Ioannis Simeonidis

Carlsen's Neo-Møller

A Complete and Surprising Repertoire Against the Ruy Lopez

Contents

Explanation of	symbols6
Introduction	9
D 4 I 36 1	1
	line: 3a6 4. 2a4 2f6 5.0-0 2c5 6.c3 0-0 7.d4 2a715
Chapter 1	White's critical reply: 8.dxe516
Chapter 2	Refutation refuted: 8. 2g5 exd4 9.cxd4
Chapter 3	The 9.營c1 variation: 8.臭g5 exd4 9.營c135
Chapter 4	White maintains the pin: 8. 皇g5 exd4 9.e5 h6 10. 皇h439
Chapter 5	White's extra option: 8. \(\hat{2}g5\) exd4 9.e5 h6 10. \(\hat{2}xf645\)
Chapter 6	The \(\bar{\text{2}}\) e1 variation: 8.\(\bar{\text{2}}\) g5 exd4 9.\(\bar{\text{2}}\) e151
Chapter 7	Eighth move alternatives for White58
Part II Sideli	nes
Chapter 8	Minor sixth move alternatives for White74
Chapter 9	The pseudo-sac 6.∅xe5: 6∅xe5 7.d4 b5 8.Ձb382
Chapter 10	The pseudo-sac 6.∅xe5: 6∅xe5 7.d4 b5 8.dxe5 85
Chapter 11	The 'Anti-Berlin': 6.\(\text{\(\text{\(2008}\)}\) xc6 dxc6 7.d3
Chapter 12	Transpositions: 6.c3 0-093
Chapter 13	A serious system: 5.d3 \(\hat{2}\)c5 6.c3
Chapter 14	Fifth move alternatives
Dowt III Comm	leting the managerine
_	oleting the repertoire
Chapter 15	The Exchange Variation: 4.\(\hat{\pma}\)xc6114
Part IV Train	ing material
Chapter 16	Exercises
Chapter 17	Solutions to exercises
Afterword	149
Index of variati	ons
Index of players	s
– ,	

Preface

In every opening there is a position that demonstrates the ideal set-up for both sides. Not all variations lead to this position. There are only a few for each side. Adopting the ideal approach means for me that the system I choose to play will be one of the few systems in that opening that can lead to an ideal position from my point of view, and therefore I will reject the other moves as a choice for my opening repertoire.

Of course, that does not mean that I intend to go for dubious moves that only contain traps and have surprise value but a poor positional basis. The idea is to go for the objectively best moves. I was never a fan of either modest or dubious systems.

But what are the criteria for such an ideal approach? The moves have to be logical. The system has to obey the golden rules of opening play: occupation of the centre and quick development. No concessions! I refuse to lose tempi and will go for the most logical and ambitious set-up, having the ideal position of the system in mind. Following the plan with a maximum of accuracy is the objective. Part of the approach is adopting systems in which the most natural human response is not the best.

A perfect example of following this approach as White is the Gligoric Variation of the King's Indian Defence: 1.d4 ②f6 2.c4 g6 3.②c3 ②g7 4.e4 d6 5.②e2 0-0 6.②f3 e5.



After **7.2e3** (the Gligoric Variation) **7...**②**c6?! 8.d5** ②**e7 9.**②**d2** White achieves the ideal set-up. First 7.d5 also gives White the opportunity to achieve the ideal set-up later on. But 7.0-0 does not! Now White has already castled kingside and after 7...②c6 8.d5 ②e7, 9.②e3 runs into 9...②g4. Now 10.②g5 is not with tempo and Black can play 10...f5. That means that

I usually play the Gligoric Variation and the Petrosian Variation (7.d5) and not the main line with 7.0-0 against the King's Indian Defence.



This is the ideal position for White. All of his pieces are actively placed and looking in different directions, and he has various possible pawn pushes to try to conquer more space, for example $9... \triangle d7 \ 10.b4 \pm or \ 9...c5 \ 10.g4 \pm .$

My aim in this book is to achieve such an ideal position with black in the Ruy Lopez, where my pawns occupy a sufficient part of the centre and my pieces are active or at least potentially so. Of course, this is much more difficult to achieve for the second player than for the first player! But in Carlsen's Neo-Møller Variation, the subject of this book, I believe I have found a way for Black to achieve this against the Ruy Lopez, and as you will see this approach stands up to analysis.

Ioannis Simeonidis Athens, November 2020

Introduction

The Spanish Torture 1.e4 e5 2.\(\Delta\)f3 \(\Omega\)c6 3.\(\Delta\)b5!



The notorious 'Spanish Torture' means that Black has to defend a slightly worse but solid position for a very long time without having the chance to break free by simplifying the position with exchanges.

In the 1930s, according to Savielly Tartakower, having to defend the black side of the Ruy Lopez was a torture. In the 1960s for Bobby Fischer it was like milking a cow. His favourite game was to torture Black in the Ruy Lopez. Bent Larsen suggested that the Open Variation (3...a6 4.\(\hat{2}\)a4 \(\angle \)f6 5.0-0 \(\angle \)xe4) was the correct way to handle the Ruy Lopez with black. Later on, Anatoly Karpov tortured his opponents with both colours!

At the time, no top player would play any kind of system with ...\$c5 against the Ruy Lopez. In the 1990s, Garry Kasparov was the first World Champion who had to face these ...\$c5 systems. Thanks to the games of Vladimir Malaniuk, the Arkhangelsk Variation (3...a6 4.\$a4 \$\odots 65 5.0-0 b5 6.\$\odots 3 \$\odots b7\$) became popular, and later on, in 1994, Vladislav Tkachiev started using the Neo-Arkhangelsk, where Black plays 6...\$c5 instead of 6...\$b7 and doesn't fianchetto the queen's bishop. Suddenly all these systems started to develop, with top players like Viswanathan Anand, Alexei Shirov, Michael Adams and Alexander Onischuk leading the way. In 1995, Anand used the Neo-Arkhangelsk in his World Championship Match against Garry Kasparov. In 1996 the Møller move-order – that is, playing 5...\$c5 immediately without the inclusion of the moves 5...b5 and 6.\$b3 – started to be used as a way to reach the Neo-Arkhangelsk by players like Vasily Ivanchuk, Shirov, Malaniuk and Onischuk. The evolution had begun!

3...a6 4. 2a4 2f6 5.0-0 2c5



The ... \(\hat{2} c5 complex in the Ruy Lopez \)

It is in the nature of the Ruy Lopez for White to seize the centre by playing c2-c3 followed by d2-d4. For Black, placing the dark-squared bishop on c5 against the Ruy Lopez was always controversial, as White can now play c2-c3 and d2-d4 with tempo. Can Black afford playing the ambitious ... c5 at any stage in the Ruy Lopez without ending up in an inferior position?

Applying the ideal approach with black

In the Møller, compared to the Neo-Arkhangelsk, Black has not committed to ...b7-b5 yet. Black has made no concessions and is getting ready for the ideal set-up with ...0-0 and ...d7-d6. All the black pieces stand on the best possible squares while he hasn't committed to the weakening move ...b7-b5, which only improves the placement of White's light-squared bishop.

Still, White has played his bishop to b5 to threaten 2×6 at certain moments. Can White punish Black for ignoring this? White can also try to punish Black with 2×6 ideas, exploiting the absence of the dark-squared bishop on e7.

In the closed lines of the Ruy Lopez, White usually manages to take control in the centre and keep the tension. This is a price Black has to pay for his temporary king safety and easy plans. Black will have to submit to the Spanish Torture later on.

In the Møller Variation, White will manage to take the centre too, but will not be able to keep it! Black applies huge pressure on White's centre and has concrete ways to break free and release the tension. The system's uniqueness is based on the unconventional type of play that arises. It is one of the sharpest and most principled systems, based on concrete tactics but good and solid, and so far undetected by the majority of the chess world. It's a new attempt to stop the Spanish Torture once and for

all, based on the ideal opening approach. If Black makes no concessions, White will not manage to get an advantage and torture him.

The only question is whether the black set-up can be refuted. What is the price Black has to pay for all this? He has to enter a series of complications without fear or prejudice and play ...h7-h6 and ...g7-g5 at the right moment despite the fact that he has already castled kingside. The most amazing part is that everything works, which confirms that this natural set-up has a solid positional base and deserves its place among the most serious and most reliable set-ups against the Ruy Lopez.

Nowadays, 20 years later, the young generation, Magnus Carlsen, Fabiano Caruana et al, have adopted the ... £c5 complex against the Ruy Lopez as an active way of playing, cutting the Gordian knot with a sword. Pure Møller set-ups have long been a rare guest in top-level events. This changed in 2018 when Carlsen adopted it against Sergey Karjakin. Up till then, only Tamir Nabaty had used the system regularly and Onischuk had played it in just a few games. Soon after, Laurent Fressinet, Igor Lysyj and Antoaneta Stefanova started following Carlsen's move-order.

But the development of this system has just started. Caissa still holds many secrets from us. As Bobby Fischer said, 'Chess is the search for truth' and this is exactly what I have tried to do in this book: to find out the truth about the Møller Variation, or better: Magnus Carlsen's Neo-Møller! It is Black's most uncompromising system against the Ruy Lopez.

My intention is to provide a repertoire for Black after 1.e4 e5 2.∅f3 ∅c6 3. ½b5 a6 4. ½a4 ∅f6, with the general idea to place the black bishop on c5 on the next move, refraining from ...b7-b5 unless it is necessary. We also cover the Exchange Variation, to provide a complete repertoire for Black against the Ruy Lopez.

In the year 2018, Carlsen deployed the Neo-Møller as Black against Karjakin – with success. This game motivated me to take a fresh look into this position and create this repertoire.

My interest in this line began 30 years ago, in the early 1990s, when I was looking for ... \$\(\text{\text{\text{2}}} \) c5 systems against the Ruy Lopez from the black side. In the old days, all we had was a chessboard, pen and paper. No engines, just games on paper. It was very hard to analyse these sharp positions that looked losing from a human perspective. Also, you would have to be a very strong human player.

All these ... 2c5 systems against the Ruy Lopez were a mystery to me. Even later, when chess programs came to assist us in analysing such positions, the result was poor. The positions were too complicated even for computers. Again, not a lot of progress was made in many systems.

Only lately, in the past three years, the computing power has reached a level where sophisticated programs like Houdini, Komodo and Stockfish are able to come much closer to the truth than before. The recent release of Stockfish 12 with neural networks embedded was another big step forward for chess. Now we can shed more light on all these difficult positions, and come closer to the truth.

Of course there are still many positions that can't be properly evaluated by engines. This problem will not be solved any time soon, since it is impossible to create the correct algorithm that enables the engine to find the best moves in any given position. But computing power will continue to increase, so more and more difficult positions will be evaluated correctly. In the opening it will always be a problem for engines to evaluate positions correctly. There are simply too many pieces on the board!

At present, tournament players face a much more demanding situation compared to the past. In the old days you could learn a dubious opening or gambit and be successful with it for a long time. Now, on the next day (or even immediately after the game with a mobile phone) you can find the refutation of such a gambit in a few minutes. Therefore, investing time to learn a dubious opening is no longer a good idea. Soundness has become an issue. This is the reason why especially in master games we see all those Berlins and Marshalls. It seems that correct openings are more fashionable nowadays. No one wants to get into a worse position from the opening. Another big problem is the fact that there is too much information. Sure, you can find all these games, but which game is the correct one to follow?

How to read this book

The main body of this book consists of two parts with seven chapters each. In the first part, we discuss the main line of the Neo-Møller with

6.c3 0-0 7.d4 \(\hat{\pmathbb{\pmathbb{\omega}}}\)a. The second part contains all the deviations for White on the 5th and 6th moves. In the third part, we deal with the Exchange Variation from Black's perspective. The fourth part contains Exercises and Solutions to these exercises, which will hopefully serve to help the reader digest the material.

For club players

Against the moves 8. 25 and 8.dxe5, Black doesn't have much choice. The lines are sharp here, and you have to work on the positions in order to perform well in tournaments. Against White's other efforts, for example 8. 261, I suggest, whenever it is possible, to play one of two lines for Black, both of which are equally good. Those who play the Arkhangelsk and Neo-Arkhangelsk or the Møller with 6...b5 will feel at home in this repertoire. Berlin and Marshall players will have an easy time to adjust as well. There are many transpositions from the Berlin, especially in the 5.d3 systems, and in many positions we have Marshall-type play where Black is a pawn down but has very active pieces.

The critical moments for the club player are those where he has to make the following decisions:

- 1) When to play ...b7-b5?
- 2) When to play ...h7-h6?
- 3) When to play ...g7-g5?
- 4) When to play ...exd4?
- 5) When to play ...0-0 or ...d7-d6 or ...d7-d5?
- 6) When to play ...dxc6 or ...bxc6?

You don't have to learn both repertoires in the chapters in which two repertoires are suggested. I suggest you go with the one that looks easier to you.

For correspondence players

You can trust the analysis. As for computer games, it is possible to improve after a certain point. You can also follow human games, but here in general you should look for improvements early on in the game.

How the book was made

Until two years ago, there was no path to equality available to Black in the ... £c5 complex. Everything changed in 2018 when Carlsen took the 8.dxe5 line out of business in his game against Karjakin. This game inspired me to enter a deep search into the 8.£g5 line, looking for a way for Black to equalize, in order to create a repertoire based on the general idea of not playing ...b7-b5 if possible.

I mostly used Houdini 6.03 as an analysis engine, but later I checked my analyses with Komodo, Stockfish and Stockfish derivatives as well. Difficult positions where checked in the ChessBase engine cloud with the best available machines. Also I would like to thank my Engine Room friends Stables and Basse1999 for making available to me their Xeon machines for many hours, so I was able to double-check my analysis.

Not much can be found in chess literature about the Neo-Møller, so I tried to investigate the position from my own perspective. My number one priority was to create a sound repertoire that could stand the test of time and can be used on a regular basis in practical play. It looked strange to me that a natural move like 5...\(\hat{2}\)c5 could be dubious. I was sure that there had to be a path leading to sound and interesting positions.

Yes, it is true that it looks like Black is overextending. This is the reason why this variation is so sharp. Black is trying to place his pieces on the best possible squares so that if White plays passively, Black can press for an advantage. That means that White has to accept the challenge and go for 6.c3 followed by 7.d4! But with that, he creates a chaotic position, because he can't really hold the centre without making concessions. In the end we will have tactical fireworks that may lead to a draw.

For me it is amazing that Carlsen's Neo-Møller is so rich, and I was also amazed that it has been hidden so long from the public eye, while this is a sound and reliable system with plenty of unknown theory behind it!

Ioannis Simeonidis Athens. November 2020

CHAPTER 2

Refutation refuted: 8. 2g5 exd4 9.cxd4

1.e4 e5 2. 2f3 2c6 3. \$b5 a6 4. \$a4 2f6 5.0-0 \$c5 6.c3 0-0 7.d4 \$a7 8. \$g5 exd4 9.cxd4



In this chapter we will examine White's most natural response 8. 25. 9.cxd4 (or 10.cxd4 if 8...h6 9. 2h4 is inserted) was considered the refutation of the Neo-Møller for some time. It looked like the best choice for White until Tamir Nabaty neutralized it with a queen sacrifice!

Anton Smirnov Tamir Nabaty

2478 2622

Pardubice 2016 (6)

1.e4 e5 2.0f3 0c6 3. b5 a6

3...②f6 4.d3 ②c5 5. ②g5 d6 6. ②bd2 0-0 7.c3 a6 8. ③xc6 bxc6 9.d4 exd4 10.cxd4 ②a7 11.0-0 h6 12. ③h4 (Yu Yangyi-Wang Hao, Huai'an rapid 2017) transposes to the main game! In Chapter 11 and 13 we will see other examples in which Black gets a similar or favourable version of the Berlin Defence after playing the Møller.

4. 2a4 2f6 5.0-0 2c5 6.c3 0-0 7.d4 2a7 8. 2g5!



The most principled and most dangerous move, to which I have devoted the next five chapters. What makes the Neo-Møller so unique is the type of play that arises in these positions. Just the fact that Black after kingside castling plays ...h7-h6 and ...g7-g5 is at least worrying! Can such a strategy be effective? We teach beginners to do just the opposite: 'You can go ...h7-h6, but don't weaken your king with ...g7-g5!' It is definitely risky, and this is the main reason why

this system was not popular and did not have a name. It was just a risky and suspicious sub-variation of the Møller.

The position is too complicated even for engines and no human player wants to take such a risk unless he knows exactly what he is doing. But if the human player knows the position is sound and knows how to counter White's threats, the idea of catching the opponent unprepared in such a mess is very appealing. A bad move can lead to instant disaster!

8...h6

8...exd4!. We plan to reach the position from the game via this move-order. There is no OTB-game with 8...exd4 in the MegaBase! The reason we plan to adopt the 8...exd4 move-order is mainly to confront the move \(\bar{\pi} e1, \) which will be discussed in Chapter 6. The position that Black will have to play after 8...h6 9. \(\hat{2}\)h4 exd4 10. \(\bar{\bar{2}}\)e1 is very difficult to handle. Also the number of attempts White has makes the position impractical and difficult to play for Black. Furthermore, Black has extra options against White's set-ups, which you will find in Chapters 3 and 4. White has an extra option as we will see in Chapter 5, but that causes no problems for Black. In conclusion, 8...exd4 is the more correct and precise move: 9.cxd4 (for 9.\degree chapter 3, for 9.e5) see Chapter 4 & 5 and for 9.\(\bar{2} e1 see Chapter 6)



analysis diagram

9...h6! 10. ♠h4 d6 11. ♠xc6 bxc6 12. ♠bd2 (12. ₩c1 g5 13. ♠xg5 hxg5 14. ₩xg5+ ♠h7 15. ☒d1 ☒b8 transposes to the ₩c1 variation, Chapter 3) 12... ☒e8 13. ₩a4 ♠d7 14.e5 g5 transposes to the game Smirnov-Nabaty.

9. gh4 exd4

9...d6?! does not work here like in the 7...≜b6 system: 10.≜xc6 bxc6 11.dxe5 dxe5 12.⁄2xe5± Zieher-Tabatt, Saarbrücken 2013.

10.cxd4



Up to now 10.cxd4 looked like the killer line of the 7... 2.a7 system (or 9.cxd4 if Black uses the 8...exd4 move-order). Sutovsky's games seemed to take the line out of business! Nabaty did not give up and came up with a great novelty.

This is one of the most important games in the 8. 25 variation. It involves a queen sacrifice and precise play is required from both sides. The position is very dangerous for White too!

10...d6

Black is threatening ...b7-b5 and ...\$\(\textit{g4}\). Also ...g7-g5 is in the air and the e4-pawn is hanging. White has no time to defend against all the threats.

11. êxc6!

A) 11.營d3 is a tricky move. White is planning to put his queen on g6 later on if Black falls for the trap! 11...g5! 12.皇g3 (12.公xg5 hxg5 13.皇xg5 公xd4 14.公c3 c6 15.罩ae1 含g7 16.營g3 公h5 17.營h4 f6 18.皇e3 罩h8 19.皇d1 營e8 20.f4 b5 21.營f2 c5 22.公d5 營f7 23.g4 皇b7 24.gxh5 罩he8 25.皇xd4 cxd4 26.含h1 f5 27.營g2+ 含h7 28.皇b3 罩g8 29.營f3 罩ae8—+ Van der Velde-Visser, cr 2017) and now:

A1) 12... \bigcirc h5!? is an interesting try: 13. \bigcirc c3



analysis diagram

A11) 13...f5! 14.皇xc6 (14.exf5 \$h8! 15.②d5 皇xf5 16.豐c3 皇e4 17.皇xc6 bxc6 18.②b4 c5! (activating the

A12) The more direct 13... ②xg3 looks OK too: 14.fxg3! (14.hxg3 b5 15. 总b3 g4 16.e5 含g7〒) 14... ②e5! (a nice trick, exploiting the pin! Exchanging pieces is in Black's favour in this position) 15. 營d2 c6!? (with the idea ... 營b6) 16. 含h1 ②xf3 17. 基xf3 总g4 18. 基ff1 營b6 19. 总d1 总d7=.

A2) After 12...b5? comes the trap. The usual ...b7-b5 followed by ... \(\underset{g} 4 \) does not work here: 13. \(\underset{g} b 3 \) \(\underset{g} g 4 ? \)



analysis diagram

14.e5!± dxe5? 15.₩g6++-;

A3) 12...g4! is a solid choice: 13.≜xc6 bxc6 14.�h4 ≣b8 15.�d2 (15.b3?! ≣b4∓) 15...≣xb2 16.�c4 ≣b5 17.≣ae1 ≣e8 18.e5 dxe5 19.≜xe5 ≜e6=.

B) 11.d5 ∅e5 12.∅xe5 dxe5 13.∅d2 b5 14.Ձb3 Ձb7 15.a4 d6 (Black is ready to break White's centre with ...c7-c6!) 16.豐e2 c6! 17.罩fd1 cxd5 18.exd5 公xd5 19.公e4 豐e6 20.皇xd5 皇xd5 21.罩xd5 豐xd5 22.公f6+gxf6 23.豐g4+ 會h7 24.豐f5+ 會g8 25.豐g4+=;

- C) 11.\(\beta\)e1 \(\hat{2}\)g4! transposes to Chapter 6;
- D) The natural 11.公c3 has a trap but after the correct 11.....皇g4! (11...b5?! does not work here! After 12.皇c2 皇g4 (12...g5 13.公xg5+-) 13.公d5 g5 14.營d3! gxh4 15.e5+-) only White can get into trouble:



analysis diagram

- D1) 12.42d5? g5!∓;



analysis diagram

14...g5 15.Ձg3 公h5⇄ 16.d5 灣d7 (16...f5 17.exf5 灣f6 18.dxc6 꽽xf5 19. 基ad1 豐xf3 20.豐xf3 基xf3 21. 查g2 基af8=) 17.dxc6 (17. 查g2 c5 18.e5 dxe5 19. 鱼xe5 基ae8 20. 鱼g3 f5=) 17...豐h3 18. 查h1 f5 19.exf5 鱼xf2=;

- D3) 12. \(\hat{L}\)xc6 bxc6 13.\(\bar{W}\)a4 \(\hat{L}\)xf3 14.gxf3 g5 15.\(\hat{L}\)g3 \(\bar{W}\)d7=.
- E) 11.h3?! g5!≠.

11...bxc6 12.4bd2

A) 12. Ze1 2g4 ≠ 13. Za4 2xf3 14.gxf3.



analysis diagram

Already Black can hope for more than equality: 14...豐b8! (14...g5!?N 15.皇g3 h5 (15...公h5!? 16.公d2 (16.公c3 豐f6 17.冨ad1 豐xf3 18.冨e3 豐g4 19.豐xc6 公f4=) 16...公g7 17.冨ac1 公e6 18.d5 cxd5 19.exd5 公g7 20.堂g2 冨e8 21.冨xe8+ 豐xe8=) 16.h3 豐d7 17.堂g2 c5=) 15.公d2 (15.皇xf6 豐xb2! 16.公a3 gxf6干)



analysis diagram

15...≝b5 (15...�h5!∓) 16.≝xb5 axb5∓ Alekseev-Nozdrachev, Yaroslavl 2019:

B) 12.豐c1 g5 transposes to 9.豐c1, Chapter 3: 13.氫xg5 hxg5 14.豐xg5+ \$h7 15.還d1 罩b8.



White manages to hold everything and 16.e5 is a serious threat:

12... ⊑e8!

12...g5?! 13.\(\Delta\xxsstrac{\text{xg5}}{\text{ kxd4 15.}\(\Delta\frac{\text{f}}{3}\) \(\Delta\xxstrac{\text{kb2 16.}\(\Beta\text{b}}{\text{18.}\(\Delta\xxstrac{\text{ke5}}{\text{ dxe5}}\) 17...\(\Delta\xxstrac{\text{ke5}}{\text{18.}\(\Delta\xxstrac{\text{ke5}}{\text{ dxe5}}\) 17...\(\Delta\xxstrac{\text{ke4}}{\text{18.}\(\Delta\xxstrac{\text{ke4}}{\text{b}}\) 17...\(\Delta\xxstrac{\text{ke4}}{\text{ke4}}\) 18.\(\Delta\xxstrac{\text{ke4}}{\text{b}}\) 17...\(\Delta\xxstrac{\text{ke4}}{\text{ke4}}\) 17...\(\Delta\xxstrac{\text{ke4}}{\text{ke4}}\) 17...\(\Delta\xxstrace{\text{ke4}}{\text{ke4}}\) 17...\(\Delta\xxstrace{\text{ke4}}{\text{ke4}}\) 17...\(\Delta\xxstrace{\text{ke4}}{\text{ke4}}\) 17...\(\Delta\xxstrace{\text{ke4}}{\text{ke4}}\) 17...\(\Delta\xxstrace{\text{ke4}}{\text{ke4}}\) 17...\(\Delta\xxstrace{\text{ke4}}{\text{ke4}}\) 17...\(\Delta\xxstrace{\text{ke4}}{\text{ke4}}\) 18.\(\Delta\xxstrace{\text{ke4}}{\text{ke4}}\) 18.\(\Delta\xxstrace{

13.**₩a4**!

13.⊑e1 (13.e5 dxe5 14.dxe5 g5⇄) 13... g5 14.≙g3



analysis diagram

14...g4 (14...∅h5!? is the engines' choice: 15.∅c4 d5 16.exd5 **\(\bar{\pi}\)**xe1+

17.營xe1 公xg3 18.hxg3 cxd5 19.公ce5 \$\hat{2}\$b6 20.公c6 營d7 21.公fe5 營d6 Ivers-Opasnost, playchess.com 2019) 15. \$\hat{2}\$h4! gxf3 16.e5 dxe5 17.公e4



analysis diagram

17...心xe4! (like in the main game Black sacrifices the queen) 18.皇xd8 心xf2 19.豐xf3 罩xd8 20.豐xf2 皇xd4= Sasikiran-Puranik, Douglas 2019.



13... <u>ĝ</u>d7!

Only move! After this game came to my attention, I had no doubt that Black's set-up was sound. If an opening system is good then somehow everything works! 13...g5?! 14. 2xg5 hxg5 15. 2xg5 2e6 (15... 2b8 16. 2ae1 2e6 17.e5+—Sutovsky-Onischuk, Poikovsky 2010) 16.f4 2f8 17.f5+—Sutovsky-Nabaty, Gibraltar 2012.

14.e5

If 14.\(\beta\)fe1 c5! and now:

- B) 15. \(\hat{\omega} xf6 \) \(\hat{\omega} xa4 \) 16. \(\hat{\omega} xd8 \) \(\hat{\omega} xd8 \) 17. \(d5 \) \(\hat{\omega} b5 \) 18. \(\hat{\omega} ac1 \) \(\hat{\omega} d3 \) 19. \(b3 \) \((19. \hat{\omega} c3 \) c4 \(20. b3 \) f5\(\frac{\omega}{\omega} \) 19...\(f5=; \)
- C) 15.∰xa6 cxd4 16.∰d3 g5 17.△xg5 hxg5 18.Ձxg5 罩e5 19.Ձh4 ₩e7 20.∰f3 �g7= Huuskonen-Kuosmanen, cr 2018.



14...g5 15.**∅**xg**5**

15.≜g3 is not consistent. Now Black is slightly better: 15...�h5 16.�e4?



analysis diagram

16...f5!—+ 17.exd6 fxe4 18.dxc7 豐c8 19.�e5 �xg3 20.hxg3 豐xc7—+ Yu Yangyi-Wang Hao, Huai'an rapid 2017.

15...hxg5 16. \(\hat{\pm}\)xg5 dxe5 17. \(\Delta\)e4



After 19... xe5?! 20. xa6 White is better since he can take control with adl next.



Black's position is not worse. It is only fair to say that whoever is better prepared will win. Among correspondence players, it will end in a draw.

20.\₩xa6

Grabbing a pawn and at the same time clearing the way for the a-pawn.

A) With 20.g4 White weakens the f3-square: 20....皇g6 21.還ad1 公d2! (White has to give up the exchange) 22.還fe1 公f3+ 23.\$f1 公xe1 24.還xd8 還xd8 25.\$xe1 還d4! (the pawn on f2 is the target) 26.譽xa6 皇b6 27.h4 還e4+ 28.\$f1 還d4=;

- C) 20. 国ac1 is another interesting try: 20... 国xe5 21. 豐xa6 皇b6 22. 国xc6 ②d2! 23. 国xb6 (only move; 23. 国fc1? 国de8—+) 23... ②xf1 24. 国h6 国e1 25. f3 皇h7 26. 豐f6 国de8 27. h4 国8e2. Black is threatening 28... ②e3! so White has nothing more than a perpetual: 28. 豐d8+ 常g7 29. 豐d4+ 常f8 30. 豐d8+=;
- D) 20. 基ae1 基xe5 21. 豐xa6 息b6 22. h3 置d2 23. b4 息e6 24. 含h2 息xf2 25. 基e2 息g3+ 26. 含g1 含g7! (a cold-blooded move. Now the threat is 27... 基d4 or 27... 息d5!) 27. 基xd2 (only move) 27... 公xd2 28. 基d1 c5! 29. bxc5 ②e4 30. 豐a8 息f2+ 31. 含h2 息xc5 32. a4 息d6 33. 含g1 息c5+=;
- E) 20.營xc6 冨e6 21.營xc7 总b6 22.營c4 冨g6 (Black has multiple threats) 23.e6 冨xe6 24.ဩae1 冨d2 (even if you know the position is equal if both sides play the best moves, this position looks uncomfortable for White) 25.h3 冨xb2 26.a4 冨xf2 27.含h2 含g7 28.a5 总c5 29.冨xf2 总xf2=.

20... **gb6 21.a4! 罩d2**

CHAPTER 10

1.e4 e5 2.②f3 ②c6 3.ዿb5 a6 4.ዿa4 ②f6 5.0-0 ዿc5 6.②xe5 ②xe5 7.d4 b5 8.dxe5



In this chapter we are going to examine another sharp attempt for White to refute the Møller starting with 6. 2xe5. White wins the piece back with tactics, but as it turns out they rather work in Black's favour.

I remember working on the game Short-A.Onischuk, Wijk aan Zee 1997. At the time, chess engines could not see much and no theory existed, so what was really going on was a mystery to me. Nowadays modern chess engines provide very accurate evaluations to this kind of positions.

Steve Berger Jan Gustafsson

2312 2554 Böblingen 2002 (9)

1.e4 e5 2.፟∅f3 ∅c6 3.≜b5 a6 4.≜a4 ∅f6 5.0-0 ≜c5 6.∅xe5 ∅xe5 7.d4 b5 8.dxe5

This position can occur only in the Møller and not in the Neo-Arkhangelsk since there the white bishop is already on b3.

8...**②**xe4



9. ₩d5?!

- A) 9.營g4 **Q**b7 10.營xg7? (10.**Q**b3 營e7) 10...營h4!--+;
- B) 9. \(\hat{\omega}\)b3 is the main move. After 9... \(\hat{\omega}\)b7 White has several options but nothing seems to work!



analysis diagram

B1) 10. d5 leads to a very unbalanced position. We have to take



analysis diagram

B2) 10.營h5 營e7 (10...0-0!?N) 11.公c3 公xc3 12.bxc3 0-0-0 13.皇f4 g5 14.皇g3 f5 15.exf6 營e4 16.營f3 營xf3 17.gxf3 冨hf8∓ Dzhumaev-Gareyev, Tashkent 2007;

B3) 10.豐g4 豐e7 11.公c3 (11.豐xg7? 0-0-0 12.豐xf7 豐xe5 13.豐f4 豐e8 14.皇e3 罩f8 15.豐h4 皇xe3 16.fxe3 罩xf1+ 17.尝xf1 豐g6−+ Von Rosenberg-Schmitz, cr 2008) 11...公xc3 (11...f5 12.exf6 公xf6 13.豐g5 0-0-0∓ Escobar Forero-Henriquez Villagra, Linares 2019) 12.bxc3 0-0-0 (12...h5 13.豐f5 g6 14.豐f6 豐xf6 15.exf6 d5 16.a4 0-0-0∓ Pavlicek-Lombart, cr 2012) 13.a4 (13.皇g5= Kobalia-Belozerov, Tomsk 2004) 13...豐xe5 14.axb5 axb5∓ Halvax-Rabiega, Graz 2010;

B5) 10.公c3 營h4!? (10...公xc3N would be my choice now. It is a simpler alternative, e.g. 11.bxc3 0-0 or 11...營e7!?) 11.ዿxf7+ (11.營f3 0-0=; 11...0-0-0!? could be playable too)



analysis diagram

11... 堂 x f 7! (11... 堂 e 7 12. 堂 e 3 堂 x e 3 13. f x e 3 位 x c 3 14. b x c 3 營 e 4 15. 置 f 2 置 a f 8? (15... 營 x e 5 =) 16. 營 h 5 ± Short-A.Onischuk, Wijk aan Zee 1997) 12. 營 x d 7 + 堂 g 8 (12... 營 e 7?? 13. 營 f 5 + 堂 g 8 14. 位 x e 4 ±) 13. 堂 e 3 (13. 營 e 6 + 堂 f 8 - +) 13... 營 e 7 - +.



9...≜b7! The best!

9... ∰h4!? 10. ≜e3 c6∓ 11. ∰d3 bxa4 12.f4?! (12. 公c3 ≜xe3 13. 公xe4 ≜b6 14. 公d6+ 含f8∓) 12... ∰g4 13. ≜xc5

 \triangle xc5 14. $\$ c4 \triangle e6—+ Brooker-Lorenti, cr 2002.

10. ₩xb7 c6



White's queen is trapped! Black can catch it with ... \(\bar{\pi} a7. \)

11. 2 c3 2 xc3 12.bxc3



12...ℤa7?!

12...0-0!-+.

13. **皇g5 豐xg5 14. 豐b8+ 豐d8** 15. 豐xd8+ **\$**xd8∓ 16. **\$**b3 f6 17.exf6 gxf6 18.a4



18...d5

Now the 2b3 is trapped like in the game Winter-Capablanca, Hastings 1918/19!

19.፱fd1 호c7 20.፱e1 호d6 21.፱ed1 호e6 22.፱e1+ 호f7 23.axb5 axb5

Conclusion

9. d5 falls into a trap. The shocking 9... b7!! kills the line instantly. White's queen gets trapped after taking the bishop.

9. \$\Darksymbol{\D