Sergey Kasparov

Steamrolling the Sicilian

Play for a Win with 5.f3!

Contents

Introduction
History of the variation
DADEL D. C
PART I – Pawn Goes to e5
Chapter 1 – The 'Boring Ending'
Section 1 – Rare lines
Chapter 2 – The Earlya7-a5
Section 1 – 7.c4
Section 2 – 7.a4
Section 3 – 7.公c3
Chapter 3 – The Main Line 6 266 58
Section 1 – 7a5
Section 2 – 7 \(\Delta \) bd7
Chapter 4 – The Venice Attack 6. ≜b5+
Exercises for Part I
PART II – Dragon Structures
Chapter 5 – The Queen Jump to b6
Chapter 6 – The Maroczy Bind
Exercises for Part II
PART III – Hedgehog Schemes 143
Chapter 7 – Ignoring the Breakthrough – 9.Ձe2
Chapter 8 – White Prevents …d6-d5 – 9.∕2c2
Chapter 9 – The Queen Sortie≝b6
Chapter 10 – Wrapping Up – Various Hedgehog Schemes 161
Exercises for Part III

Steamrolling the Sicilian

PART IV – Black Avoids 5.f3 with 3 ∅f6
Chapter 11 – White Captures: 4.dxc5. 172 Section $1 - 4$ $orall a5 +$ 172 Section $2 - 4$ $rac{1}{2}$ xe4. 180
Chapter 12 – The 4.
Exercises for Part IV
Solutions to Exercises
Conclusion
New In Chess Code System228
Index of Variations
Index of Names
Game Index

Introduction

Dear reader!

If you have opened this book, I dare conjecture that you may have some problems fighting against the Sicilian Defence.

Well, I understand you perfectly. Time and again I hear from strong chess players how difficult it is to gain an advantage with the white pieces in 'normal' openings.

What are the arguments in favour of the system under study in this book? Let's get things straight.

1.e4 c5 2.②f3 d6 3.d4 cxd4 4.②xd4 ②f6 **5.f3**

Also after 1.e4 c5 2. \triangle f3 \triangle c6, the move 3. \triangle b5 looked like a sideline. Whereas today, even in the games of chess players with an Elo rating of above 2700, its popularity is smaller than that of 3.d4, but only slightly.

Let me cite the freshest example. Early this year (on 13th January, 2013), an encounter of Elo giants took place in the super-tournament in Wijk aan Zee, between Aronian and Carlsen. In the King's Indian Defence Magnus treated the opening in quite a novel way: 1.d4 ②f6 2.c4 g6 3.②c3 ②g7 4.e4 d6 5.②f3 0-0 6.h3 ②c6 7.d5 ②b4!? 8.②e2 e6!?. And this structure (d6-e6) lasted until the 15th move. If giants (both above 2800 Elo) consider such unconventional set-ups suitable for playing in duels with a classical time control, then... the idea **5.f3** in the Sicilian does not seem extremely exotic.

Being an honest person, I must warn in advance the potential reader who has taken this book in his hands. The material expounded on below will mainly correspond to the style of those chess players who wish to struggle in quiet, positional games, exerting just a little pressure on Black's position. Sparkling attacks with an abundance of sacrifices are infrequent in these constructions. Unlike in my first book, *The Dynamic Benko Gambit*, here the author tries to find new followers, gradually expanding his audience...

If we may allegorize, White's strategy is reminiscent of a 'steamroller' – a machine that rolls forward slowly but inevitably.

There have been several critical remarks (both positive and negative) by experts and fans concerning my previous work. For example (I'm paraphrasing):

- 1) 'Too many games with little notes a database dump'
- 2) 'Why are there no games given with this-or-that move in the book? They are in the database!'

As you can see, these two opinions are diametrically opposed. Besides, I am limited by the specified volume of every book. The author must jam his material into, say, 200-250 pages. However, to satisfy both types of critics we would probably need 1,000-2,000 pages as there are millions of games. And to analyse them all...

That is why your obedient servant has tried, in the previous book and in this one, to create something in-between, so as to cover the range of problems of the opening under discussion as fully as possible, but also not to overburden the book and your memory respectively.

Of course, you won't find 20-move variations leading up to an ending in this book. These days everyone has a computer; at the time I worked on this book I used 'Houdini'. But today you will probably already have a stronger program, like 'Nairit' or perhaps 'Florida'. Accordingly, the evaluations may differ somewhat.

The author's mission is to explain the IDEAS and PLANS in the structures arising after 5.f3 as interestingly as possible. I hope this will raise your chances in tournaments and will improve your chess understanding on the whole.

If you see a move suggested in the comments without any elaborate variations or evaluations behind it, that means that I consider it 'also possible' and roughly equivalent in strength to the move which was played in the game.

The mark *** indicates that the game in question is important.

As an 'innovation', at the end of each Part the reader will be offered exercises under the heading 'check yourself'. The answers, provided with an assessment in points, are placed at the end of the book (pp. 217-225). Judging by your total number of points the author gives you a tool to guess your chess strength at the present moment.

In the course of writing this book, the author has consulted two experts on the Sicilian Defence (on both the White and the Black side):

GM Yury Solodovnichenko (Ukraine, 34), Elo rating $\sim 2550-2630$. Best results: 1st places in Metz (2011), Rome (2011), Altenkirchen (2012), a 3000+ performance in the Top French League (2011), and the winner of many other international tournaments.

GM Viacheslav Zakhartsov (Russia, 45), Elo rating ~ 2530 -2610. He took 2nd place at the large-scale European Open in Cappelle-la-Grande-2007 (France) and 3rd place in Pardubice 2011 (Czech Open). The head of a chess family which in the future may become more famous with his 16-year-old son Vladimir, who is making steady progress. His wife Inga is also a chess coach.

One last thing: in different languages, the names of pieces have different associations, though they all look the same on the chessboard. For example, when speaking about the *knight* I sometimes describe it as an animal, because in the Russian language 'knight' means 'horse' and this is also what it looks like on the board. So please, don't be afraid of all the racers and horses you will come across in this book.

Sergey Kasparov July 2013

History of the variation

Let's find out who discovered the idea 5.f3 in the Sicilian Defence.

One of the first games that we can see with this move was played as far back as the 1930s of the last century, at a tournament in the Swiss city Bern by Walter Henneberger and Oskar Naegeli. Later on this variation was repeatedly applied in high-level contests.

Nowadays the systems with 5.f3 are labelled under the name 'Prins Variation', in honour of Lodewijk Prins (27 January 1913 – 11 November 1999), a Dutch chess player and international arbiter. In 1982, FIDE awarded Prins with an honorary grandmaster title. From 1937 to 1968 (for 31 years!) Prins represented the Netherlands at Olympiads, and in 1965 he became Dutch champion. In 1948 Prins took first place at the Hoogovens tournament in Beverwijk, which has always been one of the largest chess competitions in Holland. He became especially popular as a writer and publicist. Prins co-authored several books with ex-World Champion Max Euwe, including a biography of José Raul Capablanca.

It's enough to look at the names of chess players who have used this variations in different time periods in order to be imbued with respect for the move 5.f3: Alexander Alekhine, Paul Keres, Viktor Kortchnoi, Vasily Ivanchuk. And of course, Lodewijk Prins himself.

Well, are you impressed?

In modern chess the Prins Variation is often applied by players who wish to avoid the main ramifications of the Sicilian Defence. On the Internet you may come across it in the 'Anti-Sicilian' section! One of the lines, specifically 1.e4 c5 2. 46 3.d4 cxd4 4. 46 5.f3 e5 6. 45 +, bears the name 'Venice Attack'.

Thus, the move 5.f3 in the Sicilian Defence has a rather long history and some very reputable advocates.

I hope that after reading the book which is in your hands now, you will be part of an increasing number of admirers of this variation.

Chapter 4

The Venice Attack 6. 2b5+

1.e4 c5 2.0f3 d6 3.d4 cxd4 4.0xd4 0f6 5.f3 e5 6.2b5+



White has a bishop check on b5 as an alternative to the knight retreat. The idea is the following: speedy development on the kingside – the bishop goes out with a check, clearing the way for its king to castle in the long term. The knight on d4 goes to f5, where it is, at least optically, actively placed.

Frankly speaking, I would not recommend to you the direction of 6.\(\hat{L}\)b5+. That is why I present only a small number of games, sufficient (I hope) to get the reader acquainted with the ideas and the pattern of the fight.

The problem is that in this line, Black is actually the one who chooses the pawn structure and the character of the further struggle. Usually he doesn't allow the opponent to construct the strong skeleton g2-f3-e4-c4, which is characteristic for the variation 5.f3.

When the pawn structure in the centre is e4 against the spearhead d6-e5, an exchange of light-squared bishops seems favourable for White. It would make the weakness of point d5 more pronounced, and so on.

So it is no wonder that 6... ♠ d7 is infrequent. Look how a well-known Soviet grandmaster classically outplays his Yugoslav colleague – step by step, as they say.

Game 79

☐ **Salov, Valery** (2710)

■ Ljubojevic, Ljubomir (2580) Buenos Aires 1994 (6)

1.e4 c5 2.∅f3 d6 3.d4 cxd4 4.∅xd4 ②f6 5.f3 e5 6.Ձb5+ Ձd7 7.Ձxd7+ ∰xd7

This is periodically applied against me on www.playchess.com.

8.∕∆f5 d5 9.≜g5

The struggle for domination of the light squares. As we have repeatedly seen,

Black's dark-squared bishop, when left out in the cold (no other bishops on the board), often feels uneasy – especially after the doubling of pawns on f7 and f6.



9...dxe4

Or 9...d4 10.\(\hat{L}\)xf6 gxf6 and the knight on f5 is quite comfortable.

10.≜xf6 \#xd1+

That's the point -10... wxf5?? cannot be played in view of 11. wd8 mate.

11.**含xd1 gxf6 12.fxe4 公c6 13.c3** 13.**公**c3 **基**d8+ 14.**公**d5 **公**e7 15.**公**xe7 **\$**xe7±.

13...≣g8 14.g3 ≣d8+ 15.⊘d2 ⊘e7 16.ஓc2±



It would be disadvantageous to hold on to point f5: 16.\(\bar{L}\)f1 \(\hat{L}\)xf5 17.\(\bar{L}\)xf5 \(\hat{L}\)h6 and White faces problems already.

16...**∲d7**

In case of 16... $\triangle xf5$ 17.exf5 $\boxed{3}g4$ 18. $\boxed{3}ae1$ \pm , the e4-square is already beckoning to the knight.

17. ad1 ⇔c6 18. of3 axd1 19. oxe7+!



Valery Salov

After 19.\(\mathbb{I}\)xd1 \(\overline{\Omega}\)xf5 20.exf5 \(\overline{\Omega}\)h6, how could the cavalry reach the cherished height e4?

19...全xe7 20.基xd1 基d8 21.基xd8 全xd8 22.公h4 全e7 23.公f5 全f8 24.b4 含d7 25.含b3 含c6 26.含c4 a6 27.a4 b6

27...b5+ contributes to the formation of a white passed pawn: 28.axb5+ axb5+ 29.曾b3曾b6 30.c4 bxc4+ 31.曾xc4±.

28.g4 b5+ 29.\$b3\$d7 30.△e3 30.axb5 axb5 31.c4+−.

30...≜h6 31.∆d5 ≜g5 32.c4 ⇔c6 33.∆c3

Valery prefers to keep more pawns on the queenside. The manoeuvre ②f5-e3-d5-c3 with pressure on b5 is likely to be dictated by this idea. I advise you to remember this resource in similar structures.

In a game from the championship of China, Black preserved the flexibility of his pawn structure on the kingside and thereby avoided problems.

Chapter 11

White Captures: 4.dxc5

1.e4 c5 2.4 f3 d6 3.d4 f f6 4.dxc5



Now there are two adequate replies for Black: **4...७a5+ (Section 1)** and **4...७xe4 (Section 2)**.

Section 1 − 4... **a**5+

The sense of this continuation, I believe, lies on the surface. Black intends whenever possible to win the pawn back, without changing the 'Sicilian structure'. As a consequence he will lose 1-2 tempi when the queen, which prematurely ends up on c5, undergoes the attacks of enemy pieces.

In reply White can try to get the pawn on c4 anyway (which I personally prefer), or continue with pure piece development.

A) 5.42c3

An active line. The integrity of the pawn chain, and at times the amount of the material, is depreciated. The initiative becomes more important. The following fascinating duel is a fine illustration.

Game 130

- ☐ Nataf, Igor Alexandre (2592)
- Vachier-Lagrave, Maxime (2577)
 Besancon ch-FRA 2006 (3)

1.e4 c5 2.�f3 d6 3.d4 �f6 4.dxc5 ∰a5+ 5.�c3 �xe4 6.cxd6 �xc3 7.bxc3



7...\₩xc3+

7...\(\hat{O}\)c6 8.\(\hat{Q}\)d3 e6 9.0-0 \(\hat{Q}\)xd6 10.\(\hat{O}\)g5 \(\hat{Q}\)e7 \(\infty\) Rublevsky-Ninov, Valjevo tt 2011.

8.⊈d2 ₩c5 9.≣b1

9.dxe7 ûxe7 10.ûd3 is about equal.

9... wxd6 10. 2d3 e6 11.0-0

As compensation for the pawn White is about 3 tempi ahead of the adversary in development. Is it enough?

11... g5 h6?!

More accurate is to continue development by 12...心c6 13.豐f3 êxg5 14.êxg5 0-0 15.冨fd1 with compensation for White.



13.5 xf7?

The only move.

15.₩f3+ �g8

15...\$f6 16.\$b4+-.

16.\(\pmg\)g6?!

16...臭f6 17.罩xb7 公c6

The bishop has moved from e7 to f6 and so now White does not have the destructive blow \(\mathbb{Z} \) xe7.

18.ዿf7+ ዿf8 19.₩xc6!

Very nice, though it doesn't lead to victory.

...₩xc6 20.Ձb4+



20... ge7 21. \(\bar{\pi}\) xe7

Black has an extra queen, but with correct play the struggle will end in a draw.

21...a5 22.Ձa3 ₩a4

From here on the partners are walking a narrow path.

23.<u></u> \$\delta\$g6 \delta\$g8

The only move – 23... ∰xa3 24. Дe8#.

24.\(\bar{L}\)d1 \(\beta\)a6 25.\(\bar{L}\)dd7 \(\bar{L}\)h7!

26.≜xh7+ \$h8

29.h3.

29...**ģg8**?

There likely was mutual time trouble and both opponents did not have time to calculate the king's move forward. However, Black's monarch could have broken loose and he could have won with 29…曾g6 30.置g7+ 當h5 — don't forget that first-rank mate is threatened.

The next 'technical' game played at the championship of the Celestial Empire differs greatly from what we have just seen.