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## Chapter 7

## Positional Sacrifices（Part One）

The pawn sacrifice is the smallest sacrifice possible in a chess game．
A minor piece（not to mention a rook or queen）we are only prepared to sacri－ fice for clear gain，but a pawn is another matter．Not for nothing does the lexicon of chess equate the word＇sacrifice＇with＇discard＇．＇I discarded this pawn＇，as if brushing off a speck of dust or throwing away an old pair of trousers．

For what do we sacrifice a pawn？For whatever we want．For an open line．To shut an enemy piece out of the game（maybe only temporarily）．For the initiative， which in turn we may convert into something more real．For a favourable change in the pawn structure．To reach a draw ending quickly．Etc．

Of course，one should not underestimate the importance of material in chess， even a pawn．An incorrect pawn sacrifice can cost the game．But it is no coinci－ dence that the number of pawns sacrificed is many times greater than the number of pieces．One does not throw away pawns left，right and centre，but nor does one stand on ceremony．

## Vladimir Kramnik <br> Veselin Topalov

Dortmund 1999


16．f5！？
«A very risky and not obligatory decision，but I wanted to sharpen up the battle»－Kramnik．

16．．．exf5 17．exd5 cxd5
包xc3（or 18．．．0－0 19．0xd5 cxd5 20．0．0）19．胃xc3 0－0 20．d5 «with a strong initiative»．This variation can be contin－
 looks as though the knight，once estab－
lished on e4，will cement the black posi－

 admit that the initiative remains with White．

18．b5 0－0 19．b6 響d8 20．©b3 Df6 21． 0 a5


Here we can draw preliminary con－ clusions about White＇s pawn sacrifice． The bishop on g6 is shut out of play， which is one thing．White has obtained a numerical superiority on the queen－ side，whilst Black＇s kingside majority is immobile and can hardly become a
threat in the near future－point two． And thirdly，White has a very danger－ ous plan：to sacrifice the knight on b7， take on a6 and then have his passed pawns sweep all before them．
For all this，the position remains unclear．Black does have an extra pawn， after all．If Black manages to＇untan－ gle＇，White will have cause to regret his choice．Everything will depend on the next few moves．

## 22．a4 e4

A very important moment．It is tempt－ ing to implement the plan at once： 23． 0 xb7！？荲xb7 24．a5．But at this very moment，Black unveils his own trumps －an attack on the king．For exam－

 f4） $28 \ldots$ 葸h5 29 ．昆d2 茴e4（not at once 29．．．党e1＋30．寞f1）30．党cd3 当e1＋ 31．稁f2 断h4＋with mate．Instead of 24．．．$\triangle \mathrm{c} 6$ ，Kramnik analyses 24．．．f4！？
 28．亶xc6 f3 and admits that the resulting position is not to his taste．White has too many pieces on the queenside，and too few defending his king．
The piece sacrifice should not be hur－ ried，but requires further preparation． First of all，Kramnik transfers his knight from c3 to b4．

## 23． ®a2 $^{\text {f }} 6$

It was worth considering 23．．．f4！？．This is also a typical device，incidentally－ returning the extra pawn to activate his pieces．It is important that after 24．酋xf4苞f5 25．©xb7 営xb7 26．宽xa6，Black can solve his problems with 26．．．量xb6！ 27．cxb6 铛xb6，with a probable draw．
On 23．．．f4 24．${ }^{\text {exff4 }} \quad$ f5，Kramnik
 26．品cf1 党fe8 27．恩d1，«and the position is hard to judge»．

## 24． 0 b4 㝠e8

Black has managed to solve one prob－ lem：he has brought his bishop into play．But his pawn mass on the kingside is still rooted to the spot and his posi－ tion remains passive．It only needs 2－3 moves，before he can start moving the great colossus on the f－and g－files，so it follows that White has 2－3 tempi to continue manoeuvering，in preparation for the sacrifice on b7．

## 25．響 c2！

The logic of this move（which Kramnik himself described as＇key＇）is hard to understand without the grandmaster＇s commentary．White rejected 25.0 xb7！？䓪xb7 26．葸xa6 because of the the－ matic 26．．．昆xb6！27．cxb6 锶xb6．More dangerous is $26 . a 5$ ，but then $26 . . .{ }^{0}$ c6 27．0xc6 寞xc6 28．寞xa6 党e7．«It is very hard to eliminate the enemy bishop from c6＂， writes Kramnik，and himself suggests a method：put a rook on b1 and the bishop on b5．But after 29．䍝b1 there follows 29．．． 0 c3 with a fork．This is why White first puts his queen on c2．

## 25．．．g6

25．．．g5！？is more active．

## 

The preparations are complete．It is time to act．


## 27． $0 \times x$ ！

In a sense，this move is the continuation of the plan begun as far back as $16 . f 5!?$ ．

It is hard to believe，but this is so．By his pawn sacrifice at move 16，White obtained a pawn majority on the queen－ side，and then the advance b4－b5－b6 created a potential passed pawn．And realising this pawn majority was only possible with the aid of the sacrifice of the knight on b7．

##  

This is what White was aiming at，when he put his queen on c2 and his rook on b1．
Black＇s blockade collapses．The passed pawns promote．After

## 31．．．㗀c8 32．是xc6 楮xc6 33．a6

the outcome of the game was decided． Topalov resigned at move 40.

Vlastimil Jansa
Raymond Allen Weinstein
Helsinki 1961


If it were Black＇s move，he would play ．．．$\searrow \mathrm{c} 6-\mathrm{e} 7-\mathrm{f5}$ ，plugging the holes in his position．

## 13．f5！？

«The positional pawn sacrifice should be in the arsenal of every chess player！»－Jansa．
I would add that inserting the moves 13．酋e1 置e8 is in Black＇s favour，as he can then meet $14 . f 5$ with 14 ．．．e5．

13．．．exf5 14．定d3 0 ce7 15．乌e2
The changes in the structure have favoured White．Black＇s pawns are
broken and weak，whilst White＇s knights have a blockading square on f4 and the open e－file is available for his rooks．
It is hard to suggest a sensible plan for Black．The doubled pawns on the f－file make one think of using the outposts on e4 and g4，but how can we get the knights there？The e7－knight is defend－ ing f5 and the other knight defending f6．It seems they will be tied up for a long time．
But despite this，Black found and exe－ cuted a plan to get his knights to the outpost．He transfers a knight via g8－h6－ f7－g5－e4！

 －g8 21．$\quad$ de1


White plays too academically．Perhaps he only noticed Black＇s aim too late．
 position will be equalised．
Realising this，Jansa tries to sharpen the game，but this attempt should not have been crowned with success．

##  g4 24． $2 x d 8$

By continuing now with 24．．． $\begin{aligned} & \text { wie } \\ & \text { en，}\end{aligned}$ could have emerged intact in all varia－
 lows 25 ．．．gxf3，nor is anything changed


## 24．．．謄d7

A nightmarish mistake．Perhaps Black simply mixed up the moves，by com－ parison with the variation 24 ．彩xh5

Raymond Allen Weinstein was a very talented，developing young player，who was one of the chess hopes of America． Doctors soon diagnosed a psychologi－ cal imbalance and later he was arrested （among other things，for attacking a chess master，Johan Barendregt）．The story ended with his incarceration in a mental hospital，from which he has never emerged．

## 25．

White emerges with an extra exchange and Black soon resigned．

A special place in opening theory is occupied by variations which involve a positional pawn sacrifice．Here we are not talking about such lines as the King＇s Gambit（where sharp combina－ tive possibilities supersede positional considerations）or the Queen＇s Gambit， where the sacrificed pawn is regained almost at once．No，here we are con－ cerned with lines where the pawn sac－ rifice is real，but the compensation for the material consists of positional fac－ tors．
One example is the Benko Gambit（1．d4 ©f6 $2 . \mathrm{c} 4 \mathrm{c5} 3 . \mathrm{d} 5 \mathrm{~b} 5$ ！？）and the related Blumenfeld Gambit（1．d4 ©f6 $2 . c 4$ e6 3． $\begin{aligned} & \text { ff3 c5 4．d5 b5）．It is not immedi－}\end{aligned}$ ately obvious what Black gets for the pawn，but it is clear he will not regain it any time soon．Even so，theory con－ siders the Benko to be sound，whilst the Blumenfeld is much more often declined than accepted！
The following game was an impor－ tant one for the theory of the Blumen－
feld．This opened people＇s eyes，clearly demonstrating the plan，with the help of which Black shows the correctness of his pawn sacrifice．

## Siegbert Tarrasch Alexander Alekhine

Bad Pistyan 1922

##  b5！？5．dxe6

Nowadays White almost exclusively plays 5． 直g $^{5}$ ．

## 5．．．fxe6 6．cxb5 d5

«Black has a strong pawn centre，which will be supported by bishops from b7 and d6；in addition， the f－file is opened for his rooks．These positional advantages are sufficient compensation for the sac－ rificed pawn»－Kotov．

## 7．e3 鼻d6 8．©c3 0－0 9．真e2 鼻b7 <br> 10．b3 4 bd7 11．量b2 岿e7 12．0－0

The king will also not be able to rest peacefully on the queenside．For exam－ ple，12． 15 ch c2 e5 13．0－0－0 d4 14．exd4

 （Postojev－Harikrishna，Mainz 2006）．

## 



Both sides have completed their devel－ opment and united their rooks．It might appear that White has a healthy extra pawn．

13．．．e5！14．シّfe1 e4 15．©d2 气e5
16． 0 d 1 ofg4

Subsequent generations of players have copied Alekhine＇s plan without hesita－ tion．Here is a typical example：14．亶ae1 （instead of 14．曾fe1）14．．．e4 15．© 0 d2气e5 16．f4 exf3 17．©xf3 ©fg4，and it is hard to know what to advise White （Sinadinovic－Sahovic，Nis 1981）．

17．鼻xg4 0 xg4 18． 0 f1
The knights，pinned to the back rank， defend the squares f2 and h2．
But what protects g2？

## 18．．．聯 95 ！



The decisive strengthening of the attack． All that remains is to bring the knight round via g4－h6－f5－h4 and White will be in terrible trouble．
Speaking honestly，his position is hopeless．Black can quietly regroup， strengthen his position，and his oppo－ nent has nothing with which to oppose this．One rarely sees White，after fewer than 20 moves，fall into such a passive， hopeless position．Yet one cannot really criticise any one of his moves．Perhaps he really should not have taken the pawn on move 5？！

19．h3 © h6 20．象h1 ©f5 21．©h2
With the intention after 21．．． $0^{2}$ h4 of replying 22. 畐g1，covering the vulner－ able point．But the position has long since come to resemble a leaky boat－ you repair one leak and another springs up．White somehow manages to cover
his kingside，but now his centre col－ lapses．

## 21．．．d4 22．臬c1

After 22．exd4 Black wins with both $22 \ldots . . c x d 4$ ，and the more forcing $22 \ldots$ e 3

 threats．Not only is the h2－knight hang－ ing，so is the pawn on h3．

##  －g3＋！

Not 25．fxg3 匑xg3，attacking the knight and rook．And this means that it is time to draw the curtain．But Tarrasch played

25． $\begin{gathered}\text { ong } \\ 1\end{gathered}$
allowing the knight into e2，and continued the hopeless resistance until move 40 ．
Jeroen Piket
Veselin Topalov

Madrid 1997


This game began with a Benko Gambit． In the course of the battle，the structure took on characteristics of the Blumen－ feld．Probably Piket，a cultured player， knew of the famous predecessor game． But he could not do anything．

16．．．e5！
By comparison with the game Tarrasch－ Alekhine，here we can identify several nuances，which are in White＇s favour．

In particular，he has a strong knight on b 5 ，his rook can operate along the third rank and his a4－pawn is both extra and passed．
But even so，the game did not last long and was extremely one－sided．There is nothing to say．Black，with the appropri－ ate amendments，carried out Alekhine＇s plan and White did nothing to place this classical plan in doubt．
d4 20．exd4 cxd4 21．㘳a2 שad8
27．新xb7 皆b8
0－1

Now let us look at some examples of pawn sacrifices to achieve a draw．
Viswanathan Anand is one of the great defenders of modern chess，maybe the greatest of all．Many young players could learn a lot from his games，and memorise many defensive devices， which they can use in their own games． But who taught Anand himself？

Alexey Shirov Viswanathan Anand
Linares 2002


Black＇s position is unpleasant，and not merely because of the threat of 21 ．蒐e5蒐d6 22．苞xd6！䓪xd6 23．c5．This tacti－ cal threat is not hard to meet．

The real issue is more concrete fac－ tors．White has two bishops，the better pawn structure（fewer＇islands＇），and his opponent has no counterplay．Almost any exchange brings White closer to the endgame，in which all of the above factors will have greater significance． Almost any exchange；but not every one！

20．．．鼻d6 21．g3 c5！？22．置xf6 gxf6
23．䇾xf6


The position has been transformed． Black has sacrificed a pawn，but White already no longer has the bishop pair． Furthermore，exchanges are no longer in his favour，because the remaining minor pieces on the board are opposite－ coloured bishops，which means exchanges will increase the chances of a draw．
As far as the extra pawn is concerned， formally this is the pawn on b3．It is unlikely to become passed．Black has only one problem：his exposed king． This is where White＇s winning chances lie．His plan is clear：firstly，not to exchange queens，and secondly to try to get a rook to the g－file．

## 23．．．畕e7 24．断h6

胃d4，and Black holds the balance－ analysis by Sakaev．



Possibly greater chances were offered
 then 31．．ana eyeing up the pawns on a5 and f 7 ．
 There is still a lot of play left，but in the end，Black held the draw．
A pawn sacrifice，forcing a position with opposite－coloured bishops，followed by putting the pawns on a5 and c5，sup－ ported by the dark－squared bishop， stopping White creating a passed pawn on the queenside－did Anand think this up himself at the board？
It is very possible that he did．He is a player of the very highest class．But let us examine the following position．

Mikhail Botvinnik Ilya Rabinovich
Leningrad 1934


In an early edition of his best games collection，Botvinnik wrote：«．．．on

## 26．쁘d3

Black replies 26．．．寞e4 27．寞xy7 寞xy7
 the draw，despite White＇s extra pawn．»
In a purely chess sense，this note is not correct．White cannot play 27．蒐xg7 because of $27 . . . f 5$ ！．So it is no surprise that this note is not present in later edi－ tions of Botvinnik＇s notes．But that is not the main thing．

Compare the position after move 29 in the game Shirov－Anand．Isn＇t it remark－ ably similar？
Had Anand seen this note？Who knows？ I am sure he would have seen the game Botvinnik－Rabinovich，and I would like to think that he saw the notes also， studied them，analysed them，found the mistakes，and remembered everything． At the necessary moment，his memory produced the recommendation and the Indian GM utilised it．
I remember one incident．In Baku，in the republic chess centre，a meeting was organised between young players and the leading Azeri player of those days，Elmar Magerramov，who had just become a GM．I hung on his every word． One piece of advice he gave，which I particularly remember，was＇When you study the games of great players，ana－ lyse not just the moves played，but also the variations and notes．Analyse them －and play them over on the board， without fail！＇
One can read something about this in relation to Nimzowitsch also．He advised that when studying annotated games，one should use two chess sets， one to play the main moves and the other for the notes．A very sensible proposal．If you do everything on one board，then，especially if the notes are very detailed，it is easy to get confused． With two sets，that will not happen．

But let us return to our subject：the pawn sacrifice to make a draw．It is rare， but sometimes one even sees this done where the sacrifice is already the second pawn．Why would one voluntarily go into a position two pawns down？
It turns out to be possible．For exam－ ple，certain rook endings（especially
where the defender＇s king and rook are active）have drawing tendencies．And why suffer in a position a pawn down， when one could give up a second pawn to force favourable exchanges and get to a known draw？

Viktor Kortchnoi
Anatoly Karpov
Moscow 1974


Black＇s position is unenviable．He is a pawn down，and a second pawn hangs． Karpov takes a difficult decision：he gives up the h5－pawn，but takes play into a rook ending．


The assessment of the position depends largely on that arising after 68．亘g8



analysis diagram

White can easily overcome the cut－ ting－off of his king：74．夢el and 75．${ }^{(t y}$ f1， but then what？If he takes the king to f6，then Black checks on f1，driving the king away from the g7－pawn，and then returns the rook to g1．And there is no point in taking the king towards the b5－pawn，because Black defends it with his rook from g5．Let us try one tactical nuance：74．a4！？䟫g1＋（it seems
昆d7 78．重e3 罗a3 is also sufficient for a draw）75．．
苞xg8 80．畐xg8 a3－again a draw．
Kortchnoi plays differently，but also fails to achieve anything：




 ．
Draw．

To be fair，we should say that another solution is possible in the diagram posi－ tion：63．．．h4！64．gxh4 眴g2．Now what can White do？His bishop cannot move， because of mate in one．After 65．罗c6 we reach a curious zugzwang（66． h5 㸓h2）．That leaves only 65．嚍d1＋，but then $65 \ldots$ ．．． c c 7 （of course，not $65 \ldots$ ．．． G c 6 ？？
 67．罗b4 㯖c6，and Black is close to a draw．

These last variations do not devalue Karpov＇s achievement．His method of defence deserves every attention．And it brings to mind this classical exam－ ple：

Carl Schlechter
Emanuel Lasker
Vienna 1910


Material is currently equal, but Black has three weaknesses more. By trying to defend them, he almost certainly condemns himself to defeat: 47 ... 2 c 4 (threatening a knight fork), and then:

 or $48 \ldots \mathrm{~F} 4$ 49. 0 D d 5 f 3 50 . D b 6 ! f2



##  -g6!

A well-known device: Black strives to reach a rook ending at all costs.


54. क్ำ3


But even the rook ending looks difficult, if not lost, at first sight. He is a pawn down and his king is cut off on the 6th rank. The threat is c2-c4 followed by dig f3-f4. What is to be done?

## 

 This is the basis for the classic rule of rook endings: 'activity is more important than material'. The white rook has to defend the c2-pawn and the king the g3-pawn. And Black just bides his time.

Soon, Schlechter accepted the inevitable. Draw!
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