



USSILETIZ INERICZI STERIZ IN DIE ZUERTZ NOES Z'USSUO DIE ZUERIZ OF THE LINE DIE ZUERIZ

Alexander Beliavsky Adrian Mikhalchishin & Oleg Stetsko

Russian CHESS House MOSCOW 2012

CONTENTS

Introduction	3
PART I. The isolated d4-pawn	7
Chapter 1. Attack on the kingside	
1.1. Attack with the f-pawn	11
1.2. Piece attack on the kingside	
Chapter 2. The d4-d5 breakthrough	
Chapter 3. Attack on the queenside	
3.1. Exchange on d5	
3.2. Attack on queenside weaknesses	
Chapter 4. Defence based on the blockade at d5	
4.1. Reducing attacking potential by exchanging pieces	
4.2. Counterplay based on control of the blockading d5-point	
4.2. Counterplay based on control of the blockading d3-point 4.3. Creation of an isolated pawn pair	
4.5. Creation of a central pawn pair	
4.4. Creation of a central pawn pair	01
PART II. The isolated d5-pawn1	07
Chapter 5. Attack on the isolated d5-pawn	
5.1. The strategy of exchanging pieces	
5.2. Exchange of the dark-square bishop in French Defence	10
positions	21
5.3. Piece attack on the isolated pawn	
5.4. Creation of an isolated pawn pair	
5.5. Creation of a central pawn pair	
5.6. Transition into an endgame	
Chapter 6. Dynamic potential of the d5-pawn	
6.1. Active piece play for Black	
6.2. The d5-d4 breakthrough	
6.3. Spatial expansion by the d5-d4 advance	
Chapter 7. The isolated pawn with a small number of pieces	
Chapter 8. The isolated pawn with a small number of pieces	
8.1. Play with four rooks on the board	
8.2. Play with one pair of rooks	
8.3. Minor piece endings	
8.4. Endings with one pair of minor pieces	
8.5. Pawn endings	
0.5. 1 uwn chungs	.50
Index of Players	233
Index of Openings	

Introduction

Anyone who fears an isolated pawn should not play chess Siegbert Tarrasch

Aaron Nimzowitsch, one of the outstanding chess researchers, considered that the problem of the isolated pawn was one of the cardinal problems of positional play. We are talking about a central d4-pawn for White or a d5-pawn for Black, the isolation of which is characterised by the absence of the pawns of this colour on the e- and c-files.

From the dialectical point of view, the specific nature of the isolated pawn is the assessment of its inherent contradictions – strengths and weaknesses, or in other words, dynamics and statics. In the opening stage of the game this is an assessment of the advantage of the first move, although for the modern state of theory the creation of dynamically balanced positions is more typical.

From the dynamic point of view, the advantage of the isolated pawn, let's say a pawn on d4, is that it is an outpost, controlling the central c5and e5-squares, on which a knight can be established, supporting an attack on the king, and the presence of the open e- and c-files assists the rapid activation of the rooks. From the static point of view, the defects of the isolated pawn are that it needs defending, especially when it is not supported by the bishop, and above all that it can be blockaded by a piece, usually a knight, occupying an important outpost (in our case this is d5), which is not easy to eliminate. The benefits of controlling this outpost become especially apparent when the material is reduced, since the weakness of the squares e4 and c4 is felt.

The first to begin studying the topic of the isolated pawn was the first world champion Wilhelm Steinitz, who encountered this problem in his match for the world title with Johann Zukertort. Here is this historic 9th game of their match, in which the method of playing against

Introduction

the isolated d4-pawn was convincingly demonstrated.

ZUKERTORT – STEINITZ New Orleans 1886

Queen's Gambit Accepted D26

1.d4 d5 2.c4 e6 3.∅ c3 ∅ f6 4.∅ f3 dxc4 5.e3 c5 6.≜ xc4 cxd4 7.exd4 ≜ e7 8.0-0 0-0.



A typical position with an isolated d4-pawn, characteristic of the Queen's Gambit Accepted.

9. ₩e2 ②bd7 10. ≜b3 ②b6 11. ≜f4. Nowadays the development of the bishop at g5 is preferred.

11... 0 bd5 12. 2 g3 0 a5 13. \blacksquare ac1 2 d7 14. 0 e5 \blacksquare fd8! 15. 0 f3 2 e8! Note the manoeuvre \blacksquare f8-d8 followed by playing the bishop to e8 – this is an important positional idea, which is still practised today. Such a regrouping of the pieces is useful both for attack, and for defence.

16.¤fe1 ¤ac8 17.\$h4.



17...⁽²⁾ xc3! Today the positional ideas of fighting against the isolated d4-pawn are known to many players, but when they were employed by Steinitz they were a revelation. After Black has securely defended his kingside, he switches to an attack in the centre and creates a socalled isolated pawn pair d4-c3. The d4-pawn is defended, but there is now a new weakness – the c3-pawn, which needs defending.

18.bxc3 \textcircled **c7.** One of the elements of modern technique is the fixing of the isolated pawn pair, which in the given position could have been achieved by 18...b5!?, but Steinitz carries a different plan against the weaknesses. He aims to simplify the position, reckoning that the hanging pawns (if White plays c3-c4) will remain a target.

19. 3 < 0 d5! (nowadays a typical exchanging manoeuvre) 20. $2 \times 27 = 21$. 2×21 . White falls in with Black's plans, and commits a serious positional mistake.

Introduction

21. 堂 g3 would have retained roughly equal chances.

21... **Zxd5 22.c4.** White plays too actively – the advance of the c-pawn merely weakens his pawn centre.

22....罩dd8 23.罩e3. This attack on the securely defended king is not realistic. White should have supported his d4-pawn with 23.罩ed1, intending 營d3-b3 with the idea of c4-c5 and ②e5-c4-d6, or, in some cases, d4-d5.

23... [™]d6 24. ^{[™]d1.} 24. ^{[™]h3} [™]xd4 25. ^{[™]wh7+} ^{[™]b^{*}f8 is not dangerous for Black.}

24...f6 25. \blacksquare h3 h6 26. \boxdot g4 \blacksquare f4! Black has repelled White's attack and he now launches a counterattack, which gains in strength because the rook at h3 coordinates poorly with the remaining pieces.

27. 27.

28. Ξ f3 Wd6 29. Ξ d2 \pounds c6 30. Ξ g3. Nothing is given by 30. Ξ xf6 gxf6 31.Wg6+ \pounds f8 32.Wxf6+ \pounds e8 33.Of5 exf5, but 30.d5 We5 31. Ξ g3 exd5 32.Wg6 came into consideration, although even here after 32... Ξ c7 Black has the advantage.

30...f5 31.⊑g6 ≙e4 32.₩b3 ☆h7 33.c5 ⊑xc5 34.⊑xe6 ⊑c1+ 35.⊘d1 ₩f4 36.₩b2 ⊑b1 37.₩c3 Ξc8 38.⊑xe4 ₩xe4. White resigned.

A convincing win, wouldn't you agree? Johann Zukertort clearly failed to cope with the problem of the isolated d4-pawn, which he deliberately (we must emphasise this) went in for in the opening, hoping to benefit from his advantage in space, which was greatly valued in the romantic 19th century. It was no accident that one of the faithful followers of Steinitz's teachings, Siegbert Tarrasch, vigorously stood up for the isolated pawn: 'I think that the player whose queen's pawn is isolated has a clear advantage. The point is that this pawn may serve as the starting-point for a very strong attack.' Tarrasch's belief in the virtues of the isolated pawn was so great, that he was also prepared to have one with Black, by playing in the Queen's Gambit 1.d4 d5 2.c4 e6 3. Constant of the second seco thereby agreeing to the isolation of his d5-pawn after 4.cxd5 exd5 5.62 f3 followed by the exchange on d4. Nowadays the Tarrasch Defence to the Queen's Gambit is regarded as a sound opening, where Black achieves a position of dynamic balance.

In modern practice the appearance of an isolated pawn is the result of an opening variation being chosen, in which the possessor of the pawn hopes in return to exploit his advantage in time or space. It is well known that the advantage of the first move affects the possibility of exploiting the dynamic and static features of an isolated pawn when there are still a large number of pieces on the board. Therefore we think it is logical to consider separately positions from the opening obtained with isolated d4- and d5pawns. In isolated pawn positions with reduced material and stable in character, the strategy of the play, irrespective of the colour, has its general rules, both in the middlegame, and in the endgame.

PART I

THE ISOLATED D4-PAWN

The study of modern chess takes account of the interconnection of the opening with the middlegame, since the pawn structure arising after the opening largely determines the further development of the game. As regards our theme, Tarrasch remarked: 'If for an isolated pawn one can obtain an advantage in time or position, such an operation is advantageous and becomes an important feature for the creation of an attack. But if the opponent has a lead in development, the isolating of the pawn is unfavourable.'

Modern opening theory includes a quite extensive range of openings, where White goes in for an isolated d4-pawn, with the hope of exploiting its dynamic features. Here are a number of typical positions, arising in the popular openings.

Queen's Gambit, Orthodox Defence

1.d4 d5 2.c4 e6 3.②c3 ②f6 4.鱼g5 鱼e7 5.②f3 0-0 6.e3 ②bd7 7.鱼d3 dxc4 8.鱼xc4 c5 9.0-0 cxd4 10.exd4.



Queen's Gambit, Capablanca Variation

1.d4 d5 2.c4 e6 3.②c3 ②f6 4.鱼g5 鱼e7 5.②f3 0-0 6.e3 ②bd7 7.罩c1 c6 8.鱼d3 dxc4 9.鱼xc4 ③d5 10.鱼xe7 豐xe7 11.0-0 ④xc3 12.罩xc3 e5 13.豐c2 exd4 14.exd4.



Queen's Gambit, Tarrasch Defence Deferred

1.d4 d5 2.c4 e6 3.20c3 20f6 4.20f3 c5 5.cxd5 20xd5 6.e3 20c6 7.≜d3 cxd4 8.exd4.



Queen's Gambit Accepted

1.d4 d5 2.c4 dxc4 3.613 6164.e3 e6 5.2xc4 c5 6.0-0 c6 7.We2cxd4 8.Zd1 2 e7 9.exd4.



Queen's Gambit Accepted

1.d4 d5 2.c4 dxc4 3.2 c3 e5 4.e3 exd4 5.exd4 ② f6 6.≜ xc4.



Nimzo-Indian Defence

1.d4 $2 f_{0} f_{0} 2.c4 e_{0} 3.2 c_{0} c_{3} = b_{4}$ 4.e3 0-0 5. $a_{0} d_{3} d_{5} 6. f_{0} f_{3} c_{5} 7.$ 0-0 $2 c_{0} c_{0} 8.a3 cxd4 9.exd4 dxc4$ 10. a xc4 = 0.2

The isolated d4-pawn



Caro-Kann Defence, Panov Attack

1.e4 c6 2.d4 d5 3.exd5 cxd5 4.c4 e6 5.∅c3 ∅f6 6.∅f3 ≜e7 7.cxd5 ∅xd5.



Caro-Kann Defence, Panov Attack

1.e4 c6 2.d4 d5 3.exd5 cxd5 4.c4 ② f6 5.② c3 ② c6 6.② f3 ≜g4 7.cxd5 ③ xd5 8.≝b3 ≜xf3 9.gxf3 ③ b6 10.≜e3 e6.



Sicilian Defence, Alapin Variation

1.e4 c5 2.c3 d5 3.exd5 $ilde{W}xd5$ 4.d4 e6 5. $ilde{O}$ f3 $ilde{O}$ f6 6. $ilde{d}$ d3 $ilde{d}$ e7 7.0-0 0-0 8. $ilde{W}$ e2 cxd4 9.exd4.



Petroff Defence

1.e4 e5 2.②f3 ②f6 3.②xe5 d6 4.②f3 ③xe4 5.d4 d5 6.皇d3 皇e7 7.0-0 ②c6 8.畺e1 皇g4 9.c4 ②f6 10.②c3 0-0 11.cxd5 ③xd5.



Giuoco Piano

1.e4 e5 2.②f3 ②c6 3.奠c4 奠c5 4.c3 ②f6 5.d4 exd4 6.cxd4 奠b4+ 7.奠d2 奠xd2+ 8.②bxd2 d5 9.exd5 ②xd5.



By agreeing, on emerging from the opening, to a position with an isolated pawn, White hopes to exploit its dynamic features. What do they comprise?

1) The d4-pawn ensures control of the e5- and c5-points. Of particular importance is the e5-outpost, from which a knight, supported by active bishops (in particular the light-square bishop) assists the mounting of a kingside attack.

2) A dynamic feature of the d4pawn is the potential energy of its advance, comprising the d4-d5 breakthrough, which leads to the opening of the position and is especially apparent when White has a lead in development.

3) If Black is behind in development, an attack on the piece blockading the d4-pawn may lead to a favourable change in the pawn structure by means of exchanges on d5.

Chapter 6

Dynamic potential of the d5-pawn

In the mid-20th century the prevalent opinion was that you could allow yourself an isolated pawn, only if you had a lead in development. This caution was removed by the Petrosian – Spassky 1969 World Championship Match, in which the challenger employed the Tarrasch Defence to the Queen's Gambit with Black in five games and achieved a positive result (-0=4+1). After this match the number of supporters of the isolated pawn in Black's position increased considerably.

In the examples examined in Chapter 1, Black went along with White by not objecting to reduction in the material. However, the strategy of playing with an isolated pawn envisages not a passive striving for a draw, but above all the exploitation of its dynamic potential to create active piece play and, if possible, the d5-d4 breakthrough.

6.1. Active piece play for Black

The control by the isolated d5pawn of the central e4- and c4-points allows Black to develop his pieces in active positions, combining threats on the kingside with the possibility of counterplay on the queenside. Pressure on the d4 blockading point is important, tying White down by the threat of the d5-d4 breakthrough.

PETROSIAN – SPASSKY

World Championship Match, 4th Game, Moscow 1969 *Queen's Gambit D34*

1.c4 e6 2.d4 d5 3.②c3 c5 4.cxd5 exd5 5.②f3 ②c6 6.g3 ②f6 7.彙g2 彙e7 8.0-0 0-0 9.彙g5 cxd4 10.②xd4 h6 11.彙e3 彙g4 (in recent times 12...黨e8 was preferred) 12.②b3 彙e6 13.黨c1 黨e8.



Chapter 6. Dynamic potential of the d5-pawn

Black has completed his development, and although for the moment the e-file is blocked by the bishops, this is only a temporary phenomenon. For example, if 14. 2c5 there can follow $14... \leq xc5$ $15. \leq xc5$ 2c4 with active play for Black.

14. \exists e1. A prophylactic move, against the threat of $\forall d7$ and \triangleq h3. After 14. 0b5, which was played in the 2nd game of the match, there followed 14... $\forall d7$ 15. 0 bd4 \triangleq h3 16. 0 xc6 bxc6 17. 0d3 \triangleq xg2 18. 0 xg2 a5! with good play for Black.

14...Wd7 15.Lc5! (the exchange of the dark-square bishops is advantageous to White) 15... Zac8 16.Lxe7 Wxe7 17.e3 Zed8 18.We2.



18... **g4!** 'There was no point in Black relieving himself of his isolated pawn by 18...d4. You don't play the Tarrasch Defence, in order to be thinking about the weakness of the isolated d5-pawn! Consistent play by Black should be aimed in the first instance at exploiting its strength.' (Bondarevsky)

19.f3 (19.∰f1 ②e4) 19... ≜f5 20.⊑cd1 ②e5 21.②d4 ≜g6 22.≜h3.



22... C4!? A sharp move; 22... **Eb**8 would have led to quiet play.

23.g4. If 23.f4 there could have followed $23... \triangleq h5 24. \textcircled{fl}$ $\textcircled{O} c6! 25.g4 \textcircled{O} xg4 26. \textcircled{O} xd5 \textcircled{Z} xd5 27. \textcircled{W} xc4 \textcircled{W} h4! 28. \textcircled{L} xg4 \textcircled{W} xg4+ 29. \textcircled{V} h1 \ddddot{X} xd4 with a guaranteed perpetual check.$

23...≌b4 24.b3 ②c6 25.₩d2 ≌b6 26.۞ce2?! 26.۞a4 ≌a6 27.≗f1 ②xd4 28.exd4 was correct.

26... \triangleq h7 27. \triangleq g2 \equiv e8 28. \bigcirc g3 \bigcirc xd4 29.exd4 \equiv e6 30. \equiv xe6 \cong xe6 31. \equiv c1 \triangleq g6 32. \triangleq f1? 32. \triangleq f2! would have consolidated the position. Now Black seizes the initiative.

32...②h7 33.≝f4 ②f8 34.≅c5 (34.≝e5! was stronger) 34...≙b1! 35.a4 ②g6 36.≝d2 ≝f6 37.堂f2