# The Modernized Sveshnikov

First edition 2020 by Thinkers Publishing Copyright © 2020 Robert Ris

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior written permission from the publisher.

All sales or enquiries should be directed to Thinkers Publishing, 9850 Landegem, Belgium.

Email: info@thinkerspublishing.com Website: www.thinkerspublishing.com

Managing Editor: Romain Edouard Assistant Editor: Daniël Vanheirzeele Typesetting: Mark Haast Proofreading: Bernard Carpinter Software: Hub van de Laar Cover Design: Iwan Kerkhof Graphic Artist: Philippe Tonnard Back cover photo: Nika Riga Production: BESTinGraphics ISBN: 9789492510839

D/2020/13730/23

## The Modernized Sveshnikov

## **Robert Ris**

## **Thinkers Publishing 2020**



## **Key to Symbols**

- ! a good move
- ? a weak move
- !! an excellent move
- ?? a blunder
- !? an interesting move
- ?! a dubious move
- □ only move
- N novelty
- C lead in development
- ⊙ zugzwang
- = equality
- ∞ unclear position
- $\overline{\overline{\mathbf{z}}}$  with compensation for the sacrificed material

- ╧ White stands slightly better
- ∓ Black stands slightly better
- $\pm$  White has a serious advantage
- ∓ Black has a serious advantage
- +- White has a decisive advantage
- -+ Black has a decisive advantage
- → with an attack
- 1 with initiative
- $\Leftrightarrow$  with counterplay
- $\Delta$  with the idea of
- better is
- $\leq$  worse is
- + check
- # mate

### **Bibliography**

#### Books

*The Complete Sveshnikov Sicilian*, Yuri Yakovich, Gambit 2002 *The Sveshnikov Reloaded*, Dorian Rogozenko, Quality Chess 2005 *The Sicilian Sveshnikov*, Vassilios Kotronias, Quality Chess 2014 *1.e4 vs The Sicilian I*, Parimarjan Negi, Quality Chess 2015 *Sicilian Defense: The Chelyabinsk Variation*, Gennadi Timoshchenko (Russell Enterprises 2018)

#### **Electronic/Periodical**

ChessBase Magazine, Chessbase Corr Database 2020, Chessbase Mega Database 2020, Chessbase New in Chess Yearbooks, New in Chess

## **Table of Contents**

Key to Symbols & Bibliography	4
Foreword by Loek van Wely	7
Introduction	8

#### PART I – The Dynamic 9. &xf6 (with 11. &d3)

Chapter 1 – 12.	15
Chapter 2 – 12.0-0 without 14. 邕e1	25
Chapter 3 – 12.0-0 with 14. 邕 e1	57
Chapter 4 – 12.c3	75

#### PART II – The Dynamic 9. 臭xf6 (without 11. 息d3)

Chapter 5 – 11. 🖄 xb5	103
Chapter 6 – 11. 🖞 xb5	111
Chapter 7 – 11.g3	119
Chapter 8 – 11.exf5	129
•	

#### PART III – The Positional 9. 公d5 (without 11.c4)

Chapter 9 – 10. බිxe7	. 159
Chapter 10 – 10. 🖞 xf6 🖞 xf6 11.c3	. 167

#### PART IV – The Positional 9. 2d5 (with 11.c4)

Chapter 11 – 10. 🕸 xf6 比 xf6 11.c4	
------------------------------------	--

#### PART V – The Modern 7. 20d5

Chapter 12 – 9.c3	239
Chapter 13 – 9.c4	245

#### **PART VI – Early Deviations**

Chapter 14 – Odds and	Ends	275
-----------------------	------	-----

#### PART VII – Test Your Knowledge

Chapter 15 – Exercises	. 307
Chapter 16 – Solutions	. 319

### Foreword

I have known Señor Ris already for too many years and I know him as an honest and entertaining (yes, this combination is possible!) personality and that's exactly the way this book is written.

That's why I was glad to write the Foreword of this book. At first.

But wait a second, did Robert ask me to write this Foreword as a friend or as an expert?

I am not sure I will like the answer nor will you! <sup>(2)</sup> This book is his first real test on openings and I hope he will fare better than our friend Ivan Sokolov after he published his book on the e3-Nimzo and everybody in Wijk aan Zee suddenly started to play the Nimzo against him!

But apart from the fact that he didn't include any of my games, or consult me as an adviser (such arrogance!), his book gives a nice overview of the Sveshnikov.

As a player and as a human being, Robert doesn't back down and as a trainer/writer he ain't no different, so this book will be a nice guide for you to understand the dynamics of the Sveshnikov. Nevertheless, you always have to be critical, not just because it's Robert!

Enjoy your reading and many interesting games.

Loek van Wely, grandmaster, eight-times Dutch champion and former Sveshnikov expert

### Introduction

Dear reader,

Writing an opening book has been on my mind for quite some years and I'm thankful to TP-team for giving me this opportunity. In the first quarter of 2019 I came to an agreement with TP about this project and it took me more than a year to produce the book you are holding in your hands.

I already had quite a lot of experience writing opening articles, starting in January 2009 with ChessVibes Openings and still do regularly write for several other (online) publishers. But that's nothing compared with writing an entire book on one of the most popular and heavily analysed openings. For me the personal challenge was basically whether I would be able to show something new on a topic a lot has been written about in chess literature and frankly, I'm very happy to have accomplished that task.

It must have been somewhere at the beginning of this century, when games started to be broadcast online, that my love for the Sveshnikov was shaped. I got very much inspired by top players like Vladimir Kramnik, Peter Leko, Alexey Shirov, Boris Gelfand and not in the last place my fellow-countryman Loek van Wely (thanks for the foreword!), who all had excellent results and contributed to the development of this opening. At first, you start wondering why Black weakens the d5-square (5...e5) and then step by step you realize the dynamic potential of Black's opening strategy. The ensuing positions contain a lot of imbalances, which makes it a very attractive opening to play for a win at any level.

#### Structure of the book

I wish I could describe an opening just in words, but that's not how modern chess works. Every single idea needs to be backed with concrete variations. Conversely, it's also impossible just to study 30-40 moves of theory without having a clue about what you are doing. Hence, I have aimed to find a good balance of verbal explanations without ignoring the hardcore variations you have to know. In case you'll find the analyses a bit too long, don't be discouraged! They have been included mainly to illustrate the thematic ideas and show in which direction the

game develops once the theoretical paths have been left. That's why I have actually decided to cover 39 games in their entirity, rather than cutting off my analysis with an evaluation. I believe that model games help you to understand better an opening, and certainly also the ensuing middle- and endgames.

Compared with most other publications on this opening I have made frequent use of correspondence and engine games. A lot of new resources have been discovered with the aid of powerful machines, and even though in the majority of cases it didn't change the overall assessment, it certainly does give a new impulse. I guess that perfectly fits with the title *The Modernized Sveshnikov*!

#### Variations

I have decided to split the book into six parts and would like to elucidate my choices:

1) Dynamic Line 9. 鼻xf6 gxf6 10. 公d5 f5 11. 鼻d3 鼻e6

When I started learning the Sveshnikov, 9. &xf6 was considered to be posing Black some serious challenges. After 9. &xf6 gxf6 10. &d5 I had a hard time deciding whether to recommend the Main Line with 10...f5 or Novosibirsk Variation arising from 10... &g7 11. &d3 &e7. The latter option currently enjoys some popularity as it was played amongst others by Magnus Carlsen in 2019, but 10...f5 has proven itself still to be a very reliable continuation for Black. Hence, I didn't feel the need to change my original choice. I hope you will enjoy the complicated middlegame battle as much as I do!

2) Dynamic Line without 11. 2d3

Apart from the Main Line with 11. 急d3, White has several other interesting options to navigate. In this second part both possible piece sacrifices on b5 have been examined, while most of the games cover the structures with exf5. The general consensus is that Black's bishop pair very well supports the pawn centre and White isn't able to put Black under pressure. Special attention should be paid to Topalov-Carlsen where I'm recommending 16...e4!?, instead of the more common moves 16... 罩a7 and 16... 掌h8.

3) Positional Variation 9. 2d5 鼻e7 10. 鼻xf6 鼻xf6 11.c3 2e7

The Positional Variation with 11.c3 is quite a popular choice for White players aiming for a solid position and enjoying the long term advantage of the weakened d5-square. Black has several possible setups based on moves like ...0-0, ... Bb8, ....g5 in all sorts of move-orders. My recommendation is 11...Oe7, a line which has always been considered to be somewhat inferior to Black's other options, but in my opinion it's perfectly playable. The system has been played by World Champion Magnus Carlsen and other experts like Krasenkow, Reinderman and Lagarde.

For quite some time I considered this to be one of the most unpleasant lines to face for Black. Based on early games I had been fearing a lack of counterplay, but the selected games have changed my mind. The d4 square, the weakened dark squares and possible counterplay on both wings ensure sufficient counterplay to compensate for White's firm grip on the d5-square.

Since from a theoretical point of view White isn't really getting anywhere in both the dynamic (9. 2xf6) and the positional Line (9. 2d5), White players started to look for new ways to tackle the Sveshnikov. At the end of 2018, Vladimir Kramnik employed the early knight jump 7. 2d5 and it's fair to say that since the World Championship match between Fabiano Caruana and Magnus Carlsen this has become the new Main Line. After 7... 2xd5 8.exd5 Black has to decide whether to retreat the knight to b8 or e7. Although I believe b8 to be positionally more sound, I do very much like the complex dynamic struggle which arises from the knight's transfer to the kingside and believe it offers Black better practical chances to play for a win.

6) Early Deviations

It's important to know what to do against the sidelines as well. These sidelines aren't as bad as their reputation, and in fact, if you come unprepared they might cause Black some problems. It makes sense to study them as seriously as the other variations and avoid any unpleasant surprises.

With this piece of work I hope I have succeeded in sharing my love for this opening with you. The mix of beautiful variations, model games, extensive analyses and explanation of key concepts will hopefully inspire you to play with this opening with great success and joy. Have fun!

**Robert Ris** 

Amstelveen, The Netherlands June 2020

# Part I

# The Dynamic 9. 总xf6 (with 11. 息d3)



# 12.鬯h5

1.e4 c5 2.②f3 ②c6 3.d4 cxd4 4.②xd4 ②f6 5.②c3 e5 6.②db5 d6 7.遑g5 a6 8.②a3 b5 9.遑xf6 gxf6 10.②d5 f5 11.遑d3 遑e6 12.豐h5



- <u> Å</u> Kele, Karoly
- Fritsche, Frank
- LSS email 2011





Position after: 11... 🚊 e6

#### 12. ৠh5

This aggressive move was very popular at the beginning of this century, but Black's next move ensures excellent play for him. Apart from the main continuations 12. 0-0 and 12. c3 White has also tried 12. c4?!



Position after: 12. c4?!



Position after: 13... fxe4!

14. ② f6+ ③ e7 15. ② xe4 急g7 as White has some coordination problems] 14... 邕 c8! with comfortable play for Black.



Position after: 12. Wh5

#### 12... <sup>[</sup>累8!

Compared with 12. 0-0 Black cannot take the knight as the pawn on f5 would be hanging. The major alternative 12... g7?! has disappeared from elite-level play, because White has proven to be very fast generating ac-

tive play on the queenside with 13. 0-0 f4 14. c4!.



Position after: 12... 邕g8!

#### 13. g3

**A)** 13. 0-0?! is asking for trouble, since after 13... f4!



Position after: 13... 14!

Black starts a very dangerous attack. An illustrative example: 14. h3 [14.  $\textcircledarrow xh7$ ? age! is a typical idea to trap the queen and after 15.  $\textcircledarrow h5 afh6 16$ .  $\textcircledarrow d1 \textcircledarrow h4 17$ . h3 axh3 mate will follow soon] 14... age6 15. c3 age8 (before bringing the queen into play Black covers the fork on c7) 16. age2 aggh6 17.  $\textcircledarrow f3 \textcircledarrow g5 18$ .  $\textcircledarrow h2 \textcircledarrow f2 fter 7 19$ .  $\textcircledarrow xe7$ axe7 20.  $\textcircledarrow c2 d5$ !



Position after: 20... d5!

Black had an overwhelming advantage in Onyekwere – Shabalov, Las Vegas 2006. 21. exd5 is met by 21... e4! 22. 響xe4 急xd5 and White needs to give up his queen to avoid mate.

B) 13. c3 邕xg2! 14. 響f3 邕g4



Position after: 14... 邕g4

15. exf5 [15. h3 邕h4] 15... 臭xd5 16. খxd5 公e7 17. 岁b7 燮c8 18. খxc8+ [avoiding the exchange of queens with 18. 徵b6?? 公d5 19. 徵a5 公f4 led to a catastrophe for White in Bluvshtein – Gongora Reyes, Havana 2004] 18... 公xc8 and Black is better, because of White's fragmented pawn structure. 19. 公c2 公b6 20. 公e3 [20. 公b4 might be better, though after 20... 2e7!Black is also in excellent shape] 20... 邕h4 21. 公g2 邕h3 22. 臭e2 d5 and Black started to press White seriously in Talla – Czebe, Slovakia 2001.



Position after: 13. g3

13... 邕g5

A) This is considered to be the main continuation. Another fascinating line starts with 13...  $\Xi$ g4!? 14. f4 [The only challenging continuation, taking away the pressure on the pawn on e4. Both the alternatives 14. Oe3 fxe4! 15. Oxg4 exd3 and 14. Wxh7 Od4! offer Black fantastic dynamic play.] 14... exf4 15. Oxf4  $\Xi$ xf4 [it's also possible to start with 15... Wa5+] 16. gxf4 Wa5+!



Position after: 16... 鬯a5+!

兔xe6 營d4+ 21. 含c1 營e3+ White does best to repeat moves. He could play on with 22. 含b1? but after 22... 營xe6 his pieces are horribly misplaced.

A2) 17. c3 b4 18. ②b1 奠g7?! [preventing White from castling with 18... 營c5!? is objectively better] 19. 0-0 0-0-0



Position after: 19... 0-0-0

20. 響xh7? [Too greedy. After a consolidating move like 20. 響e2! Black's compensation seems insufficient.] 20... 急f6 21. 響h6?



Position after: 21. 🖞h6?

A2.1) Tempted by an amazing resource I refrained from playing the more convincing 21... 營c5+! 22. 堂h1 [White also collapses after 22. 邕f2 邕g8+ 23. 堂f1 營e3] 22... 響e3 23. 急xa6+ [23. 響xf6 響xd3 and Black wins] 23... 全c7 24. 公d2 [24. 響xf6 響xe4+ 25. 全g1 星g8+ leads to mate] 24... bxc3 25. bxc3 急xc3 26. 星ab1 星b8 Since White's play has been neutralized, his weakened kingside eventually turns out to be decisive.



One of those moves you will remember all your life; it also explains how my love for this opening has been shaped. The queen can't be taken, because of mate. 23.  $\blacksquare$ d1 oc7! 24. ac2? [more stubborn is 24. oh5 though after 24... fxe4 25. oxd5 axd5 26. af1 oe7 Black remains clearly on top] 24... oc7 25. od2



Position after: 25. 幻d2

And now in Hoeksema – Ris, Dieren 2003 I should have continued with 25... bxc3! [25... 曾f2? was played in the game, but after 26. 曾h3! White is still fighting] 26. 曾xf6 [after 26. bxc3 兔xc3 White's position falls apart] 26... 曾f2! 27. 邕g1 邕xg1+ 28. 邕xg1 cxd2 with a decisive advantage for Black.

B) Another reliable option is 13... Od4 which leads to a dynamically balanced endgame after a pretty much forced sequence of moves: 14. c3 fxe4 15. Qxe4 Qg4 16. Wxh7 Zg7 17. Wh6 Of3+ 18. Oe2 Og5+ 19. f3 Oxe4 20. fxg4 Wc8 21. We3 Wxg4+ 22. Wf3 Wxf3+ 23. Oxf3 f5 24. Oc2 Of7 25. Oce3 Of6 This was seen in the game Acs – Van Wely, Plovdiv 2003, among others.

#### 14. **₩d**1

14. 響xh7? is just bad, in view of 14... ②d4



15. 0-0-0 [15. c3 is met by 15... <sup>1</sup>∕<sub>∞</sub>f3+!
16. <sup>1</sup>⁄<sub>∞</sub>e2 <sup>1</sup>/<sub>∞</sub>xd5 17. exd5 e4 which gives
Black a decisive advantage, e.g. 18.

14... 🗘 xd5 15. exd5 🖄 e7



#### 16. c3

The same structure arises from 12. 0-0, which we are going to examine in the next games. Black should be doing all right here as White has spent two tempi with his queen, though in the majority of high-profile games White managed to win. Once I tried this line myself with Black, but also found it practically difficult to decide what to do with the strange-looking rook on g5. However, the position is very concrete and recent correspondence games have shown how Black should proceed in a dynamic style. Another line goes 16. ②xb5 鬯b6 17. ②a3 鬯xb2 18. ⑦c4 響c3+ 19. 會f1



Position after: 19. 🕸f1

19... e4! [More precise than 19... 邕d8?!, which was actually the move order chosen by Black in the game Polgar-Leko. However, after 20. 邕b1! e4 White should have played 21, f4!, preventing ... f5-f4, with the point that after 21... exd3 22. cxd3! White threatens to take the rook or give check on a4.] **20.** 奠e2 [20. ②xd6+ 堂d7 21. ②xf7 邕g7 22. 鬯b1 公xd5 23. 鬯b7+ ②c7 24. 邕d1 ��e6! and Black is faring well in these complications] 20... 邕d8 21. 邕b1 [21. f4? simply fails to 21... exf3!] 21... f4 22. 邕b3 營f6 and Black was clearly better in Polgar - Leko, Budapest 2003.

#### 16... Åh6



Position after: 16... 🚊h6

An active square for the bishop, as on the a1-h8 diagonal there isn't much to do and you don't want to block the gfile for the rook. I quite like to use this particular move order, though it seems that after 16... b6 17. c2 eh6[opening the centre with 17... e4 18. ee2 f4?! gives White a stable edge after 19. bd2  $\fbox{a}xd5$  20. bxf4  $\Huge{b}e5$  21. 0-0 in Grischuk – Krasenkow, Wijk aan Zee 2003] 18. ee2

A) 18... 🖄 f8 play just transposes.

B) However, bad is 18... f4? which I played once, but underestimated White's response 19. 學d3! when he gains control over the light squares. 19... 邕g7?! [19... f5 is met by 20. gxf4!] 20. a4! bxa4 21. 邕xa4 響xb2 22. 0-0 邕c8 23. c4 響b6 24. 邕fa1 And Black got positionally outplayed on the queenside in Mikkelsen – Ris, Budva 2003.

#### 17. 🗘 e2

A) 17. ②c2 e4 [17... ≌f8 18. &e2 ≌b6 again transposes to the main line]

A1) 18. 逸e2? runs into 18... f4! 19. gxf4 [19. 心b4 f3! gave Black a devastating initiative in Van der Weide – Sprenger, Germany 2004] 19... 邕xd5 20. 營c1 心g6 which is simply bad for White.



Position after: 20. **Wg**4

20... 營d7 [Black quickly lost control over the position after: 20... 罩f5? 21. 營h4 兔xf4? 22. 兔h3 1-0 Bruzon Batista – Felgaer, Buenos Aires 2003] 21. 營g3 營e6 22. 公e3 罩c5 23. 罩g1 f5 24. 營h4 拿d7 With a complex battle going on Le Page – Moreno Carretero, ICCF 2015.

**B)** Taking into account the dangers White is facing in the nest phase, one might consider 17. 0-0 now or even on the next move, but it's clear that Black is in good shape anyway.



Position after: 17. 🌲 e2

#### 17... 🖄 f8

**A)** I think that Black shouldn't try to challenge the pawn on d5 yet, as his

pieces are not so well coordinated. For instance, 17... 邕c8?! 18. 公c2 邕c5 19. a4 邕xd5 20. 營b1! and both Black's rooks seem to be misplaced as White opens the queenside.

B) A similar plan could be executed by 17... @b6 18. @c2 @b7?! [18... @f8! leads to the game] but then again comes the strong 19. a4! @xd5 20. axb5 @xc3 21. @f3 @e4 22. 0-0 and White is better.

18. 🖄 c2 🖞 b6 19. a4 bxa4 20. 🖄 b4



Position after: 20. 🖄b4

#### 20... e4!

More accurate than 20... f4 21. <sup>™</sup> xa4 which after 21...e4 comes down to the same thing. Apart from taking on a4, White has several additional options to exploit this move order.

(see analysis diagram next column)



Position after: 21. 🖞 xa4

#### **21. <sup>₩</sup>xa4**

White should try to distract Black from his plans by challenging him on the queenside. Also after 21.  $\Xi$  xa4 f4! Black develops a powerful initiative.

#### 21... f4!



Position after: 21... f4!