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## PROLOGUE

## The ABCs of chess

I was playing my first games as a grandmaster in Jerusalem 1986，which coincided with the FIDE Congress in Dubai．The venerable Viktor Kortchnoi had been gradually outplaying me，and I felt the game slipping away in the following position：

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Joel Benjamin } & 2540 \\
\text { Viktor Kortchnoi } & 2650
\end{array}
$$

Jerusalem 1986 （3）


## 47．．．常b1

This move gave me a bad feeling． Black can also win with 47 ．．．喈c1



 54．胃b6＋夢c4，etc．But Kortchnoi forced the pawn ending right away， obviously seeing it all to the end．

Even though the black king seems to be far from the action，White is lost！
$50 . f 3$
White can＇t do without this move，



Viktor Kortchnoi

浸b452．．．

50．．．象c3！
Chess is not checkers－you don＇t have to take．50．．．gxf3＋51．葸xf3 黾c3


悪xe4 54．． 56．．tabe2 e3
The game was adjourned here （remember adjournments，anyone？） and I sealed．．．

## 57．${ }^{\text {tab d }} \mathrm{d} 1$

I would obviously lose after 57．．fabel
 like to call this motif the＇fake stalemate＇－White has to move
pawns against his will) $60 . \mathrm{g} 5 \mathrm{fxg} 5$ $61 . g 4$ dag f3. But now I seemed to
 $60 . g 4$ dige3 leads to a real stalemate, while 60.... 훌e4 61. .和xg4 63. 禀g2 gains White the opposition and draws. I knew this couldn't be correct. Kortchnoi had played too quickly and confidently and the position didn't look like it should be a draw. Before leaving the table, Kortchnoi looked at me and said, 'I know something about triangles.' I was lost in more ways than one, because I still didn't see the win. Fortunately Dmitry Gurevich, who was 'classically trained' in the endgame (i.e. he grew up in the Soviet Union) showed me the potential finale.


Indeed, it is all about triangles:
 (59. क్ged d dex puts White in zugzwang; the king can go to e2 only if Black's king is already on e4) 59... ${ }^{\text {nd }} \mathrm{d} 4$ and having created the position with White to move, Black
 pretty, but quite simple, too. I ran after Kortchnoi and resigned, apologizing profusely for my ignorance. Quite perplexed, Kortchnoi told me, 'It is the ABCs of chess!' Of course he was right. Triangulation is a basic technique in pawn endings, which are the building blocks for all other endgames.

I realized how important it is to understand pawn endings, and I've been fascinated by them ever since. The magical quality of so many of these endings, where the result often hinges on wonderful subtleties, drew me to writing this book, but there was another practical point that I felt needed to be addressed in print.
Pawn endings do not arise out of nowhere; we know of course that every pawn ending started out as an endgame with more pieces on the board. Some endgame works have addressed the issue with chapters on simplification into pawn endings, but this feels insufficient to me. Just as Kortchnoi's mastery of pawn endings led him to an easy win from the rook endgame, studying the transition will help us see the pawn endings evolve and enable us to appreciate and understand them so much better.

## Introduction

Examples in this book are drawn almost exclusively from practical play. Many of the games are recent and have not appeared in any other endgame manuals. Some others have appeared only in the pawn ending form. In analysis I have tried to focus on the practical aspects of the decisions each player had to make. The move numbering is from the original games to indicate the length of the struggle and possible effects of time pressure. I have generally followed the game continuations to the end, even if the play is far from optimal, because we learn as much from the mistakes as from the proper solutions. Along the way I discovered many amazing possibilities hidden in sidelines.

The examples are divided into chapters according to the material present before the liquidation. I have explored the unique aspects of particular pieces in regard to the endgame, e.g. placement of pawns on light and dark squares in relation to bishops, the dynamics of knights and outside passed pawns, positions that favor one minor piece over another, the bishop pair, and bishops of opposite colors. In a number of examples, liquidation occurs almost immediately; obviously the pawn ending takes center stage there. In other cases, the pieces may stay on for several moves, or possibly only come off in a key variation. 'To trade or not to trade' - that is the question that can only be understood by examining both pathways. I strove to offer, as much as possible, practical advice about these decisions, in addition to analyzing the critical variations.

The process is, to some extent, working backwards from the pawn ending. So I have subdivided the examples according to general pawn ending themes, which I will explain below:

## Technical liquidation

In these cases the transition to the pawn ending is the key itself, and the resulting position is won or drawn without complications.

## Tempo games

I have often said about pawn endings, 'It's not where you are going, it's when you get there.' Results are often determined by exact timing of moves. Zugzwang, opposition and triangulation are important themes in this realm.

## King activity

These are endings where the primary factor is the superior activity of one player's king, though often it will be counterbalanced by a competing advantage.

## Passed pawn dynamics

This category explores the typical possibilities created by protected passed pawns, outside passed pawns, connected passed pawns, etc.

## The race

Many endings come down to both players trying to queen a pawn as fast as they can. The race can involve kings clearing the way for pawns or pawns doing it for themselves. Breakthrough tactics are often involved.

The key moment often comes after both sides promote. There may be tactics to win the queen, force mate, or re-liquidate. Even when the slower player only gets the pawn to the seventh rank, the special circumstances of rook or bishop pawns lead to further nuances.

## Breakthrough

Sometimes one can force a passed pawn despite having a minority or an equal number of pawns in an area of the board. Sacrifices can not only create passed pawns but open up squares to help these pawns queen. Certain typical pawn structures generate breakthrough opportunities. Breakthroughs and races are often intertwined.

## Sacrifices and countersacrifices

In these cases the pawn ending arrives in stages. One player sacrifices a piece (generally for (a) strong passed pawn(s) or dominant king position). The second will be compelled to sacrifice in return later on.

For this New and Extended 3rd Edition, I have added new categories:

## Fortress

These are positions where the stronger side cannot finish the job despite having an apparently winning position. The obstacles can be inability to open the position or penetrate with the king, and stalemate possibilities.

## Pawn structure

In these examples a weakness in pawn structure, such as doubled pawns, plays a key role in the ensuing play.

Naturally, there is a great degree of overlap, and the positions do not always fit neatly into these categories.

I have tried to emphasize the human element of these games as much as possible. I hope to help the reader understand how to anticipate the developments in these types of endings and offer practical advice for making these critical decisions.

All of the chapters conclude with exercises for the reader. In each case the reader is asked a question, rather than simply expected to find a winning or drawing continuation. The questions may compel the reader to make a decision about liquidation. It may be whether trading is the correct option, or choosing between moves based on a potential liquidating resource. For a greater challenge, the exercises are not organized by theme. However, there is a hints section in the back of every chapter where a word or phrase will be offered for each example to gently guide the reader.

A section on thematic positions from pawn endings can also be found at the end. These diagrams graphically depict basic critical motifs that should be understood after reading the chapters. For further review, each position will include game references from the chapters that illustrate the concept.

For this Extended Edition, I have added more than fifty new games, supplementing the main chapters and exercise sections. Most of these examples are recent games, some 'ripped from the headlines', with an occasional golden oldie. I have also added a few more positions to the thematic positions section.

Lastly, writing this book was both fun and educational, and I continue to view endgames - especially ones with juicy liquidations - with excitement and wonderment. I believe readers will have a similar experience, and I hope to trigger in you the same passion for the endgame.

## CHAPTER 8

## Major piece endings

## Two rooks each

The double rook endgame brings particular judgment decisions of whether to swap both pairs of rooks, or just one. The pawn endgame may arrive in stages, but the mass liquidation is often a distinct possibility, as in the next case.

## King activity

Game 8.1
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Praveen Balakrishnan } & 2418 \\ \text { Kanan Heydarli } & 2255\end{array}$
New York 2013


White can meet the threat of
 everything with plenty of time to organize sufficient counterplay. Instead he chose:

## 28. ${ }^{\text {ele1 }}$

A Caissic roll of the dice. Allowing the pawn ending is a dubious decision, even if White can hold with best play. Subtle differences, like slightly better king position, can have a far greater impact in
the pawn ending than in the rook ending. It has to be said that White can even win sometimes if Black overreaches in the pawn ending. Black surprisingly went for
 with an eventual draw. But the far more testing line was $28 . .$. 奛xe1



analysis diagram
We have an ending similar to Ivanchuk-Karjakin from Chapter 2 (Game 2.19). The majorities look pretty similar, but Black's king is better placed so he should have better chances. Black is faster after
 b4 37.f5 gxf5 38.gxf5 c3 39.bxc3+
 should be preferred：33．．．h5 34．h3

analysis diagram
 b5（the same result comes from 37．．．喜d4 38．f5 gxf5 39．gxf5 衰e5
 43．．
 b4 40．f5 gxf5


B） 34 ．．．b5 35．b3！c4 and now White has a choice：
B1）36．bxc4＋bxc4 37．g4 h4 38．a3
 gxf5 42．gxf5！（now 42．g5？象e5 43．象d3 象e6 will land White in
 （Black wins the h－pawn，but the rook pawn on the other side dooms






B2）Giving Black a protected passed pawn with 36．b4！？is counter－intuitive，but the only way for Black to progress is to give this pawn away－and it could be a costly mistake：36．．．c3 37．g4 h4？？（37．．．hxg4 38．hxg4 c2 39．．
 39．．．ㅎgxc2 象e4 40．f5 gxf5 41．g5！！（41． gxf5 would lose，because Black has more queenside pawns to feast on， but now this move actually wins for


 zugzwang gives White the point．

In the next example the inferior side fails to prepare for a single trade and falls in the pawn ending．

Game 8.2
Yuleikys Fleites Marti 2179 Aramis Alvarez Pedraza 2565

Merida 2011 （1）


Black has a better pawn structure， but the only way through will involve exchanges．

White has several chances to draw by accepting a single rook ending， but by stubbornly holding the line he lets the draw slip away．38．罡xa4
 should hold．

## 

This was the last chance to get in 40．g3．Then 40．．．a5 41．岂bxc4＋皆xc4 42．党d3 当e4 43．h4 gives Black a pretty position，but it＇s not at all clear how he can break through．



## 42．．．dxc4！

Black clears a path for his king and wins directly．42．．．我xc4？may seem natural，but after 43.83 Black is in zugzwang and must scramble for a draw，which he can just achieve with 43．．．h4 44．gxh4 d4（on 44．．．䣽c5
 dac4 48．．g．d2 d4 White wins with either 49．h3 or 49．cxd4 Ф̊ㅀㄹxd4 50．h3）
 47．
 49．．d．b2 dag c4 is a clear draw，but even





analysis diagram

58．fxe6 fxe6 59．कृ．c4＋－）58．．d．d5

$62 . c 8$ 㛧 with a large advantage for White；




 46．훌e1


## 46．．．h4！

And White resigned．
Black avoided a little trap：if

$48 . g 4$ is the same） 48. ．gf2 draws
because Black lacks a tempo to get his king out of the box，for example 48．．．힝h3 49．

## The race

Game 8.3
Amalia Aranaz Murillo 2244
Anna Matlin 2064

Maribor jr 2012 （4）


In the double rook ending，Black＇s outside passed pawn is balanced by White＇s superior king activity．Black gets the idea to aim for a pawn ending where the outside passer becomes a greater factor：


 36．b4
This seems logical because Black will have difficulties making a passed pawn．

## 36．．．．gd7 37．f5？！

White has an easier time of it with
 37．．．a6 38．和f6 b6 39．f5 gxf5 40．gxf5 a5 41．bxa5 bxa5 42．．ब．g77） $38 . f 5$ gxf5 39．${ }^{\text {同xf5！}}=$ ．White＇s majority is the equal of Black＇s．

## 37．．．gxf5 38．gxf5 đ̊̊e7



## 39．f6＋？

The World Youth fast time controls are not conducive to precise play in the pawn ending．Both players foresee a race，but White＇s approach leaves her losing the tempi battle． 39．等e5 would not help：39．．．a6 40．क్add b6 41．क్ảc6 a5 42．bxa5 bxa5



But 39．h4！！holds the draw：
A）39．．．．


 and both sides will queen；
B） $39 . . . \mathrm{h} 540 . \mathrm{f6}+$（now this works because Black＇s reserve tempo move is gone）40．．．㣏xf6 41．等d6
 has to accept both sides queening with 43 ．．．${ }^{\text {dyg }} 4$ because now the trip to the queenside even loses：
 46．．ُa6＋－－

 g．ay！is also good．
41．．⿷⿱㇒⿸⿻日丿乚厶𧘇 7


## 41．．．b5？

41．．．b6 is the all or nothing move，

 46．h4 h5－＋White is the one in zugzwang．

 혈 4 48．．ja5！
The simplest method，though White can afford to get the right idea later：


48．．．




Viswanathan Anand

## Tempo games

Game 8.4
Viswanathan Anand 2791
Boris Gelfand 2727
Moscow Wch m 2012 （3）


The following endgame occurred on the highest stage，and was naturally scrutinized by many players， particularly in the pages of Chess Today by Alexander Baburin and Artur Kogan．
Here Anand missed his chance with 34．．̈c7？当e8 35．量h1 当ee2 36．d7


White could have liquidated successfully in stages，beginning with
34．d7 Ёcc2 35．\＃̈c4！घxc4


40．${ }^{\text {enc }}$ c + White will queen with check．
 gagf6

39．\＃̈h7
 the g－pawn．


## 39．．．혈e6

 Exd8 42．${ }^{\text {Exb }} \mathrm{xb}$ Black does not win the g－pawn，so White maintains excellent winning chances．

## 40．．asc3



## 40．．．${ }^{\text {Ex }}$ xd

It doesn＇t help to wait，for example：


 wins the race．

## 



Here，Kogan proposed a win after $43 . f 4$ b6 44．c5 b5 45．g3！（45．c6 g d6


 49．管c4 leads to the same thing） 46．．．a4 47．c6 b4 48．叐c4 b3 49．axb3 axb3 50．．⿷．xb3 홀d6

analysis diagram
 çabe6 54．dic5 and White wins easily． Kogan pointed out that chess engines see the win only close to the end（my experience was similar）．

A deep search reveals that White can also win（in rather more spectacular fashion）with 43．c5． The main line goes 43．．．f4 44．g．⿰⿱丶⿸⿴巳一丶阝e 4



 50. ．6．c5．

analysis diagram
With this shouldering maneuver， White completes the pawns swap on the queenside，slowing Black down
considerably on that side．Then he can turn his attention back to the



 57．象e4！（only on this square can White force a win）57．．．． | nd |
| :---: |
| $b 3$ |
| $58 . f 4$ |





Black can only delay the inevitable queen trade：63．．．家c2 64．Wewf


 White wins．
In a match where almost every game（including this one） concluded before the second time control，these variations would surely have livened things up．

## Queens and rooks

With dissimilar major pieces，the choice of which trade to make can produce quite different kinds of endgames．Moreover，one has to consider how the initial trade－be it queens or rooks－will impact a potential final liquidation．

## Tempo games

Game 8.5
Diego Flores
2601
Mustafa Yilmaz
2626
Dubai 2018 （6）


White＇s majors could potentially attack Black＇s king，so an offer of liquidation makes perfect sense．

## 

White can＇t consider further liquidation because the centralized black king becomes a decisive
 etc．But perhaps this fact made Black complacent about future liquidation．

## 36．．．did7 37．㗀c5 寝c6？

The engines consider this as good as any move because it leads to a draw with best play．Still，I feel it＇s a very bad move because Black should not have to find forced moves to draw．
The pro－active approach is probably the best solution：37．．．響d1 38．g．gf2 （if 38 ．断c4， 38 ．．．嵝d 6 or a half－dozen other moves keep the balance） 38．．．嵝h1 and White will either have to concede perpetual check
or make a dubious winning try
 41．我e3 嵝xg3．

## 38．宸xc6 bxc6 39．．dat2 e5

Black has other moves，but they require good future decisions，e．g．



 41．${ }^{\text {b．}} \mathrm{d} 3 \mathrm{f} 4$ ．

## $40 . e 4$

White takes the d 5 －square from the rival king and fixes the e5－pawn for potential capture．
40．．．fxe4
40．．．f4 is actually more air tight． After 41．gxf4（41．g4 홀e6 42．我e2
 seen）41．．．exf4 the protected passed pawn doesn＇t help that much because if White＇s king strays too far Black will make a kingside passer．

## 41．fxe4 ఫ్ge6？？

Black plays into a straightforward zugzwang．The only way is to set up counterplay on the kingside for when White＇s king runs to the other side：41．．．高g6（41．．．魏f6 is okay， too； $42 . \mathrm{h} 4 \mathrm{~g} 543$ ．．⿱㇒㠯．f3 gxh4 44．gxh4



 e3 $52 . \mathrm{b7}$ e2 53．b8宸 e1訔54．宸f8＋
 56．㠦g4\＃）56．h5 浸e6＋and Black will either give perpetual or grab the h5－pawn．


 will drop due to zugzwang．
 47．h4 h5 48．g5
Black resigned．
The decision to initiate or offer a mass liquidation is not necessarily an either／or proposition．Trades need not be avoided but rather delayed until the timing becomes right．

Game 8.6
Gabor Papp
2596
Alexander Donchenko
2573
Prague 2016 （9）


The mass liquidation happens by Black＇s choice，which can convey a certain degree of optimism about it．
It is not inherently good or bad－ the timing will decide．

## 31．．．㟶x $x=5+$ ？

I＇m sure that young grandmaster Donchenko saw he could wait with 31．．．hxg4 32．fxg4 ght White is left waiting with all of his pieces，and he cannot plow through with 33．h5？牧xe5＋when the pawn ending
is winning for Black．Perhaps he thought he saw an opportunity to win．

34．${ }^{\text {®．axd }} \mathrm{xd}$


34．．．c5
White＇s centralized king is poised to herald victory on either side．The attractive continuation 34．．．g5 does not work： $35 . \mathrm{hxg} 5 \mathrm{hxg} 4$（White＇s king is in the square of the h－pawn）
 39．筸g3 c5 40．a3 a4 41．bxa4 b6 $42 . \mathrm{a} 5$ bxa5 43．a4，winning with zugzwang． Giving White a potential outside passed pawn leads to a predictable result：34．．．hxg4 35．fxg4 c5 36．

 White wins by maneuvering the king to the a－file．The ．．．f7－f6 break doesn＇t help because White＇s king will always be in the square of the e－pawn，and can return decisively to the center．
Finally，Black can＇t wait either，
 leads to decisive penetration on the queenside，helped by lots of spare tempo moves．

35．．ác 3 ？g5 would turn the tables， but White has a straightforward win on the kingside now．
35．．．．g
37．．．f5＋38．exf6＋dixf6 39．a3 again concludes by zugzwang．
 41．a4
Black resigned．

Passed pawn dynamics： protected passed pawns

## Game 8.7

Le Quang Liem 2702
Alexander Grischuk
2785
Tromsø 2013 （3）


## 

Here Black has to have a serious think about what endgame he will defend before he makes any captures at all．
I＇m inclined to think the best chance was 33 ．．．bxc5．White can combine threats to penetrate on the b－file with the potential advance of the d－pawn，but Black has more chances to mix it up．The game might continue 34．党b1 didg7 35．撉a6
 c3 39．d6 算d8 and Black can still fight．

## 

The timing is bad for Black；after 34．．．bxc5 35．销a6 White＇s queen will take up a decisive post on c6
 smashed by 36 ．

##  f5 38．f3



## 38．．．．．すd d6

This loses prosaically．Perhaps
Grischuk counted on 38．．．c4 39．．⿷⿱㇒⿸⿻日丿乚厶力刂d2噚d6 40．． apparent activity counts for nothing as his reserve pawn moves will inevitably run out．White will only need to use a little triangulation： 41．g4 fxe4 42．fxe4 g5 43．a4 h6．

analysis diagram

Now White wins with 44. ．
 48．冨d2 etc．
 42．骂b5 h6 43．．
Black resigned．
Zugzwang will cost him the c－pawn．

## The race

Game 8.8
Joshua Colas 2242
IIlya Nyzhnyk 2594
St Louis 2017 （1）


## 37．．．皆xd4

Nyzhnyk takes a shot with this exchange because 37 ．．．昆xe3 38．欮xe3
 41．${ }^{\text {昆b}} 4$ is actually clearly better for White，and there is really no other plan．

## 38．exd4？

Komodo evaluates both captures as equivalent－dead even in both cases．Yet this capture is definitely a mistake！After 38．cxd4，preparing for counterplay on the c－file，White is not the least bit worse．Black can try to mix things up with 38．．．揱e4


 but White can just as well win that as lose it．The pawn ending offers discernible advantages to Black and is only drawn with exact play．．． which White had not worked out．
 g5 41．hxg5
White gains nothing by avoiding this exchange，though he does have a rather fortunate draw in the long



噚xd4（51．．．dxc4 52．d5 cxb3 53．d6＝） 52．cxd5 置xd5 53．．

41．．．喜g6 42．噚e3
It＇s a good policy to make your opponent exhaust his reserve pawn moves，though in this case 42． would have been just as good．




Black＇s king is a lot closer to the critical sector，but because White has an extra pawn to capture on the queenside，White can still
surprisingly hold．．．and even more surprisingly，he has two ways to do it！：



 57．a5＝；




## 47．b4？？

This fails to set up counterplay and thus loses without a fight．
 White resigned in view of 50. gag 5


Game 8.9
Vitali Golod 2573
Ivan Cheparinov 2581

Yerevan Ech 2014 （9）


38．．．．＂c5？？
 tiny advantage．Perhaps this was an ill－advised（though ultimately successful）winning attempt．




White's advantage may not seem obvious here. He possesses extra reserve tempo moves that will gain him the opposition.


## 

This is actually a serious inaccuracy that Black failed to punish. White needed to push further: 46.h4! gif6
 $50 . \mathrm{a} 4$ and White wins the race.

This seems forced, but Black actually has time to make a run for


 c4 56.h6 c3 57.h7 c2 58.h8宸 c1宸

 has no winning chances.



Vitali Golod

## 49.h4 \&



## 50.a4??

Golod has more or less the right idea, but the wrong timing. White must first pin back the black king:

 White has the opposition and wins




 60.a5+-.


c3 $56 . \mathrm{h7}$ c2 57．h8宸 c1宸 58. 㟶 48


It＇s a tablebase draw，which was not much consolation to Golod when he resigned on move 119.

## Fortresses

Game 8.10
Sanan Sjugirov 2650
Ernesto Inarkiev
2689
St Petersburg 2017 （1）


## 43．．．hxg5？

This is actually a huge error，as the open h－file will allow White to force liquidation．Black could hold the balance with 43．．． fearing 44．gxh6 光f6 when Black will do no worse than perpetual

 48．．．．$x$ xf1 diblh is noticeably different from the game continuation．

## 

Black could soon lose his queen



 54．．åc3


54．．．c5？
We have a proverbial rock and hard place situation．54．．．${ }^{\text {Ga}}$ c 7 looks good against 55．ǵb4？b6！56．axb6＋

 because White has run out of reserve pawn moves．But 55．${ }^{\ddagger}$ b3！ is far more problematic，as 55 ．．．


 wins easily．Inarkiev may have been dissuaded by the long line（if he had enough time to calculate）


 64.88 崖 and White will certainly have chances to win with his extra pawn．


It＇s too early to pitch the b－pawn：



 will queen the e－or g－pawn．In this
line the e－pawn is back far enough and White has enough reserve tempi to win．


60．g4？
Sjugirov probably could not believe Black could hold with one pawn against three，but White＇s pawns must be placed just right to beat the fortress．When you think you are winning，the transition back into a
queen ending understandably looks less appetizing．But he had to go for
 b3 $63 . e 6$ b2 $64 . e 7$ b1寝 $65 . e 8$ 嶙 gives White a better version of the queen ending）61．的d6 b3 62．e6 b2 63．e7
 White，but nothing guaranteed．

 draw because White doesn＇t have enough time to swing his king in behind before his own pawns get eaten．



 $1 / 2^{-1 / 2}$

## Hints for Exercises Chapter 8

1. No way out
2. Too far apart
3. Look out for surprises
4. Best resistance
5. Zugzwang?
6. No complications
