

Playing for a Win with ...b6

Semko Semkov

Chess Stars

www.chess-stars.com

Chess Stars Publishing

Playing for a Win with ...b6

Cover design by R. Taichinov

Copyright © 2023 by Semko Semkov

Printed in Bulgaria

ISBN: 978-619-7188-38-7

Contents

Bibliography 4 Introduction 5

1.d4 e6 2.c4 b6

Chapter 1. Taking the Centre – e4 without △c3 Step by Step 9 Annotated Games 16

Chapter 2. Taking the Centre – e4 with ②c3 Step by Step 25 Annotated Games 37

Chapter 3. The Cunning 3.a3 Step by Step 47 Annotated Games 55

Chapter 4. The Dutch Set-up against 3.a3 Step by Step 65 Annotated Games 77

Chapter 5. 3.∅c3 and 4.∅f3 Step by Step 91 Annotated Games 100

Chapter 6. 3. ②c3 without 4. ②f3 Step by Step 113 Annotated Games 127

Chapter 7. Queen's Indian with e3 Step by Step 137 Annotated Games 147

Chapter 8. Sidestepping the mainline QID Step by Step 151 Annotated Games 156

Deviations

Chapter 9. 1.d4 e6 2.∅f3 c5 3.g3 Step by Step 161 Annotated Games 169

Chapter 10. 1.d4 e6 2.∅f3 c5 3.c4 Step by Step 173 Annotated Games 179

Chapter 11. 1.d4 e6 2.∅f3 c5 3.e3 Step by Step 185 Annotated Games 191

Chapter 12. London and Jobava Systems Step by Step 197 Annotated Games 207

Chapter 13. 1.c4 b6 Step by Step 213 Annotated Games 219

Chapter 14. Petrosian System Step by Step 227
Index of Variations 231

Bibliography

Books

1...b6, Cyrus Lakdawala, Everyman Chess 2014

The Petrosian System Against the QID, Alexander Beliavsky and Adrian Mikhalchishin, Chess Stars 2008

Understanding the Queens Gambit Accepted, Alexander Delchev and Semko Semkov, Chess Stars 2015

Play the Queen's Indian Defence, Evgeniy Solozhenkin, Chess Stars 2018

Periodicals

Chess Informant

Internet resources

The Week In Chess (www.theweekinchess.com) Chess Publishing (www.chesspublishing.com)

Introduction

For many years my only experience with the fianchetto 1.d4 e6 2.c4 b6 was from the White side. I did not study any theory as I was pretty confident that White is better after 3.a3 \&b7 4.\Darkovc3, followed by d5, g3, \&g2.



Gradually I noticed that I was not getting any advantage as Black attacked the d5-pawn with too many pieces after ...c6, ...\(\Delta b8-a6-c7. I made some database research and found that indeed, 3.a3 was yielding some mildly positive score of 56.7%, while "normal" developing moves like 3.\(\Delta c3 \) and 3.\(\Delta f3 failed to even keep White above water! That stirred my interest and I analysed more thoroughly the diagram position.

I discovered that Black does not have any theoretical problems in the following critical line:



The only drawback is that the move order 4...②f6 5.②f3 requires knowledge of the Petrosian System of the QID, so included Chapter 14 with a short survey of it.

Then I moved to the Dutch set-up 4...f5!. Basically I would play ...f5 only to undermine e4, but in this particular case the harmless move a3 gives us a vital tempo. We can even fight for the initiative in the rich positions that arise after 5.d5 or 5.₺f3. I cover them in Chapter 4. The typical set-up is with a bishop on d6, which we could shift to e5 or leave it on d6 after ₺b5:

Introduction



This line is my favourite way to play for a win against 3.a3.

The initial results of my study were so encouraging, that I turned ... b6 into my only riposte to 1.d4 and 1.c4. Many hundreds (if not thousands) of blitz games later, I can claim that the English Defence is a perfect way to break free from the boring balanced lines of the modern main openings. I can only admire the intuition and guts of the Englishmen Tony Miles, Jon Speelman and Raymond Keene. They took up the invention of Philip Wallis an popularized it at high level in the seventies, when official theory would discard it as an "irregular" opening. Well, nowadays everybody plays it, even World champion Carlsen.

The critical line is of course 3.e4 \$b4+!, when the fact that we saved ... \$\overline{D}f6\$ allows us to destroy the enemy centre with ... \$15. The whole English Defence "hangs" on the evaluation of the following position, where Black's last move was \$6... \$\overline{D}h6!!.



I believe that exactly this discovery of Miles, who beat Panno in 1979, spurred the development of the system. The point is to sacrifice a second pawn after 7.fxe6 ②f5!!.

I met this idea for the first time when playing for the Bulgarian national team against France. The move order was different:

Semkov-Bricard, Sofia 1990: 1.d4 e6 2.c4 b6 3.e4 \(\bar{2}\)b7 4.f3 f5 5.exf5 \(\bar{2}\)h6!!. Here I chickened out and traded queens with 6.\(\bar{2}\)xh6 \(\bar{2}\)h4+7.g3 \(\bar{2}\)xh6 8.\(\bar{2}\)d2, to eventually win an equal endgame.

Modern engines confirm that Black has fair compensation for the pawn. More importantly, he owns the initiative. That is often decisive, especially in rapid chess.

Deviations from the main lines

You can learn the most principled lines for just a few days. They took me only 3 chapters. However, when we start building a complete repertoire, we face a flood of transpositions to other open-

Introduction

ings. I made my best to cover all the tricks White may try to drag you in the main line of the Queen's Indian Defence with g3. However, there is no way to prepare a standalone repertoire, based only on the English Defence.

Let's start with the first move. I definitely discarded 1.d4 b6 since White will get an excellent version of the Sicilian after 2.e4! and ...c5. And I do not want to hear about the Hippopotamus as an option. We should aim to win by playing best possible moves, not cafe chess. So we start with 1.d4 e6! (but 1.c4 b6! to avoid 2.g3). At this point White can still answer 2.e4, but the French 2...d5! is as viable as ever. If you do not play it, it would be a good occasion to start!. After all, if your opponents open the game with 1.d4, they are hardly the best connoisseurs of 1.e4 e6.

I frequently face 1.d4 e6 2.c4 b6 3.\(2\)f3 \(\bar{2}\)f3 \(\bar{2}\)f3 4.g3. White expects to transpose to the QID after 4...\(2\)f6, but 4...\(\bar{2}\)b4+!? 5.\(\bar{2}\)d2 \(\bar{2}\)xf3! always acts as a cold shower.



White does not perform well with split pawns after ... ∅ f6 and ...d5, and by all means this structure is not what he hoped for when playing 4.g3.

The biggest challenge is 1.d4 e6 2.\(\Delta f3. 2...b6 \) is still bad owing to 3.e4!, remember?

The only way to exploit White's passive approach to the centre is 2...c5!? (this also works against 2.g3). The point is that 3.d5 would pass the initiative to Black after 3...exd5 and ...♠f6. There is a catch though − 3.e4 converts to the Sicilian! If you are interested in it, you may look at my recent book *The Taimanov-Scheveningen Hybrid*. Here I cover in separate chapters:

Chapter 9. 1.d4 e6 2.∅f3 c5 3.g3 Chapter 10. 1.d4 e6 2.∅f3 c5 3.c4 Chapter 11. 1.d4 e6 2.∅f3 c5 3.e3 Chapter 12. London and Jobava Systems.

Chapter 13 is devoted to the English Opening 1.c4 b6, when White refrains from d2-d4.

Thus I propose a full repertoire against 1.d4 and 1.c4, which is theoretically sound and leads to sharp, strategically unbalanced positions.

Semko Semkov, March 2023

Chapter 1. 1.d4 e6! 2.c4 b6 3.e4



Chapter 2. 1.d4 e6 2.c4 b6 3.e4 &b4+4.&c3 &b7



5.f3 f5 25 (5...\(\delta\)xc3+!? 25) 5.\(\delta\)d3 30 5.\(\delta\)c2 34 5.d5 35

Chapter 3. 1.d4 e6 2.c4 b6 3.a3!?



3... \triangle f6 (3... \triangle b7 4. \triangle c3 f5 65) 4. \triangle c3 \triangle b7 5. \triangle g5 48 (5. \triangle f3 227; 5.f3 48; 5. \triangle f4 48)

5.d5 50

Chapter 4. 1.d4 e6 2.c4 b6 3.a3 åb7 4.ላc3 f5



5.\(\Delta\) f3 66 5.d5 68

Chapter 5. 1.d4 e6 2.c4 b6 3.\(\Delta \cdot c3 \) \(\Delta b4 4.\(\Delta f3 \) \(\Delta b7 \)



5.\(\delta\)d2 92 (5.\(\delta\)c2 \(\delta\)f6 115; 5.e3 \(\delta\)xc3+ 120; 5.\(\delta\)b3 a5 125) 5.\(\delta\)g5 95 5.g3 96

Chapter 6. 1.d4 e6 2.c4 b6 3. ac3 ዿb4



4.營c2 113 (4.f3 113) 4...逾b7 5.a3 逾xc3+ 6.bxc3 匂f6 7.逾g5 113 7.匂f3 115 7.f3 118

4.e3 *120* 4.≜d2 ≜b7 *122* 4...⊘f6 *123* 4.≌b3 *125*

Chapter 7. 1.d4 e6 2.c4 b6 3.∅f3 ≜b7 4.e3



4...②f6 (4...f5 *137*) 5.&d3 (5.۞c3 *137*) 5...d5 6.0-0 *138* 6.cxd5 *140* 6.b3 *144*

Chapter 8. 1.d4 e6 2.c4 b6 3.\(\Delta f3 \) \(\Delta b7 4.g3 \) \(\Delta b4 +



5.\(\daggerd\) d2 (5.\(\daggerd\) c3 96; 5.\(\daggerd\) bd2 151) 5...\(\daggerd\) xf3 151

Chapter 9. 1.d4 e6 2.∅f3 c5 3.g3



3...cxd4 4.\(\Delta\)xd4 d5 5.\(\Left\)g2 \(\Delta\)f6 6.c4 \(162 \) 6.0-0 \(164 \)

Chapter 10. 1.d4 e6 2.ବିf3 c5 3.c4 cxd4 4.ବିxd4 ବିf6 5.ବିc3



5... \triangle c6 (5...&b4 173) 6.g3 (6.e4 &b4 173; 6.a3 173; 6. \triangle db5 174) 6... &b6 7. \triangle b3 175 7. \triangle db5 177

Chapter 11. 1.d4 e6 2. 2 f3 c5 3.e3 d5



4.c3 185

4.b3 186 4... \triangle f6 5.2d3 \triangle c6 6.2b2 b6 7. \triangle bd2 2e7 8.0-0 187 8.a3 188

Chapter 12. 1.d4 e6



2.\(\frac{1}{2}\)f4 c5 3.e3 (3.c3 \(\frac{10}{2}\)b6 197) 3...\(\frac{10}{2}\)b6 198 2.\(\frac{1}{2}\)f3 c5 3.c3 d5 4.\(\frac{1}{2}\)f4 \(\frac{10}{2}\)b6 200

Chapter 13. 1.c4 b6



2.\(\tilde{Q}\) c3 \(\tilde{Q}\) b7 3.e4 (3.\(\tilde{Q}\) f3 e6 4.g3 \(213\) 3...e6 \(214\) (3...e5 \(214\) 2.\(\tilde{Q}\) f3 \(\tilde{Q}\) b7 3.g3 c5 (3...\(\tilde{Q}\) xf3 \(217\) 4.\(\tilde{Q}\) g6 \(217\)

Chapter 14. 1.d4 থ্রিf6 2.c4 e6 3.থ্রিf3 b6 4.থ্রc3 �ab7 5.a3 d5



6.cxd5 227 (6.\doings 227; 6.\div c2 227) 6...\doing xd5 7.\div c2 228 (7.e3 228)