · 192
Grünfeld Indian Defence· · · · · · 197
- Fianchetto Variation with g3 · · · · · · · · 200
- Minor Lines after 3.42c3 d5 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
- Exchange Variation · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
- Exchange Variation with 7. Øf3 · · · · · · · 213

Chess Opening Essentials - Volume 3

-	Exchange Variation with 7.\(\mathbb{2}\)c4\\dots\dots
-	Main line of the 7.\(\hat{L}\)c4 Variation \(\cdot\cdot\cdot\cdot\cdot\cdot\cdot\cdot
-	Russian Variation · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
King	z's Indian Defence · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
-	Fianchetto Variation · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
-	Minor Variations · · · · · · · · 261
-	Averbakh Variation · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
-	Sämisch Variation · · · · · · · · 280
	Classical Variation · · · · · · · · 298
-	Main Line· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
-	Mar del Plata Variation · · · · · · · 309
Inde	x of Plavers····· 333

Grünfeld Indian Defence
1.d2-d4 △g8-f6 2.c2-c4
g7-g6 3.△b1-c3 d7-d5



After 1.d2-d4 2g8-f6 2.c2-c4 Black can establish a fianchetto with 2...g7-g6 in order to play more ambitiously, and naturally riskier as well, than in games that usually arise after 2...e6.

Playing this way, Black does not oppose White's central expansion in the same way as in the Nimzo-Indian, and therefore after 3. \(\tilde{D}1-c3 \) he cannot prevent White gaining possession of the centre. By playing 3...\(\tilde{Q}g7 \), Black allows the immediate push e2-e4, which we will see in the King's Indian. Before looking at that defence, we will examine the Grünfeld Indian Defence, which begins with the move 3...d7-d5. This move temporarily prevents the e2-e4 advance, in the full knowledge that after an exchange on d5, the advance has been delayed for one move only.

This is exactly the idea of the defence – concede the centre and then attack it.

When the defence appeared in 1922 in the games of the Austrian Ernst Grünfeld, the Orthodox Defence to the Queen's Gambit reigned supreme. You can therefore imagine how bizarre the idea must have seemed, even if the Alekhine Defence (1.e4 \(\Delta\)f6), which has the same strategic theme, appeared one year earlier.

However, within ten years of the Grünfeld's debut it had already become evident that the defence had great dynamic potential and was based on sound strategic principles. So much so, that great champions such as Alekhine, Flohr, Botvinnik, Lilienthal and Spielmann started to use it with a certain regularity. It has remained relatively popular ever since; in the 1950's and 60's the patronage of Smyslov, Boleslavsky, Uhlmann, Gligoric and Filip increased its appeal.

In more recent years, Adorjan, Jansa, Ftacnik and Smejkal have used it as their main weapon against 1.d4. When you add the names of Kortchnoi, Leko, Svidler and former World Champions Fischer and Kasparov to the list of players who have endorsed this defence, you can see that it has a lot to recommend it.

Before we examine the Grünfeld in the strict sense of the term, we will examine the alternatives in which White delays the development of his knight to c3. In this case, Black needs to be careful. After the ...d7-d5 advance, White can exchange pawns and then push e2-e4, and now with no white knight on c3, Black's d5 knight must retreat, which is a problem for Black.

1. d2-d4 ∅g8-f6

2. c2-c4 g7-g6

Now, for example, White can try:

A) 3. 42g1-f3!?



If Black continues unthinkingly with 3...d5?!, after 4.cxd5 ②xd5 5.e4 ②b6 6.h3 White has a firm grip on the centre and Black has insufficient counterplay. For this reason, if Black wishes to play the Grünfeld, he should continue with

3. ... <u>\$\perp\$f8-g7!</u> and only after

4. 🖄b1-c3

play the thematic

4. ... d7-d5

The idea of delaying the development of the knight to c3 is the explanation for the insidious

B) 3. f2-f3!?



This was played more than a few times in the 1930's by Alekhine, and this only partially explored line is still quite popular. If Black continues with 3...\$\hat{2}g7\$, after 4.e4 d6 5.\$\hat{2}c3\$, you have a transposition to the Samisch Variation of the King's Indian, which is not going to make a Grünfeld player very happy. Adorjan's 3...e5!? is a sharp attempt to exploit the weakness of the dark squares. It threatens 4...\$\hat{2}h4+\$, but after 4.dxe5\$\$\hat{2}h5 5.\$\hat{2}h3 \$\hat{2}c6 6.\$\hat{2}g5 \$\hat{2}e7 7.\$\hat{2}xe7\$\$

3.		d7-d5
4.	c4xd5	∅f6xd5
5.	e2-e4	∕∆d5-b6
6.	∕ົ∆b1-c3	<u></u> ⊈f8-g7
7	≗ c1-a3	0-0

xe7 8.匂c3 White is a little better.

White has a nice centre, but the f1 bishop does not have a natural square to develop to: e2 is for the g1 knight, \triangleq d3 would leave the d4 pawn undefended and \triangleq c4 is not possible. White therefore makes preparations to castle queenside or otherwise advances his pawn to f4.

8.f4 ②c6 9. ②f3 ②g4 10.d5 ②a5 11. ②d4 e5! gives Black sufficient counterplay.

8. ... \(\beta\) b8-c6

More natural and stronger than 8...e5, which is nonetheless played.

9. 0-0-0 f7-f5!



A good but risky move. White ignores the threat and goes for the 'big prize':

10. h2-h4 f5xe4 11. h4-h5!

If 11.fxe4, then 11... \(\tilde{\Delta}\)xd4! reveals Black's idea: tactics. After the series of exchanges on d4, the f1 bishop is hanging!

11. ... g6xh5!?

Also 11...e5 12.d5 ②d4 13.hxg6 hxg6 14.fxe4 ②g4 seems to hold.

Kramnik, Vladimir Leko, Peter

Tilburg 1998 (6)

1.d4 16 2.c4 g6 3.f3 e5 4.dxe5 15 5.½h3 公c6 6.ዿg5 ዿe7 7.ዿxe7 ₩xe7 8.4c3 \(\psi \text{xe5} \) 9.g4 \(\pri \text{g7} \) 10.f4 \(\psi \text{e7}\) ②e8 14.g5 ②e7 15.②f6+ ②xf6 16.gxf6 4f5 17.e4 Ie8 18.4g5 c5 19.0-0-0 2d4 20.e5 d6 21.2f3 2g4 22. 2xd4 2xd1 23. 2b5 dxe5 24.fxe5 åa4 25. ∅d6 ≣e6 26. åg2 ≣xd6 **≜e8** 35.**\(\beta\)d2 \(\beta\)d2** 36.**\(\beta\)**xe8+ **\(\beta\)h7** 37. ₩xf7+ �h6 38.**⊈**a4 39. ∳b5 ∰e3 40. ∮d5 ∰xb3+ 41. ∳c6 □a6+ 42. dd7 ₩h3+ 43. de6 ₩d3+ 44. **∲e8 ₩d6 45.₩e7 ₩xe6** 0-1

Khalifman,Alexander Leko,Peter

Budapest match 2000 (5)

 17.公d5 0-0 18.公ec3 公c5 19.罩d1 \$a7 20.h4 \$\alpha\$e6 21.\$\alpha\$f2 \$\bar{\bar{\bar{a}}}\$fd8 22.q4 f6 23.gxh5 gxh5 24.4e7 \$f7 **≜c5+ 28.♦e2 ⊘d4+ 29.⊘xd4 &xd4** Ĭq8 33.f5 Ĭq3+ 34.∳e2 Ĭq2+ 35. ஓf3 ≣f2+ 36. ஓg3 ≣xb2 37. ≣c1 ∮f2+ 38.∳h3 ∮b6 39.⊑c8 ⊑e2 40.罩b8 41.罩xb7+ **∲**f8 ≅xe4 42.**②xf6 □**e3+43.**⊕**q2 1/2-1/2

Kramnik, Vladimir Shirov, Alexey

Cazorla match 1998 (9)

1.d4 4 f6 2.c4 g6 3.f3 d5 4.cxd5 ☼xd5 5.e4 ☼b6 6.公c3 âg7 7.âe3 0-0 8.\daggedd d2 e5 9.d5 c6 10.h4 h5 11. 2e2 cxd5 12.exd5 48d7 13.d6 16.4h3 4c4 17.4xc4 4xc4 18.b3 2a6 19.2d5 e4 20.2xf6+ 2xf6 25. \$\dagger d2 axb6 26.fxe4 \$\mathbb{Z}\$xe4 27. \$\dagger c2\$ **Ig4** 28.**Id2 ≜e7** 29.**Ig1 ★g7 ≜b5** 33.a4 **≜c6** 34.**⊑e1 ⊑xe1** 2 d2 44. Id7+ \$\dip f6 45. Id7 a5+ 46. \$\ddot\documeng 3 f4+ 47. \$\ddot\documeng 94 \$\ddot\documeng 65 48.b5

Motylev, Alexander Svidler, Peter

Wijk aan Zee 2007 (8)

1.d4 ∅f6 2.c4 g6 3.f3 d5 4.cxd5 ∅xd5 5.e4 ∅b6 6.∅c3 Ձg7 7.Ձe3 0-0 8.∰d2 ∅c6 9.0-0-0 f5 10.e5 ②b4 11.②h3 ②e6 12.\$b1 \$\bigwidth d7\$
13.②f4 ②f7 14.a3 a5 15.d5 ③xe5
16.axb4 axb4 17.②b5 \$\bigwidth axb6\$
cxb6 19.\$\bigwidth xb4 \$\bigwidth fa8\$ 20.\$\bigwidth d4\$ \@xd4\$
21.\$\bigwidth xd4 \$\bigwidth a1+ 22.\$\bigwidth c2\$ \$\bigwidth xf1\$ \$\bigwidth xf1\$ \$\bigwidth xd5\$ 24.\$\bigwidth c1\$ \$\bigwidth a6\$ 25.\$\bigwidth e5\$ \$\@xd5\$ 26.\$\@xd5\$ \$\bigwidth xd5\$ \$\bigwidth xd5\$ \$\bigwidth c2\$.\$\bigwidth c6\$ 6.\$\Discript xd5\$ \$\bigwidth c2\$.\$\bigwidth c2\$ 6.\$\Discript xd5\$ \$\bigwidth c2\$ 27.\$\bigwidth c6\$ 6.\$\Discript c6\$ 6.\$\Discript

Stellwagen, Daniel De Jong, Jan-Willem

Amersfoort tt 2008 (2)

1.d4 ②f6 2.c4 g6 3.f3 d5 4.cxd5 ②xd5 5.e4 ②b6 6.②c3 皇g7 7.皇e3 0-0 8.豐d2 ②c6 9.0-0-0 f5 10.h4 fxe4 11.h5 gxh5 12.g4 e5 13.d5 ②d4 14.置xh5 置f7 15.②xe4 豐xd5 16.皇xd4豐xa2 17.置xe5

Fianchetto Variation with g3

3. g2-g3



If White wishes to develop his bishop by means of a fianchetto, it is better to do so immediately, postponing the development of the b1 knight, and reserving the e2-square for the other knight. This is a positional approach, which may not be the strongest objectively, but which will probably irritate Black, who, in choosing the Grünfeld, has indicated a fondness for asymmetrical positions.

A) 3. ... c7-c6 (!)

So that after a ...d7-d5 push Black can recapture with the c-pawn. Any opening expert would agree that this is technically the best move. Indeed, 3...c6 is Black's best performing move and it is very difficult for White to obtain any concrete advantage when playing against it.

So why is it so little played? The answer is: because of the very high draw rate, and also because Black will find it very arduous indeed to win with such an arid position.

4. <u>\$f1-g2</u> d7-d5 5. **5g1-f3 \$f8-g7** 6. 0-0 0-0

Now Black is ready to take on c4, with an active game.

7. c4xd5

The most played. Alternatives by White, such as 7. ₩b3 7.b3 or 7. △bd2, have not gained more than equality.

7. ... c6xd5 8. Øf3-e5

After 8. ②c3, the move 8... ②e4! is considered to be good: 9. ②xe4 dxe4 10. ②e5 營d5!. This is the only way Black can equalise.

8. ... e7-e6!

The move that achieves equality: over-protecting d5 so as to drive off the e5 knight with ... 2 fd7.

After 8...\(\overline{\Delta}\)c6 9.\(\overline{\Delta}\)xc6 bxc6, the weak c6 pawn may not be such a serious problem, but it does give White something to play against.