Alexey Bezgodov

The Double Queen's Gambit

A Surprise Weapon for Black

Contents

	f Symbols	
Part I –Wh	ite avoids the main variations	1
Chapter 1 Chapter 2 Chapter 3 Chapter 4 Chapter 5	White accepts the gambit	17 24 27
Part II –Wl	ho is tricking whom? 3. 🗹 f34	F9
Chapter 6 Chapter 7 Chapter 8	The rare symmetrical endgame	56
Part III – T]	he queenless DQG: 3.�f3 cxd4 4.cxd5 �f6 빨xd4 빨xd5 6.�c3 빨xd4 7.�xd49	7
Chapter 9 Chapter 10	7a6 – Taking control of the square b5)0 29
	ne Gorbatov Gambit and the	
	naginary Semi-Tarrasch: 3. 🗘 c3 15	
Chapter 12 Chapter 13	A fascinating gambit	53
Part V – The	e Deferred Capture Variation: 3.cxd5 🖾f6 17	77
Chapter 15	White takes on c5	37
	ne main variation: 3.cxd5 🖐xd5 20	
Chapter 19	Early divergences	23
Part VII – R	etro-Training 24	ŀ7
Postscript		78
Index of Variat		81

Introduction

The Double Queen's Gambit is a name so far unknown to chess players. This is my name for the opening which starts 1.d4 d5 2.c4 c5.



This aggressive thrust with the c-pawn looks very unusual and even somewhat scary. How can it be? Without any preparation, Black, acting as if he thinks he is White, copies the latter's play? This is a breach of classical canons, and of the rules of playing the opening! That is what many would think. However, things are not so simple. Black's attempt to solve his opening problems with this immediate central counterattack has been known for a long time and was used by many classical players, including World Champions. In reality, this sharp attempt has no refutation. In our day, it is played by quite a few grandmasters.

The leading players who have used the opening:

Emanuel Lasker, Max Euwe, Rudolf Spielmann, Vera Menchik, Gyula Breyer, Siegbert Tarrasch, Jacques Mieses, Frank Marshall, Carl Schlechter, Georg Marco, Nikola Padevsky, Richard Réti, Pal Benko, Burkhard Malich, Andrija Fuderer, Jeroen Piket, Heikki Westerinen, Arthur Bisguier, Maxim Dlugy, Peter Svidler, Boris de Greiff, Ilmar Starostits, Mikhail Ulibin, Evgeny Gleizerov, Yury Kryvoruchko, Mikhailo Oleksienko, Zsuzsa Polgar, Konstantin Landa, Roman Ovetchkin...

An impressive list, don't you think? It would be premature to describe it as great, but the fact that so many strong players from different epochs have been and are willing to use this apparently risky-looking variation attests to its reliability.

How did the name 'Double Queen's Gambit' come about? Looking through the games in the line, I noticed the remarkable degree of variation in the names used for it. Some sources call it the Queen's Gambit, others the English Opening, and occasionally it is even called the Caro-Kann. And so I took the decision to name it in a way that would not create any confusion.

The Double Queen's Gambit (hereafter referred to as DQG) is characterised by the very early establishment of the pawn quartet c4-c5-d4-d5. Meanwhile, the other pawns remain at home for the time being.

Earlier (and even still today) the opening could arise via the move-order $1.\mathac{1}{2}$ f3 $\mathac{1}{2}$ f6 2.d4 d5 3.c4 c5 4.cxd5 cxd4. There are many other move-orders. The main one, the most topical and dangerous line for Black to this day, is 1.d4 d5 2.c4 c5 3.cxd5! $\mathac{1}{2}$ xd5! $4.\mathac{1}{2}$ f3 cxd4 $5.\mathac{1}{2}$ c3 $\mathac{1}{2}$ a5 $6.\mathac{1}{2}$ xd4 $\mathac{1}{2}$ f6 or 6...e5. This is dealt with in Part 6. If the white knight comes to f3 at move 3, or earlier, it seems to me that this helps Black.

In attempting to give due credit to those who have studied this opening before me, I should mention the names of the Austrians, Hans Haberditz (1901-1957) and Hans Müller (1896-1971). Several decades ago, they analysed the line quite thoroughly (in the pre-computer era). The results of their work were published in various articles... in my book, these variations are studied from scratch. Naturally, I do not agree with all of their conclusions. But even so, these authors' contribution to the development of the line is quite considerable, and it is no coincidence that in a number of countries, it is known as the 'Austrian Variation'.

This book is devoted to a very sharp attempt by Black to solve his problems immediately in the closed openings. It is no secret that many players do not like to take on a lengthy defensive task, which can often arise after 1.d2-d4, thanks to the gigantic body of modern theory. Whatever opening Black chooses, in all lines he either faces dangerous tactical lines, or rather passive, cramped positions. In order to play dynamic openings (such as those involving a fianchetto of the king's bishop, for example), he not only has to accept great strategic risk, but also the fact that a highly booked-up opponent may lure Black into a prepared variation. Things can be very difficult for Black! My book sets itself a large and difficult task – to save the black player from lengthy opening suffering, and ensure him a solid, and at the same time quite active position. How did this come about?

A couple of years ago, whilst studying some games from the world blitz and rapid championship, I noticed that the elite GM Shakhriyar Mamedyarov had fearlessly played against strong opponents the line 1.d4 d5 2.c4 c5!?. This was nothing terribly surprising in itself, as the Azeri GM is noted for his fighting and uncompromising style. But what did surprise me was that his results with this line were very good, and his opponents seemed to have no convincing responses to this rather committal opening. I became very interested, studied many of his games, did my own analyses, and eventually decided to publish this book. If the world's top grandmasters could not get any real advantage against this energetic and fighting line, then this must mean that it fully deserves the right to exist. If it is correct (and that is my opinion at this moment), then it closes off practically all of White's chances to get an advantage. There is comparatively little to study. The exceptionally early central conflict leads to a quick exchange of a pair of pawns on each side, on the c- and d-files. This in itself eases the defence. However, White retains an advantage in development. It is not very great, and as happens in many modern openings, Black can extinguish it quite quickly, with accurate defence. The result is a symmetrical and relatively simple position, with no weaknesses and very decent prospects for Black – what more can one ask?

I am convinced that my book can provoke considerable interest from players of all levels, from amateur to grandmaster. The surprise effect is, of course, consid-

erable, but even with good preparation by White, one can oppose him with confidence. I am sure that this system of play will bring many practical successes and much creative satisfaction to those who study this book thoroughly and have the courage to play the line in practice. I will say that I myself play the line constantly, and very few of my opponents (including grandmasters) have achieved any real advantage. Therefore my results as Black have improved. I wish you the same, with all my heart!

Alexey Bezgodov Khanty-Mansiysk, June 2015

Chapter 12

A fascinating gambit

1.d4 d5 2.c4 c5 3.∳c3 cxd4 4.∰xd4 ∳c6 5.∰xd5 &e6!



The gambit 1.d4 d5 2.c4 c5 3. ©c3 cxd4 4. Wxd4 ©c6 5. Wxd5 &e6! is a fascinating and little-studied page in modern opening theory. I am convinced that in the near future, there will be a lot of precious new discoveries and important, fascinating games played in this line. The reason I am so certain is that both sides' play is logical and principled. With his third move, White increases the pressure on the centre, in reply to which Black employs a combinational method of creating counterplay.

Psychologically, Black's position is somewhat easier to play. He makes active developing moves, which he knows well. White, on the other hand (especially in the highly likely case that before the game, he did not even suspect the existence of this variation), must make his decisions in a very complicated and dynamic position.

Game 66

Jorden van Foreest2172Mikhail Ulibin2515

Ortisei 2012 (3)

Black's play reminds one of the well-known variation of the Von Hennig-Schara Gambit: 1.d4 d5 2.c4 e6 3.公c3 c5 4.cxd5 cxd4 5.豐xd4 公c6 6.豐d1 exd5 7.豐xd5 皇e6.

(position in diagram)



The main difference is that here, we still have pawns on c4 and e7. It is very

hard to say who this favours. There is no doubt that Black has counterplay, and that this gambit is less well-analysed than the Von Hennig-Schara. I have named this the Gorbatov Gambit, in honour of the player who played it first (see the next game).

The mysterious 5.... 2d7 has not so far been tested in practice. In my opinion, White can retain his extra pawn and the better chances, with very accurate play. Black is far from helpless, as can be shown with the variation 6.公f3 公f6 7.營d3 2g4 8.a3 營xd3 9.exd3 2xf3 10.gxf3 公d4 11.全d1 公b3 12.里b1 公xc1 13.基xc1 基d8 with good chances of a draw.

I suggest 6.e3 ②f6 7.營d1 (probably, this modest square is the best for the queen, because here, it is harder to attack) 7...皇f5 8.皇e2 ②e4 9.②xe4 ②xe4 10.②f3 營xd1+ 11.②xd1 (White has an extra pawn, and Black cannot win it back) 11...e6 12.②d2 ②e7 13.②c3 ③f6 14.冨c1 ⑤e7 15.⑤e2 〖ad8 16.②a4 ②d3+ 17.⑤e1 〖c8 18.b3 ③xc3+ 19.〖xc3 ②e4 20.a3 ±.

We return to the game.



6. \www. xd8+

This leads to equality. In the following game, we examine the stronger move 6. \$\mathbb{\text{b}}\$5!.

6... **≝xd8** 7. **≜d2**

7.e4? Db4 is suicide.

7... gxc4 8.0-0-0

There is an option with Catalan motifs: 8.g3 e5 9.量c1 必f6 10.皇g2 必d5 11.a3 f6 12.皇e4 曾f7 13.公f3 ②xc3 14.皇xc3 皇d5=



8...g6

Black tries to complicate the game. Such a strategy can lead to problems. Simpler is 8...e6=.

I would prefer the centralising 8...e5 9. ②f3 ②f6 with a completely equal (though not drawn) game. Both sides can hope for more than a draw.

14...\$\dot{\phi}\b6

A move associated with risk – the pawn on b6 (after the exchange) can conveniently be attacked by White.

It was worth considering the exchange 14... 2xc3 15. 2xc3 2f6 16. 2xd8 2xd8 17. 2d2 b6 18.f4 2c8 19.g4=.

15. axb6 axb6 16.a3

If he wishes, White can ensure himself safety with 16. 基xd8 基xd8 17. 基d1 心b4+18. 當b3 ②d3 19. 當c2 ②b4+20. 當b3=.

16...f5

Perhaps White underestimated this advance. However, even after it, Black

cannot hope for more than an equal game.

17.**⊑fe1**

Exchanges are harmless: 17.exf5 gxf5 18.基xd8 基xd8 19.基d1 基xd1 20.②xd1 单f7=.

17...≝xd1 18.⊘xd1 b5 19.⊈b3 ≝c8 20.e5 ⊘d8?!

Very dangerous for Black. He retains fully-fledged play after 20... $21.2 \times 21.2 \times 21$



21.9c3

The start of a series of inaccuracies by White, after which his position deteriorates markedly.

It is hard to say what Black intended after the aggressive 21.曾b4. White can not only take the pawn, but also make his king into a very strong piece.

21... Ic5 22. Id1

He retains some advantage after 22.堂c2 ②e6 23.b4! 罩c8 24.罩d1 g5 25.堂b3 g4 26.⑤h4 皇xe5 27.②xb5 gxh3 28.gxh3±.

22...∳c6 23.[™]d7

23... \(\hat{2}\)xe5 24. \(\Q\)xe5 \(\bar{\pi}\)xe5 25.f4

White could still fight for survival with 25 \(\bar{\textsf{Z}} \) d5

25... \(\bar{\pi}\)e3 26. \(\phi\)c2 b4 27.axb4?!

It was essential to try 27.位d5 罩e2+28. 當d3 罩xb2 29.axb4 b5 30.當c3! 罩xg2 31.罩c7, and the battle would continue.

27... ②xb4+ 28. \$\ddot d2 \tilde{\textbf{\pi}} 32. \tilde{\textbf{\pi}} 29. \tilde{\textbf{\pi}} xb7 \tilde{\textbf{\pi}} xb2 31. \tilde{\textbf{\pi}} a7 \tilde{\textbf{\pi}} h2 32. \tilde{\textbf{\pi}} c7 \tilde{\textbf{\pi}} xh3+

White loses a third pawn.

33. ஓd4 ஓf7 34. ≝c4 ⊘c2+ 35. ஓc5 ⊘a3 36. ஓd4 0-1

Game 67

Irina Umanskaya Alexey Gorbatov

2295 2415

Moscow 1996 (9)

1.d4 d5 2.c4 c5 3.40c3 cxd4

More solid and not involving a pawn sacrifice is 3... 166, considered in the following Chapter 13.

3...e6 4.cxd5 exd5 transposes into the classical Tarrasch Defence (4...cxd4 is a Von Hennig-Schara Gambit).



Otherwise White has no chances of an advantage at all. Although the queen is strangely placed on b5 and can be attacked, Black is still far away from equality.

 problems at least. This was discussed at length in the previous game.

Completely wrong is 6. C5?? 4d4-+.

6...a6!

An ingenious intermediate move. Taking on b7 is dangerous for White, and so Black wins some time for development.

I will admit that I wanted to leave the white queen on b5, so Black has the option of attacking it later instead. Therefore, I studied the immediate 6...g6. However, this is too responsible an action for Black to get away with unpunished: 7.皇f4 皇g7 (7...皇h6 8.墨d1!) 8.②d5 星c8 9.星d1, and Black has nothing good to look forward to.

7. **₩a4**

Taking on b7 is not as hopeless for White as a quick glance might suggest: $7.\text{@xb7} \odot \text{d4} 8.\text{@d5!}$.



analysis diagram

 ②xf2+ 17.②xf2 營xf4 18.營a5+ 含e7 (18...營c7 also gives a slightly better endgame) 19.營a7+ 含f6 20. 总d3 置d8 21.置f1 ②f5 22.含c2 營d4 23.營xd4+ ②xd4+ 24.含b1 g6±.



7...g6

Having looked at other plans, I can say with confidence that Gorbatov made the best choice.

8.e3

After 8.e4 \(\hat{Q}\)g7 Black, as in the game, has good piece counterplay, along the lines of the King's Indian, Modern Benoni and Benko Gambits. His further play, depending on circumstances, can be associated with an exchange on c3 and play against the weak queenside pawns (as in this game), or the preparation of ...b7-b5, activating his forces to the maximum.

8...≜g7 9.⊘f3 ≜xc3+

In previous times, when analysts paid great attention to 'general considerations', such an exchange would have seemed strange. However, the possibility of playing against the chronically weak queenside pawns is at least as important as the strong bishop, although, of course, the bishop could have been retained.

The simple 9... \$\hat{0}\$f6 $10.\$ \$e2 0-0 is also good.

10.bxc3 **公f6** 11.**息e2**



11...\₩a5

Slightly worse is 11...②e4 12.豐c2 豐a5 13.②d4 ②xd4 14.exd4 豐xc3+ 15.豐xc3 ②xc3 16.急f1 0-0 17.急a3 罩fe8 18.罩c1 ②a4 19.兔e2±.

12. 營xa5 公xa5 13. 公d2 罩c8 14. 罩b1 身f5

Black's possibilities are surprisingly extensive and various. Here are a couple of other variations:

A) 14... 2\d7 15.f4 b6 16.\(\bar{\textit{L}}\)b4 \(\bar{\textit{L}}\)c6 17.\(\bar{\textit{L}}\)a4 (17.\(\bar{\textit{L}}\)b1 \(\bar{\textit{L}}\)a5=) 17...\(\bar{\textit{L}}\)c5 18.\(\bar{\textit{L}}\)a3 \(\bar{\textit{L}}\)a5 19.\(\bar{\textit{L}}\)b3 \(\bar{\textit{L}}\)ab7 20.0-0 0-0 21.\(\bar{\textit{L}}\)d1 a5\(\begin{array}{c} \textit{L} \\ \t

15. 草b4 草c7 16. 身f3



16... \(\partial\)d3?!

Everything is fully in order for Black after 16...0-0 17.0-0 皇d3 18.量d1 皇c2 19.量f1 ②d7!? (avoiding the repetition

of moves) 20. 2a3 2e5 21.c5 2xf3+22. 2xf3 2d3 23. 2c1 2c4 24. 2e1 2e2=.

17. മിb3

Black had clearly underestimated this move.

17...ඉc6

Black faces a long search for the draw in the variation 17... 18. 2xb7 2xb7 2e4 20. 2xe4 2xe4 21. 2e2±.

Objectively stronger is 18... **=** xb7 19. **=** xc6+ **=** d7 20. **=** c5 **=** xc4 21. **=** xd7 **=** xd7 22. **=** a3±.

Black would be placed on the verge of defeat after 19. 毫xc6 罩xc6 20. 毫a3 罩e8 21. ②a5 罩c7 22. 尝d2 罩xb7 23. ②xb7+ 尝c8 24. 尝xd3 尝xb7 25. 罩d1± with very well-founded chances of winning.

19...②e5 20. ②e2 ②xc4 21. ②xc4 ②xc4 22. ③b8+ ③c8 23. ③xc8+ ③xc8 24. ②d2 ②e5 25. ②a3 ③d7



26.0-0?!

Very late castling is not the best decision, and deprives White of any real advantage. The king was needed in the centre to support the valuable pawn on c3. Things would not be so easy for

Things would not be so easy for Black after 26.f4 ②eg4 27.\(\delta\)eg2 \(\tilde{\to}\)d5 28.\(\delta\)c1±.

26... Ic8 27. Id1 \$e6

Black also had at his disposal 27... \$\ddot\ell 8!?.

28.4 b3

28. 全b4 單d8 29. 全f1 包e4 30. 全e2 ②xd2 31. 罩xd2 罩c8 with sufficient compensation for the pawn to maintain equality.

30...Øc4

Black would also have a noticeable advantage after 30... $2 \times 31.$ $2 \times 31.$ $2 \times 32.$ 2×3

31. **≜c**1

The rook could show its energy after 31. 基d4! 公xa3 32.基xe4=.

31...e5 32.f3 公c5 33.e4 ℤb8 34.ஓf2 ஓe6 35.Ձe3 公a4

The activity of the black pieces has grown. White fails to withstand the tension.



36.f4?

The position could be held with 36. \(\hat{\omega}\)c1! \(\begin{aligned}
\begin{aligned}
\beg

36...**ℤ**b2

But now White is certainly in a bad way.

37. 2c1 **Zxa2 38.fxe5 Xxc3 39.Ze1 Xxe5 40.** 2e3 **Xyd+**0-1

Conclusion

The gambit 3. ©c3 cxd4 4. Wxd4 ©c6 leads to sharp and dynamic positions, and is good against theoreticians, who may regret not having devoted time to the variation. From a purely chess point of view also, the gambit is perfectly good and worthy of greater attention than it has received in contemporary practice.

Index of Variations

1.d4 d5 2.c4 c5



White avoids the main variations: 1.d4 d5



2.句f3 c5 3.dxc5
2. ĝg5 c5
2. 皇f4 c5 3. 包f3
3.e3
2.c4 c5 3.cxd5 \(\mathbb{\text{\tinite\text{\ti}\text{\texi}\titt{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texi}\titt{\text{\texitiex{\text{\texi}\tint{\texit{\texi}\text{\texit{\text{
2.c4 c6 3.Øf3 Øf6 4.cxd5 cxd5 5.Øc3 Øc6
6. ĝf4 e6 7.e3 ĝd6 8. ĝg3 27
8. 包e5
8. ĝd3 ĝxf4 9.exf4 ĝd7 30
9⊮b6 10.0-0
10.a333
8. <u>\$</u> xd6

2.c4 c5 3.e3 cxd4 4.exd4 2f6 5.2c3 2c6



6.∕∑f3	<u>₿</u> g4	7.cxd5	$\triangle xd5$	8. ₩ b3	<u></u> ≗xf3	
	9.gxf3	e6 10.	₩xb7 °	Ɗxd4 11	.奠b5+	
	②xb5	12. ₩ c6	+ \$ e7	13.₩xb5	5 ₩ d7	
	14.©xc	15+ ₩x	d5 15.₩	₿e2		38
15.₤						
6. <u>₿</u> g5						
7.d5	Фe5 8.	₩d4 h6	9. £ f4	Øg6 10.≨	≟xc4	41
9.	<u> </u>	g6 10.⊈	<u>2</u> g3			42
7. ≜ x	c4 h6 8	8. ≜ xf6				45
8.	₿h4 .					45

1.d4 d5 2.c4 c5 3.0f3



3cxd4 4.₩xd4 ②c6 5.₩xd5 ₩xd5 6.cxd5	
۵b4 7.۵d4	51
7.⑤a3	54
4.cxd5 ₩a5+ 5.b4	56
5.₩d2 ₩xd5 6.e3	57
6.�c3 a5 7.ᡚxd4 e5	
7约f6	
3cxd4 4.cxd5 ፟∅f6 5.∅xd4 ٰ∅xd5 6.a3 €	
6.�b5€	58
6.g3	
6.e4 ②f6 7.遑b5+	71
7.e5	
7.∕∆c3	
6ఏb4 7.≝a4+	
7.黛b5+	37
7. <u>\$</u> e3	38

1.d4 d5 2.c4 c5 3.心f3 cxd4 4.cxd5 心f6 5. wxd4 wxd5 6. 心c3 wxd4 7. 心xd4



7a6 8.e4 e5 9.₺b3100
9.42c2102
8. ĝg5 ĝd7
8e5
8. ½ f4
8.g3 e5 9.\(\hat{Q}\)c2
9.\(\tilde{D}\)b3 \(\tilde{D}\)c6
9 2 d7116
7Qd7 8.e4
8. 堂 g5
8.g3 133
8. 2 f4
8. 公db5 曾d8 9. 皇f4
9. <u>ĝ</u> e3
7e5 8. 🖾 db5 🖄 a6 9.e3
9.e4
9. 🚊 g 5
9.g3 151

1.d4 d5 2.c4 c5 3.42c3

I		夏	w	ġ	皇		Ï	
1	1			À			1	
		À	À					
		8	8					
		5						
å	8	_		å	8	8	8	
Ï		Ļ	₩	\$	<u>\$</u>	9	Ï	

3cxd4 4.₩xd4 ②c6 5.₩xd5 ②e6 6.₩xd8+	159
6.∰b5	160
3②f6 4.②f3	165
4. <u>₿</u> g5	166
4.dxc5	
4.cxd5 ∅xd5 5.dxc5	168
5.e4	169
5. 🗹 f 3 🖾 x c 3 6. b x c 3 c x d 4 7. c x d 4 e 5	
8.dxe5	172
8.e3 exd4	174
8 😩 b4+	175
0 0 1	176

1.d4 d5 2.c4 c5 3.cxd5 56



4.dxc5 \(\vec{\psi}\)xd5 5.\(\hat{\dagge}\)d2	179
5.\\x\d5 \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	180
6. <u>ĝ</u> d2	182
6.e4 🖄 b4 7. 🖄 a3 e5 8. 🖄 f3 f6	
9. <u>巢</u> d2 ②8c6	183
9©8a6	
4.e4 ②xe4 5.dxc5 ②xc5 6.②c3	
6.∰f3	190
5\₩a5+ 6.\@d2 \@xd2 7.\₩xd2 \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	
8.∕∑xd2 g6 9.≜b5+	
9. △g f3	192
9.0-0-0	192
9.\(\beta\)c1 \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	192
9.b4	
7豐xc5	197
7.∕∑xd2	195
6.⊈c3	193
(A) 10	102

1.d4 d5 2.c4 c5 3.cxd5 營xd5



4. ②c3
4.e3
4.②f3 cxd4 5.②c3 營a5 6.營xd4 211
6.∮xd4 e5 214
6句f6 7.
7.營d3222
7.幻b3 豐c7 8.遑g5 225
8.e4
7. <u>奠</u> d2
7.g3 🖄 e4
7e5 8. ② b3 234
8. ⑤ b5237
5₩d8 6.ᡚxd4 216
6.\\x\d4
5₩d7 6.ᡚxd4 219
6.\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\