Evgeny Sveshnikov

The Grand Prix Attack

Fighting the Sicilian with an early f4

Contents

Introduction – The Correct Sicilian
Part I – About 2.f2-f4
Chapter 1 A Short Historical Survey
Chapter 2 Theoretical Overview
Part II – Games Section
Chapter 3 Black Fianchettoes His King's Bishop
Chapter 4 Black Prepares Counterplay in the Centre
Chapter 5 The Grand Prix Attack and Other Set-ups with the Knight on c3 151
Chapter 6 The Immediate Central Blow 2d5
Conclusion
Index of Variations239Index of Players245New In Chess Code System250Bibliography251

Introduction – The Correct Sicilian

With this book, I continue my discussions of the Sicilian Defence. Some while ago, I wrote a monograph on the system 2.c3, and almost a quarter of a century ago, I wrote about the so-called Cheliabinsk Variation 1.e4 c5 2. 26 3.d4 cxd4



Evgeny Sveshnikov

4. ②xd4 ②f6 5. ②c3 e5, or, as it is known in the West, the Sveshnikov Sicilian.

Including in this new work the present chapter The Correct Sicilian, I will try to show that the move 1...c5! is the best answer to 1.e4. The reader will get to know my system of opening principles, of which there are eight in all, four each for White and Black; I call this the Sveshnikov System. What is new in this system? Most of all, the opening principles are set out in order of their significance, and separated between White and Black, each of which are formulated slightly differently. This is because each side must fulfil his own slightly different task in the opening.

Later, I plan to write books on the following topics:

1) White's ways of avoiding the La Bourdonnais System, 1.e4 c5 2.\(\Delta\)f3 \(\Delta\)c6 3.d4 cxd4 4.\(\Delta\)xd4 e5! — which today, I consider Black's best. In this work, I will consider the following lines:

- 1a) 1.e4 c5 2.\(\hat{Q}\)f3 \(\hat{Q}\)c6 3.b4?
- 1b) 1.e4 c5 2.\(\Delta\)f3 \(\Delta\)c6 3.\(\Delta\)c3?! e5!
- 1c) 1.e4 c5 2.g3? d5!
- 1d) 1.e4 c5 2.\(\Delta\)c3 \(\Delta\)c6 3.\(\Delta\)ge2
- 1e) 1.e4 c5 2.\(\Delta\)c3 \(\Delta\)c6 3.g3
- 2) The La Bourdonnais and Cheliabinsk Variations
- 3) The Rossolimo System 1.e4 c5 2. 2f3 2c6 3. 2b5!

In general, it is interesting to ask when and where the Sicilian came into existence, and how it acquired its name. I fear that we will not be able to obtain exact answers to these questions. Thus, it is well-known that the Spanish Opening was invented by the Spaniard Ruy Lopez (in English-language literature, it is known under his name – the Ruy Lopez). But the Sicilian? On the island of Sicily, which these days is

part of Italy, there was an independent state even before the days of the Roman Empire. It turns out that chess reached Sicily significantly earlier than Italy or Spain, but sadly, almost nothing has been preserved of the efforts of the early Sicilian chess players.

In recent years, I have visited Sicily several times, read lectures to local players, and have been surprised that they do not run tournaments in honour of the Sicilian Defence. (As is well known, the most famous Sicilian Defence tournament, in which the players were obliged to play the opening in every game, was dedicated to the 60th birthday of Lev Polugaevsky. So here is a paradox: nowadays, chess is a small thing in Sicily, but the Sicilian is the most popular opening in the world!

Earlier, it used to be said that without understanding the Spanish, one could not become World Champion. This is an absolutely correct thought, because the Spanish is the best opening for White. In the same way, the Sicilian is the best opening for Black. Therefore, I should like to offer this theory: nowadays, it is impossible to become World Champion without understanding both the Spanish and the Sicilian!

But now let us formulate the principles which, in my view, one should follow, in searching for the best moves in the opening.

When playing White:

- 1) seize the centre.
- 2) develop the pieces,
- 3) safety,
- 4) attack weaknesses.

For Black the principles are almost the same, but are formulated slightly differently, and are placed in a different order of importance:

- 1) fight for the centre,
- 2) safety,
- 3) develop the pieces,
- 4) defend and do not create weaknesses.

Note that White, in the opening, tries to seize the centre, whilst Black fights for it, so as to prevent the opponent from carrying out his plans. White should attack weaknesses, whilst Black should defend them and try not to create any more.

In accordance with the opening principles outlined above (and this is also confirmed by centuries of experience), after 1.e4, there are only two moves that can claim to be the best reply: 1...e5 and 1...c5. I consider 1...c5 to be the strongest, and in order to show why, I will compare it with 1...e5 'point by point'.

1) Visually, the move 1...e5 is a greater battle for the centre. But in reality, Black's potential prospects after 1...c5 are greater, because he retains the possibility of including two pawns in the battle for the centre - the d- and e-pawns.

- 2 and 4) Safety is also greater for Black after 1...c5, because he does not create a weakness in the form of the pawn on e5. Obviously, it is much harder to attack the c5-pawn than the one on e5, which can be attacked immediately with $2. \bigcirc f3$.
- 3) Developing the pieces is definitely easier after 1...e5, because the diagonal of the f8-bishop is immediately opened. But we have already stated that safety (principle 2) is more important for Black than development (principle 3)!

If you look at the games of the strongest players in recent years, you will see that the move 1...c5 predominates, and Black's results are very good. [Translator's note: But since Kasparov's retirement, the move 1...e5 may have surpassed 1...c5 in popularity.]



Let us take a step further. What plans after 1.e4 c5 does White have? Following our principles above, it is clear 2. \$\tilde{\Delta}\$f3 is the strongest move here. However, we will also look at other possibilities. The main ones, and the most popular, are 2.f4 and 2.c3.

A) The move **2.f4** was played successfully a number of times by Alexander McDonnell, England's strongest player in the first third of the 19th century, in his first match against the great French player, Louis-Charles Mahé de la Bourdonnais (London 1834). Traditionally, many English players play 2.f4, and the whole variation is sometimes known as the Grand Prix Attack, because it was widely used on the English weekend tournament Grand Prix circuit. But why did McDonnell refrain from 2.\(\Delta\)f3? Because De la Bourdonnais several times replied 2...\(\Delta\)c6 3.d4 cxd4 4.\(\Delta\)xd4 e5! 5.\(\Delta\)xc6 bxc6, and the Englishman struggled to equalise in the opening, with white! So McDonnell played 2.f4 not out of choice, so much, but as the result of what we might now call a process of elimination!

The move 2.f4 is quite logical; in my opinion, it is the second or third strongest move behind 2. ②f3. In this introductory chapter, I will not go into details about the move, since the whole of the book you are holding in your hands is devoted to it!

B) 2.c3. I recently wrote a substantial book on this subject. In Russian and Italian, it appeared as two volumes, in English as one, but very large volume. Here I will be very brief—Black has two reliable paths to equality: 2...d5 and 2... \$\overline{\Omega}\$f6.

After 2...d5 3.exd5 wxd5 the queen comes under attack, and White retains some initiative, neutralising which requires some effort. The second move, in my view, is stronger, because in this case, Black does not exchange off the weak pawn on e4, but forces it to advance to e5, after which it will be attacked with tempo, while Black continues to develop his pieces.

Here are the main lines of this system: 2.c3 夕f6! 3.e5 夕d5



- B1) 4.②f3 ②c6 5.②c4 ②b6 6.②b3 (6.②b5 a6! 7.②e2 d6 8.exd6 e5 or 6.②e2 d6 7.exd6 e5 with mutual chances in both cases) 6...c4 (6...d5 7.exd6 豐xd6 is also not bad) 7.②c2 豐c7 8.0-0 (8.豐e2 g5 9.h3 ②g7 10.0-0 ②xe5 11.②xg5 d5 with good counterplay for Black) 8...②xe5 9.②xe5 豐xe5 10.②e1 豐c7 11.②a3 e6 12.②b5 豐c5 13.a4 a6 14.②d4 ②e7 15.a5 ②d5 16.b3 cxb3 17.②xb3 ②f4 18.豐f3 豐c7 with mutual chances.
- B2) 4.d4 cxd4 5.cxd4 (it was known long ago that 5.營xd4 e6 6.②f3 ②c6 7.營e4 f5 is harmless for Black) 5...d6 (the pawn should be attacked at once; White is better after 5...②c6?! 6.②c3 ②xc3 7.bxc3 d6 8.f4) 6.②f3 (Black is also fine after 6.exd6 營xd6 7.②c3 ②c6 8.②f3 ②e6!?) 6...②c6 7.②c4 (the endgame after 7.③c3 dxe5 8.dxe5 ②xc3 9.營xd8+ ②xd8 10.bxc3 ②d7 is harmless for Black; incidentally, he can also avoid the queen exchange with 8...②e6!?) 7...②b6 8.②b5 (a sharp position, in which Black's chances are not worse, arises after 8.②b3 dxe5 9.d5 ②a5) 8...dxe5 9.③xe5 ②d7 10.②xd7 (little is promised by both 10.②c3 ③xe5 11.dxe5 ③xb5 12.②xb5 營xd1+ 13.⑤xd1 ②d5=, and 10.③xc6 ③xc6 11.③xc6 bxc6 12.0-0 g6 13.ဩe1 ②g7 14.②g5 0-0!, and it is already White who must think about maintaining equality: 15.③xe7 營xd4 16.營xd4 ②xd4 17.②d2!=) 10...營xd7 11.②c3 e6 12.營g4 and dynamic equality reigns on the board.
- C) $2.\triangle c3$. In recent times, this move has become very popular. Its basic idea is to avoid precise theoretical lines.



From the point of view of our basic opening principles, this move is fundamentally wrong, because we are fighting for the centre in the wrong way: we are not seizing the squares d4 and e5, but are defending e4 and d5, which we already control. Now after the logical reply 2...②c6 White has lost the square d4. In my view, the moves 2.c3 and 2.f4 are significantly more logical than 2.②c3, as they retain for White the possibility of seizing the centre. In reply to the fashionable variation 2.②c3 ③c6 3.②b5 the best reply (at least, the simplest one) is 3...②d4! (of course, 3...e5 is also good) 4.②c4 e6 followed by ... ③a5 and ...b7-b5 or ...a7-a6 and ...b7-b5. The resulting position is complicated, but White has no advantage. I repeat, this variation is now fairly popular, I think because it has been studied less



Boris Spassky

than many others.

Instead of 3. \$\displays b5\$ there is 3.g3 – this is the pure Closed Variation, much loved by World Champions Vasily Smyslov and Boris Spassky. The Candidates' quarterfinal match Spassky-Geller (Sukhumi 1968) was very instructive for this line. Efim Petrovich adopted the plan with ...d7-d6, ...g7-g6, ... 2g7, ...එf6 and obtained excellent positions. Then he put the pawn on e5, and again equalised. Yet another good set-up is ...g7-g6, ... \(\delta\)g7, ...d7-d6, ...e7-e6, ②ge7. In general, this was Geller's plan, and he regularly obtained the advantage. However, in the end, Spassky won anyway, because Geller could not withstand the attack on his king.

Another good reply to 3.g3 is 3...e6 4.♠g2 ♠f6 5.♠ge2 d5 6.exd5 exd5 7.d4 cxd4 8.♠xd4 ♠g4 9.∰d3 (9.f3 ♠e6) 9...♠e7 10.0-0 0-0 – White has a small plus,

but nothing real. However, I believe the plan with ... g7-g6 is stronger, underlining the weakness of the square d4.

A Short Historical Survey

The move 2.f4 in reply to the Sicilian Defence has been known for a long time. I think it is one of the oldest replies to 1...c5. It was seen, for example, in two games by Gioacchino Greco, dated 1620: in one the Calabresian played White, in the other Black.

François André Danican Philidor twice had to play against 2.f4. Although it would be more correct to say 'at least twice', because only 78 of the great Frenchman's games have been preserved, and only 14 of those were played on even terms, whereas in the rest he gave odds – of a pawn, piece or even a rook. So two out of 14 is not such a small number! Both times, Philidor played successfully against the Englishman Atwood.



François André Danican Philidor

Game 1.1

Atwood, George Philidor, François London 1794

1.e4 c5 2.f4 e6 3.∅f3 ∅c6 4.c3 d5 5.e5?!

Equality is maintained by 5.d3.



5...f5?!

A strange move. More natural and simpler is 5... ∅h6, after which the initiative passes to Black.

6.d4 心h6 7.a3 心f7 8.息e3 豐b6 9.豐d2

Also good is 9.b4 c4!? 10.a4 – the space advantage helps White to develop the initiative on the kingside.

9... **≜d7 10. ₩f2?!**

White has thought up an interesting, but not fully correct combination. It was simpler to continue development: 10.\(\hat{L}\)e2 \(\hat{L}\)c3 11.\(\hat{L}\) cxd4 (11...c4!?) 12.\(\hat{L}\)xd4 with rough equality (only not 12.cxd4? because of the standard blow 12...\(\hat{L}\)xb4!, and Black wins at least a pawn).

10...c4 11.\(\hat{2}\) xc4!?

Otherwise it is hard for White to complete his development, as the b2-pawn will be hanging the whole time.

11...dxc4 12.d5 \(\begin{array}{c} \pm \ceps{c} \end{array}\)

A simple and strong positional continuation. Philidor is not seduced by the interesting complications after 12...公cxe5!?, and correctly so; the sample variation 13.fxe5 豐b5 14.dxe6 ②xe6 15.0-0 g6 16.公d4 豐d5 17.公xe6 豐xe6 18.公d2 ②g7 leads to a position with mutual chances.



15...g5!

There can be no doubt that this move encapsulates Black's main idea. In general, in our day, this has become a typical device in French Defence positions: with the help of the flank blow ...g7-g5 Black fights against the advanced pawn on e5.

16. \(\ext{\pm} e3? \)

White should have retained the e5-pawn, and to do so, he needs to drive the enemy queen from c7: 16. 鱼 b6. After 16... 當 c6 17. ② d2 gxf4 18. 鱼 d4 置 g8 Black is only slightly better. True, now after 19.0-0-0 there is the tempting blow 19... 墨 xa3!?, but after 20.bxa3 鱼 xa3+21. 當 b1 營 b5+22. ② b3 cxb3 23. 營 d2 the white position is fully defensible.

It is not clear why he did not play 20... Ig8 with a large, perhaps decisive advantage.

White's main trump was control of the open g-file, and now he voluntarily gives that up. A game with mutual chances would have resulted from 27. \$\begin{array}{c} 46.

Stronger is 29...b5 30. 2e3 f4 31. 2d5 **Z**g2+32. \$\delta f1 \delta xb2 with advantage.



30.\dda?

After 30.曾f1! 基xh2 31.基d6+ 曾e7 32.基b6 Black would have to show ingenuity, in order not to lose. But he can still make a draw: 32...皇h4! 33.公xe5 皇g3 34.f4 基f2+ 35.曾g1 h4 36.基xb7+曾f6 with equality.

Theoretical Overview

Now let us examine the variation not from a historical, but from a theoretical point of view.

Playing 1.e4 c5, Black clearly does not rush with the development of his pieces, so it is quite safe for White to play 2.f4, so as to seize space on the kingside and later to try to develop the initiative there.



The move 1...c5 has a defensive character. After 2.f4 White in most cases refrains from opening the centre with d2-d4, because he considers that the black king is likely to go kingside, and he hopes to attack him there. And certainly, Black only in very rare cases puts his king on the queenside — preparing queenside castling takes time and, the main thing, the king will not feel terribly comfortable there, because the pawn on c5 allows White to open the b-file rapidly.

In addition, the move 2.f4 allows White to continue the fight for the centre: he will bring the knight to f3 and will

fight for the important squares d4 and e5. The move 1...c5 is quite slow, so after 2.f4 the white king does not face any particular danger; for example, after 2...e5 there follows the simple reply 3. \$\tilde{\Omega}\$f3 and White reaches a very favourable version of the King's Gambit.

One must also note a purely practical characteristic of the move 2.f4, namely that (like 2.c3) it sharply reduces Black's options. In the so-called Open Sicilian, after 2. 15 and 3.d4, White must be prepared for the most varied, extremely dissimilar systems, such as the Najdorf, Cheliabinsk, Scheveningen, Dragon, Rauzer, Paulsen, etc. But after 2.f4 Black has a much narrower choice, and in practice, he will usually choose one of the following replies:

- 1) 2...**夕**c6
- 2) 2...g6
- 3) 2...e6
- 4) 2...**约**f6
- 5) 2...d5

But these moves (with the exception of 2...d5) do not by themselves yet determine the scheme Black will adopt. The main question consists of whether he needs to play ...d7-d5, freeing his game, or should he concentrate on the battle for the square d4? If he adopts the second plan, then the most logical thing is to adopt the set-up 2c6 + g6. However, if we are talking in terms of immediate counterplay, then this means the

moves 2... 6f6, 2...e6 with the idea of ...d7-d5 and, without doubt the strongest continuation, 2...d5!.

Let us ask ourselves what minuses the move 2.f4 could have. It turns out that its main drawback is the same as that of 2.c3 – the weakening of the e4-pawn. The best answer to 2.c3 is rightly considered to be 2... \$\alpha\$f6, immediately attacking the central pawn. In the case of 2.f4, bringing out the knight to f6 is less desirable, since after 3.e5 the central pawn will be defended and it is already not so easy to attack it (although notwithstanding this, the variation 2.f4 ②f6!? is still quite interesting). In addition, White can defend the pawn with 3.42c3, creating the threat of e4-e5, and in reply, Black is practically forced to play 3...d5. After 4.e5 d4 (4...\(2\)e4) (7. \(\delta x d 2 \) 7...\(\delta x d 2 + 8. \(\delta x d 2 \) \(\delta x g 7 \) we reach a complicated endgame, which requires further study.

The strongest move is 2...d5!, attacking the pawn with a pawn.



After 3.e5 Black cannot possibly have any problems at all, because he has reached a favourable version of the French Defence, where his bishop on c8 is not blocked in by its own pawns. Therefore, in the diagram position,

White has two choices – exchanging on d5 or 3. ②c3. In reply to the toothless 3.d3 Black can choose between 3... ②f6 and transposition into an endgame (3...dxe4 4.dxe4 xd1+ 5. ☆xd1), in which White can hardly have any real advantage.

In the case of 3.exd5 the sole pawn on f4 is not a great achievement for White. But in order to achieve satisfactory play, Black should definitely reply 3...②f6! (since after 3...※xd5?! 4.公c3 White has the advantage; neither 4...※d6, nor 4...※d8 give full equality).



Now White has a new choice – 4.c4 or 4.\(\hat{2}\)b5+; other moves lead to the loss of the extra pawn and complete equality.

- A) 4.c4 e6 5.dxe6 ②xe6, and his control over the point d4 gives Black full compensation for the pawn. Even so, White is not worse both sides need to play very accurately, so as to maintain the balance.
- B) 4. \$\hat{2}b5+\$. Now Black chooses which piece to interpose bishop or knight. The move 4... \$\hat{2}d7\$ leads to relatively simple play and the more reliable equality, whilst after 4... \$\hat{2}bd7\$ a more complicated position arises. Depending on your opponent's play, the tournament situation and your plan for the concrete game, you can make your choice. I will offer one short variation: 4... \$\hat{2}bd7\$ 5.c4

Black Fianchettoes His King's Bishop

Game 3.1

Sikora Lerch, Jan Lanka, Zigurds Trnava B 1989 (11)

1.e4 c5 2.f4 g6

By preparing the fianchetto of the king's bishop, Black begins the battle for the central square d4. An important question is: which move should he start with: 2... \(\) \(\) \(\) \(\) \(\) of or 2... \(\) \(\) \(\) \(\) \(\)



3.d4!?

Advocates of 2... account that 2...g6 is less accurate, precisely because of this reply. But just how dangerous for Black is the early entry of the white queen to the centre of the board? We will try to establish this.

3...cxd4

Black quite often plays 3... 2g7 here, but after 4.d5 we reach positions characteristic not of the Sicilian, but the Benoni, and so we will not look at these here.

4. ₩xd4 ②f6 5.e5 ②c6

White has disturbed the enemy knight, and Black the white queen. Both pieces

will have to retreat, and the only question is how quickly and successfully the two sides will manage to regroup their forces.



6.\\d3

This move was introduced into tournament practice by the Finnish GM, Heikki Westerinen.

White several times tried retreating the queen to d1, so as to avoid later coming under attack with tempo by moves such as ... 4b4 or ... \$\delta f5\$, but without any particular success: 6. Wd1 夕e4 (the simplest: the knight has an excellent square in the centre of the board, and occupies it with pleasure) 7.2 d2 (in reply to 7. 2e3 Black simply defends the knight with 7...d5) 7...\(\Delta \text{xd2} \) 8.\(\Delta \text{xd2} \) 遵g7 9.皇c3 d6! (Black eliminates the cramping pawn on e5 and seizes the initiative) 10.如f3 (10.exd6?! looks dubious because of the pawn sacrifice 0-0, and the white king is liable to come under attack.) 10...0-0 11.\(\mathbb{L}\)b5

豐b6 12.豐e2 皇g4 13.皇xc6 bxc6 14.豐f2 豐a6 with advantage to Black, Salmensuu-Hillarp Persson, Reykjavik 2000.



6...5 h5!

A) 6...\(\Delta\)g4?! is dubious because of 7.h3 \(\Delta\)h6 8.g4!, and the black knight is stranded on the edge of the board. There could follow: 8...\(\Delta\)g7 9.\(\Delta\)f3 0-0 10.\(\Delta\)g2 \(\Delta\)b6 11.\(\Delta\)c3 d6 12.\(\Delta\)d5 \(\Delta\)d8 13.0-0 \(\Delta\)e6 14.c4 with an obvious advantage to White, Westerinen-Sahl, Esbjerg 1982;

B) 6... 夕g8 7. 夕c3 皇g7 8. 皇e3 d6 9.0-0-0! (this unexpected pawn sacrifice has not been seen in practice, and is suggested by Houdini; human players have usually played more simplemindedly: 9.exd6, when after 9...\$f5 or 9... 66 Black achieves good play) 9... Dh6 (on closer inspection it transpires that the pawn sacrifice was a deception, as the pawn cannot be taken: 9...dxe5 10.豐xd8+ 公xd8 11.遑b5+ ②c6 12. ②d5! \$\delta\$f8 13. ②c7, and White wins: 13...\bar{2}b8 14.<u>ዿ</u>xc6 15.罩d8#) 10.公f3 0-0 11.h3 (the start of standard play against the offside knight on h6) 11...\Df5 12.\Lambde{1}f2 dxe5 15.g4 (after 15.fxe5 \$\doldarh6+\$, followed by penetrating to e3, Black is fine) 15... 6) d4 16. 6) xe5 \pm .



White has a pawn majority on the queenside and has gradually pointed his bishops at this side of the board. Black will need to show considerable accuracy, to avoid serious problems.

Returning to the position after 6... 6.15, the final target of this knight journey, just as with the retreat to g8, is the square f5. However, on h5, the knight is more actively placed and in many cases, proves useful on this square itself.



7.9 f3

A fairly toothless move. More principled is 7.\(\hat{L}\)e2, trying immediately to show up the weakness of the knight on the edge of the board. We will examine this continuation in the next game.

7...d6 8.∕ົ⊅c3 ⊈̀g7

Black has a good alternative in 8... h6!?, so as to exploit the upside of the knight's position on h5. This is how play might then develop: 9.g3 0-0 10. 2g2 2g7 11. e3 2b4 12. e2

The Immediate Central Blow 2...d5

Game 6.1

Sveshnikov,Evgeny Sax,Gyula Ljubljana 1994 (9)

Ljubijana 1994 (9)

1.e4 c5 2.f4 d5 3.exd5 \(\text{\psi}\) xd5

I have already written in the theoretical survey that I do not consider this move good enough for equality. Correct is 3... ♠ f6!.

4.9 c3



We have before us the basic position of the Scandinavian, or Centre Counter Defence, but with the addition of pawn moves to f4 and c5. I think this inclusion favours White, mainly because the black queen no longer has access to the safe square a5, whilst the move ...c7-c5 itself does not really prevent d2-d4, but significantly weakens the black queenside. The move f2-f4 is useful for White, meanwhile, as he can bring his knight to e5, where it will be defended.

4...\₩d6

We will examine the main continuation 4... d8 later.

4... ₩e6+ is sometimes played, but on this square, the queen interferes with the normal development of the other pieces, and will sooner or later have to move elsewhere. Play might continue as erwise White plays d2-d4, and it will be easier for him to exploit his lead in development in this open position) 7. ②xd4 cxd4 8. ②b5 營b6 (the most tenacious seems to be 8... \deltad7, preventing the move c2-c3, but here too, after has the advantage; and in the event of 8... ₩c6?! White is happy to exchange his wing pawn for the central one: 9.夕xd4 豐xg2 10.身f3 豐h3 11.豐e2 a6 12.b3±) 9.c3! dxc3 10.dxc3 &d7 and now:



analysis diagram

11.營d5!? (this computer move seems to pose Black the most problems. However, 11.營d4!? is also good, inviting Black to enter an unpleasant endgame, and 11.a4 a6 12.公a3 皇e6 13.公c4

Conclusion

The 2.f4 system has several times served me well in important games against strong opponents, when I needed to win at all costs, including games against grand-masters Sax, Tseshkovsky, Dvoirys, Neiksans and others. The fact is that in the Open Sicilian and in the 2.c3 system, they were armed to the teeth, but after 2.f4 my opponents were on their own and already started to think by about moves 5-7, while I was still in my home preparation. It must be said that even now, this system is to a considerable extent 'virgin territory'.

So from a practical point of view, the 2.f4 system is certainly worthwhile. But from a theoretical viewpoint this is also the case – Black has to play quite energetically and accurately, in order not to fall into an inferior position. I consider 2...d5 3.exd5 ②f6 to be objectively the strongest reply, whilst 2...②f6 3.公c3 d5!? also leads to a complicated game with chances for both sides.

It is important to point out that by no means all masters and grandmasters, to say nothing of amateurs, risk playing 2...d5. And even here, after 3.exd5 almost half reply by taking back with the queen on d5, and then after 4. ©c3 Black has problems. Here White can obtain a stable advantage after just three moves, even against grandmasters, as shown for example by my games against Sax and Dvoirys. Of course, in more recent years, strong opponents have made this mistake more and more rarely, and usually play 3... ©f6, but here too, there is a sea of virtually unexplored positions. Very often, Black refrains from 2...d5, going instead for a set-up with ... ©c6 and ...g7-g6; in reply, I recommend a plan with c2-c3, immediately beginning the battle for the square d4: I think Black has definite problems here.

I think that with suitable preparation, it is easier for White to rack up the points in the 2.f4 system that in the main lines of the Sicilian, on which masters and grandmasters spend the great majority of their time. The resulting non-standard and fresh positions are interesting to play for both colours. However, both White and Black have definite choices. I, for example, have my whole life avoided the early development of the knight to c3, but in the course of preparing this book, I have looked at many games by English grandmasters and have come to understand that this plan is also very attractive. How many striking mates they have managed to give! Even so, against the Grand Prix Attack, it seems to be that Sergey Karjakin demonstrated the correct plan, involving the capture 9...gxf5 (see Game 5.11); in my opinion, Black should not have any problems here.

In general, White at this moment does not have any great advantage in the 2.f4 system, but a mass of fresh positions arises, and this is nowadays very important, as one more and more gets the impression that with the help of the computer, openings are being analysed out to death. In my book, I have offered many interesting, and, I hope, promising new ideas for both colours, and I would like to wish the inventive player success in his creative work. This is where it is all happening!

Index of Variations

1.e4 c5 2.f4



1.e4 c5 2.f4 g6



$3.d4\ldots\ldots 45$
3. 2 f3 2 g7 4.c3 4 f6
4d5 5.e555
5. <u>û</u> b5+58
4d663
3 ②c6 4. ≜b5 ≜g7 5.0-0
5.c377, 93
5.\(\delta\)xc694
4.c3 <u>\$</u> g7 5.d4 d579
4d585
4如f6

1.e4 c5 2.f4 e6



3.₺f3 d5 4.exd5 exd5 5.₺b5+
4.d3
4.e5
4.\(\Delta\)c3109
4.≜b5+ ∅d7
4 6c6
4 <u>\$</u> d7
$3.$ $\triangle f3$ $\triangle c6$ $4.$ $\triangle b5$ $\triangle d4$
4∳ge7

1.e4 c5 2.f4 42c6



3.ᡚf3 d6 4.奠b5														138
3⊈f6														143



1.e4 c5 2.4c3 4c6 3.f4 g6



4.�f3 �g7 5.�b5 �d4								151
5d6 6.\(\frac{1}{2}\)xc6+ bxc6								159

1.e4 c5 2.∕2c3 d6 3.f4 g6



4.∕Df3	Ձg7	5.Ձc4 ②c6	6.d3 1 f6		 	 	 168
		6e6	7.0-0 🗓 g	e7 .	 	 	 168

1.e4 c5 2.4c3 4c6 3.f4 e6



4. 约 f3	包 f6	5. <u>⊈</u> e2													183
	5.e5														187

1.e4 c5 2.f4 d5



3.exd5 ∰xd5 4.\(\Quad \text{C3}\) ₩e6189
4₩d6189
4₩d8192
3.exd5 Øf6 4.Øf3 Øxd5 5.\(\hat{\pma}\)c4
4.②c3 ②xd5 5.②f3201
4.c4 e6
4.≜b5+ ∅bd7
4⊈d7 5.⊈xd7+ ∰xd7 6.c4 e6 7.dxe6 210
7. ⊮ e2216
7. 约 f3 224
3.d3233
3 Øc3